Further, we note that the International Trade Commission (ITC), on remand from the CIT in Mitsubishi Materials Corp. v. United States, 820 F. Supp. 608 (CIT 1993), determined that imports of Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Japan threaten material injury to the U.S. industry (ITC publication 2657, aff'd, 918 F. Supp 422, 1996). Therefore, the Department shall instruct the U.S. Customs Service to terminate suspension of liquidation and refund any cash deposit and release any bond or other security for all remaining unliquidated shipments of Gray Portland Cement and Clinker from Japan, including those produced by Onoda, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption prior to May 8, 1991, the date of publication of the ITC's original final determination in the Federal Register.

Dated: April 7, 2000.

# Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–9375 Filed 4–13–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [A-570-506][A-201-504][A-583-508]

Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From China, Mexico, and Taiwan

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of continuation of antidumping duty orders: Porcelain-onsteel cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department"), pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on porcelain-on-steel ("POS") cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan are likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping.¹ On April 5, 2000, the International Trade Commission ("the Commission"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, determined that

revocation of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (65 FR 17902). Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 14, 2000.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit or Carole A. Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5050 or (202) 482–3217, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## **Background**

On February 1, 1999, the Department initiated, and the Commission instituted, sunset reviews (64 FR 4840 and 64 FR 4896, respectively) of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. As a result of its reviews, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the Commission of the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order to be revoked (see Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from the People's Republic of China, 64 FR 50271 (September 16, 1999), Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from Mexico, 65 FR 281, (January 4, 2000), and Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware from Taiwan, 64 FR 50487, (September 17, 1999)).

On April 5, 2000, the Commission determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time (see Porcelain-on Steel Cooking Ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan, 65 FR 17902 (April 5, 2000) and USITC Publication 3286, Investigation Nos. 731–TA–297–299 (Review), March 2000).

# Scope

China—Imports covered by this order are shipments of POS cooking ware from China, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") number 7323.94.00.

Mexico—Imports covered by this order are shipments of POS cooking ware from Mexico, which includes tea kettles, that do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is currently classifiable under HTS number 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently entering under HTS number 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order.

Taiwan—Imports covered by this order are shipments of POS cooking ware from Taiwan. The product under this antidumping duty order does not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. Kitchenware and teakettles are not subject to this order. The merchandise is currently classifiable under the HTS number 7323.94.00.

The following are Notices of Scope Rulings with respect to Taiwan. On October 30, 1996, Cost Plus, Inc.'s 10 piece porcelain-on-steel fondue set was found to be within the scope of the order (see Notice of Scope Rulings, 62 FR 9176 (February 28, 1992)). On August 18, 1995, Blair Corporation's Blair cooking ware items #1101 (seven piece cookware set), #271911 (eightquart stock pot), and #271921 (twelvequart stock pot) were found to be outside the scope of the order (see Notice of Scope Rulings, 60 FR 36782 (July 18, 1995)). On September 3, 1992, in response to a request from Mr. Stove Ltd., stove top grills and drip pans were found to be outside the scope of the order (see Notice of Scope Rulings, 57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)). On September 25, 1992, in response to a request from Metrokane Inc., the "Pasta Time" pasta cooker was found to be within the scope of the order (see Notice of Scope Rulings, 57 FR 57420 (December 4, 1992)). On August 23, 1990, in response to a request from RSVP, BBQ grill baskets were found to be outside the scope of the order (see Notice of Scope Rulings, 55 FR 43020 (October 25, 1990)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From the People's Republic of China, 64 FR 50271 (September 16, 1999), Final Results of Full Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From Mexico, 65 FR 281 (January 4, 2000), and Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware From Taiwan, 64 FR 50487 (September 17, 1999).

#### Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the Commission that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on POS cooking ware from China, Mexico, and Taiwan. The Department will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to continue to collect antidumping duty deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the Federal Register of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751 (c)(6)(A) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than March 2005.

Dated: April 7, 2000.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–9374 Filed 4–13–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-570-815]

Sulfanilic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 14, 2000.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sulfanilic acid from the People's Republic of China. The review covers the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sean Carey or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–3964 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

# Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review

On October 1, 1999, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sulfanilic acid from the People's Republic of China, covering the period August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999 (64 FR 53318). The preliminary results are currently due no later than May 2, 2000.

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order/finding for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for a preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than August 30, 2000. See Memorandum from Richard O. Weible to Joseph A. Spetrini, dated April 3, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce Building. This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: April 3, 2000.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00–9372 Filed 4–13–00; 8:45 am]

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 041000D]

# Western Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold its 103rd meeting in Honolulu, Hawaii. A public meeting will be held to discuss banning bottom longlining for pelagic management unit species in Federal waters around Hawaii as a preferred alternative under an amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Pelagics Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region (Pelagics FMP). In addition, the Council will consider the State of Hawaii's bill (H.B. 1947) to ban shark finning, in light of the Council's Fishery Management Plan for Pelagic Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region. The Council will also consider banning spear fishing with SCUBA apparatus during the day and night as a preferred alternative under the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/ Fishery Management Plan for the Coral Reef Ecosystem FMP (DEIS/FMP).

**DATES:** The Council meeting will be held on May 1, 2000, at 11 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Western Pacific Fishery Management Council office, at 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI 96813.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kitty M. Simonds, Executive Director; telephone: 808-522-8220.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The agenda during the full Council meeting will include the items below. The order in which agenda items will be addressed may change.

- 1. Introductions
- 2. Approval of Agenda
- 3. Approval of the 102nd Meeting Minutes
- 4. Banning bottom longline fishing for pelagic management unit species in Federal waters around Hawaii as a preferred alternative under the Pelagics FMP
- 5. State of Hawaii bill on shark-finning
- 6. Banning spear fishing with SCUBA apparatus during day and night in the Western Pacific Region, as a preferred alternative under the Coral Reef Ecosystem DEIS/FMP
- 7. İssues relating to fisheries under Council jurisdiction in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands
- 8. Approval of Elliot Lutali's membership of Pelagic Plan Team
  - 9. Public comment
  - 10. Council action
  - 11. Other business

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before the Council for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal Council action during this meeting. Council action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issue arising after publication of this document that requires emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of