690–2727 or e-mail address (susan.1.rees@sam.usace.army.mil).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Public comments can be submitted through a variety of methods. Written comments may be submitted to the Corps by mail, facsimile, or electronic methods, comments (written or oral) may be presented at a public meeting to be scheduled during the month of July, 2000 in Biloxi, Mississippi. Additional information on these meetings will be mailed in a public notice to the agencies and the public and announced in news releases.

#### John A. Hall,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 00–13576 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 3710-CR-M$ 

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)/Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR)/Feasibility Study for Coyote Creek at Rock Springs Flood Damage Reduction Study, City of San Jose, Santa Clara County, CA

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this EIS/EIR is to identify and evaluate the environmental impacts of alternatives developed to provide flood damage reduction on Coyote Creek in the Rock Springs area in Šan Jose, California, as authorized by Section 205 of the 1948 Flood Control Act (33 U.S.C. 701s), as amended. To fulfill the requirements of Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, the Corps of Engineers has determined that the proposed action may have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, and therefore, requires the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. This document will also serve as the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). Lead agency under CEQA is the Santa Clara Valley Water District. This environmental assessment is required by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (Pub. L. 91-190). Section 102(2)(A) requires Federal agencies to: "Utilize a systematic interdisciplinary approach, which will insure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the

environmental design arts in planning and decision making, which may have an impact on man's environment."

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Written comments and questions regarding the scoping process or preparation of the EIS/EIR/FS may be directed to Tamara Terry, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, San Francisco District, 333 Market Street, 717S, Seventh Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105–2197, (415) 977–8545, Fax: (415) 977–8695. E-mail: tterry@spd.usace.armv.mil.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Authority: Pursuant to Section
102(2)(c) of the National Environmental
Policy Act. of 1969, as implemented by
the Council on Environmental Quality
Act (CEQA) regulations (40 CFR Parts
1500—1508), the California
Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the
Department of the Army and Santa Clara
Valley Water District hereby give notice
of intent to prepare a joint
Environmental Impact Statement/
Environmental Impact Report/
Feasibility Study (EIS/EIR/FS) for the
Rock Springs Flood Damage Reduction
Project, Santa Clara, California.

- 2. Comments/Scoping Meetings: Interested parties are requested to express their views concerning the proposed activity. The public is encouraged to provide written comments in addition to or in lieu of, oral comments at the scoping meeting. To be most helpful, scoping comments should clearly describe specific environmental topics or issues, which the commentator believes the document should address. Oral and written comments receive equal consideration. Two workshop-scoping sessions will be held on Thursday, June 29, 2000. The first, from 2:30-4:30 p.m., is intended primarily for local, state, and federal agencies and organizations. The second, from 7–9 p.m. is intended for all interested parties. Both meetings will be held at the Leininger Community Center, 1300 Senter Road, San Jose, California.
- 3. Availability of EIS/EIR/FS: The Draft EIS/EIR/FS should be available for public review in the spring of 2001.
- 4. Agencies Supporting Project: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Santa Clara Valley Water District will be the lead agencies in preparing the combined EIS/EIR/FS. The EIS/EIR/FS will provide an analysis supporting both the requirements of NEPA and CEQA in addressing impacts to the environment, which may result from implementation of flood control measures.
- 5. Purpose and Need for Project: This project is intended to reduce flood

- damages from high water in Coyote Creek.
- 6. Study Area Description: The Rock Springs study area encompasses an area bounded by Phelan Avenue, Senter Drive, and Needles Drive, in the Rock Springs area of San Jose, Santa Clara County, California.
- 7. 1997 Flooding: In 1997, 25 apartment buildings were badly damaged during the January 27, 1997 flood on Coyote Creek, approximately a 15-year event. Water in some buildings was 4 to 5 feet deep.
- 8. Project Alternatives: a. No Action. This alternative assumes that no flood damage reduction measures, structural or non-structural, will be implemented in the project area by the Federal government or any other entity. Flooding would continue at the same frequency and intensity as it has in the past.
- b. Flood Control Alternatives.
  Preliminary analysis suggests that flood damage reduction alternatives to be studied for the Coyote Creek at Rock Springs area would include both structural and non-structural measures. Structural alternatives identified at this time are an embankment levee and floodwall, or a setback levee.
- 9. Other Environmental Review and Consultation Requirements: The DEIS/ EIR will be used as the primary information document to secure compliance the Clean Water Act Section 404(b)(1) guidelines, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and the Endangered Species Act. The DEIS/EIR will be used by the local sponsor to meet its responsibilities under the California Environmental Quality Act, and used by the San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board to meet its responsibilities under the Porter-Cologne Act. The DEIS/EIR will be used by the "trustee agency" reviews by the State of California.
- 10. DEIS/EIR Availability: The DEIS/EIR will be available to the public in the Spring of 2001.

### Peter T. Grass,

LTC, EN, Commanding.
[FR Doc. 00–13577 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710–19–U

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education. SUMMARY: The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 31, 2000.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: May 25, 2000.

### William Burrow,

profit institutions.

Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

# Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: Revision.
Title: Section 704 Annual
Performance Report (Parts I and II).
Frequency: Annually.
Affected Public: State, Local, or
Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs; Not-for-

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden: Responses: 336; Burden Hours: 11,760.

Abstract: This form accomplishes the Statutory mandate for data collection in Title VII of Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (Act) and implementing regulations. These data collection requirements are found in sections 13, 706, 721, and 725 of the Act and 34 CFR part 366. In meeting these statutory and regulatory requirements the 704 Reports serve as: annual performance reports and are a basis for further funding grantees submitting the reports; a report of the training and technical assistance survey; the basis for mandatory on-site compliance reviews conducted by the Education Department on 15% of Centers for Independent Living (CILs) funded through the CIL program; an annual compliance self-evaluation with standards and indicators; and as a source for an Annual Report to Congress.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from http://edicsweb.ed.gov, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO IMG Issues@ed.gov or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request. Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at (202) 708-6287 or via her internet address Sheila Carey@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 00–13564 Filed 5–30–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **SUMMARY:** The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 30, 2000

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs,

Attention: Danny Werfel, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address DWERFEL@OMB.EOP.GOV.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: May 25, 2000.

### William Burrow,

Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

### Office of the Undersecretary.

Type of Review: New.

Title: Annual Performance Report for the Gaining Early Awareness for Undergraduate Programs (GEAR UP) Grantees.

Frequency: Annually. Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 185;
Burden Hours: 6,475.
Abstract: The purpose of this information collection is accountability for program implementation and student outcomes for the Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Programs (GEAR UP). The information collected enables the U.S. Department of Education to