

3. In appendix C to part 4022, Rate Set 79, as set forth below, is added to the table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix C to Part 4022—Lump Sum Interest Rates For Private-Sector Payments

* * * * *

Rate set	For plans with a valuation date		Immediate annuity rate (percent)	Deferred annuities (percent)				
	On or after	Before		i_1	i_2	i_3	n_1	n_2
* * *								
79	5-1-00	6-1-00	5.25	4.50	4.00	4.00	7	8

PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

4. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

5. In appendix B to part 4044, a new entry, as set forth below, is added to the

table. (The introductory text of the table is omitted.)

Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used To Value Benefits

* * * * *

For valuation dates occurring in the month—	The values of i_t are:							
	i_t	for $t =$	i_t	for $t =$	i_t	for $t =$	i_t	for $t =$
* * *								
May 2000	.0700	1-25	.0625	>25	N/A		N/A	

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 10th day of April 2000.

John Seal,

Acting Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 00-9292 Filed 4-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR PART 110

[CGD11-99-009]

RIN 2115-AA98

Anchorage Regulation; San Francisco Bay, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is amending the regulations for the special anchorage area in Richardson Bay, adjacent to San Francisco Bay, California by modifying the explanatory note accompanying the designation of the special anchorage. This explanatory information is provided at the request of local authorities and is intended to facilitate safe navigation by calling mariners' attention to local regulations governing the anchorage area.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This final rule is effective on May 15, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Documents as indicated in this preamble are available for inspection and copying at Coast Guard Marine Safety Office, San Francisco Bay, Building 14, Coast Guard Island, Alameda, CA 94501. Normal office hours are between 7:30 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant Andrew Cheney, Marine Safety Office San Francisco Bay, telephone (510) 437-2770.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory History

On January 11, 2000 the Coast Guard published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) for this regulation in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 1581). The comment period ended on March 13, 2000. The Coast Guard received one comment on the proposal, which is addressed below. A public hearing was not requested and no hearing was held.

The Coast Guard is revising the "Note" accompanying the special anchorage regulations, 33 CFR 110.126a, for San Francisco Bay. This rule will amend the explanatory information provided regarding local authority and requirements.

A special anchorage is an area where vessels less than 20 meters in length are not required to make sound signals while anchored or display anchor lights as would otherwise be required under the Navigation Rules. Richardson Bay

was designated a special anchorage area in 1969, and the regulations were amended in 1980. The special anchorage designation is marked on the chart of the area and referenced in the Coast Pilot for the convenience of mariners. Local authorities also exercise jurisdiction over this water area and have enacted ordinances further regulating vessel activity. These local authorities have encountered confusion on the part of mariners about the applicable requirements and the concurrent exercise of authority by both federal and local entities. The Richardson Bay Regional Agency asked the Coast Guard to update the explanatory note accompanying the Federal anchorage regulations regarding the existence of local authority and ordinances. The Coast Guard believes that providing accurate and current information regarding applicable authority and requirements would be in the best interest of safe and efficient navigation. This amendment to the regulation does not alter the special anchorage area designation or change the dimensions of the anchorage area.

Discussion of Comments

One comment was received in favor of the amendment to the anchorage regulations. The commenter felt that the change to the explanatory note would help clarify jurisdiction over the waters of Richardson Bay, and that it would provide direction to the public regarding appropriate use of the

anchorage area. The Coast Guard has not made any changes to the proposed rule. The sole commenter did not request a public hearing, and none was scheduled or held.

Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). Due to the mainly administrative nature of this change, the Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this proposal to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of Department of Transportation is unnecessary.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" may include small businesses and not-for-profit organizations that are not dominant in their respective fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations less than 50,000. For the same reasons set forth in the above Regulatory Evaluation, the Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on any substantial number of entities, regardless of their size.

Assistance for Small Entities

In accordance with section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104-121), the Coast Guard wants to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rule making process. If your small business or organization is affected by this rule and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact Lieutenant Andrew Cheney at the address contained in the paragraph entitled **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Collection of Information

This regulation contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 13132 and has determined that this rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications under that order.

Environmental Assessment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this regulation and concluded that under Chapter 2.B.2. of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, Figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(f), it will have no significant environmental impact and it is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

Unfunded Mandates

Under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will result in an annual expenditure by state, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate of \$100 million (adjusted annually for inflation). If so, the Act requires that a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives be considered, and that from those alternatives, the least costly, most cost-effective, or least burdensome alternative that achieves the objective of the rule be selected.

No state, local, or tribal government entities will be affected by this rule, so this rule will not result in annual or aggregate costs of \$100 million or more. Therefore, the Coast Guard is exempt from any further regulatory requirements under the Unfunded Mandates Act.

Taking of Private Property

This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under E.O. 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in section 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of this Order to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under E.O. 13045, Protection of children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not concern an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

Regulation

In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard amends Subpart A of Part 110, Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 110—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071; 49 CFR 1.46; and 33 CFR 1.05-1(g).

§ 110.126 [Amended]

2. The "Note" following Section 110.126a, is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

Note: Mariners anchoring in the special anchorage area should consult applicable ordinances of the Richardson Bay Regional Agency and the County of Marin. These ordinances establish requirements on matters including the anchoring of vessels, placement of moorings, and use of anchored and moored vessels within the special anchorage area. Information on these local agency requirements may be obtained from the Richardson Bay Harbor Administrator.

Dated: March 20, 2000.

C.D. Wurster,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District.
[FR Doc. 00-9219 Filed 4-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[DE040-1023a; FRL-6577-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Air Quality Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Delaware; Control of Emissions From Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving the hospital/medical/infectious waste incinerator (HMIWI) 111(d)/129 plan (the "plan") submitted by the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) on September 17, 1998. The plan was submitted to fulfill requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The Delaware plan establishes emission limitations and other requirements for existing HMIWIs, and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those limitations and requirements.