Product within scope	Manufacturer	Citation
Profile Slabs	Companhia Siderurgica Tubarao	62 FR 30569, June 4, 1997.

This review covers all imports from all manufacturers and exporters of cutto-length plate from Brazil.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this case by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Robert S. LaRussa, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 29, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy, the net countervailable subsidy, and the nature of the subsidy. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the countervailing duty order on cut-tolength plate from Brazil would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Brazilian manufacturers/exporters	Cash de- posit rate (percent)
Usinas Siderurgicas de Minas Gerais S.A. ("USIMINAS") Companhia Siderurgica Paulista	5.44
("COSIPA")	48.64
All others	23.10

Because receipt of benefits provided by the Government of Brazil's ("GOB's") countervailable program Exemption of IPI and Duties on Imports under Decree-Law 2324 is contingent upon exports, this program fall within the definition of an export subsidy under Article 3.1(a) of the Subsides Agreement.

All of the other programs provided by the GOB are, however, programs that could be found inconsistent with Article

6.1 of the Subsidies Agreement 2 if the net subsidy exceeds 5 percent ad valorem as measured in accordance with Annex IV of the Subsidies Agreement, However, the Department does not have enough information to calculate or determine whether the total ad valorem subsidization of the subject merchandise from these programs exceeds five-percent or whether they were meant to cover operating losses or to be used as direct forgiveness of debt. Nor does the Department believe such calculation or determination would be appropriate in the course of a sunset review. Instead, we are providing the Commission with the program descriptions listed below.

Equity Infusions

This program enabled USIMINAS and COSIPA to receive equity infusions from the GOB in the following years: USIMINAS, 1980 to 1988; and COSIPA, 1977 through 1991. We determined that equity infusions by the GOB into USIMINAS, in these years, and COSIPA in years 1997 through 1989 and 1991 were made on terms inconsistent with commercial considerations.

Fiscal Benefits by Virtue of the CDI

The CDI provides for the reduction of up to 100 percent of the import duties and up to 10 percent of the IPI tax (value-added tax) on certain imported machinery for specific projects.

IPI Rebate Program Under Law 7554/86

This Program consists of a rebate of 95 percent of the IPI tax paid on domestic sales of industrial products.

BNDES Financing

In this program, loans were provided on terms inconsistent with commercial considerations because the companies that received the loans were uncreditworthy.

Provision of Infrastructure

This program provides preferential interest on purchasing agreements with a government-owned steel holding company.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections section 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 29, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–8544 Filed 4–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-423-806]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Belgium; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Certain Cutto-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Belgium.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate ("CTL plate") from Belgium (64 FR 47767) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the level indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 6, 2000.

² We note that as of January 1, 2000, Article 6.1 has ceased to apply (*see* Article 31 of the Subsidies Agreement).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Darla D. Brown, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3207.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999) in general. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

On September 1, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the countervailing duty order on CTL plate from Belgium (64 FR 47767), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response from respondent interested parties, we determined to conduct an expedited review. The Department has conducted this sunset review in accordance with sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act.

Scope

The products covered by this order are certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate. These products include hot-rolled carbon steel universal mill plates (i.e., flat-rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 millimeters but not exceeding 1,250 millimeters and of a thickness of not less than 4 millimeters, not in coils and without patterns in relief), of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances; and certain hotrolled carbon steel flat-rolled products in straight lengths, of rectangular shape, hot rolled, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with

plastics or other nonmetallic substances, 4.75 millimeters or more in thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") under subheadings 7208.31.0000, 7208.32.0000, 7208.33.1000, 7208.33.5000, 7208.41.0000, 7208.42.0000, 7208.43.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.11.0000, 7211.12.0000, 7211.21.0000, 7211.22.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, and 7212.50.0000. Included in this review are flat-rolled products of non-rectangular crosssection where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (i.e., products which have been worked after rolling")—for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order is grade X-70 plate. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope remains dispositive.

Analysis of Substantive Response

All issues raised in the substantive responses and rebuttals by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Robert S. LaRussa, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated March 29, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy and the net subsidy rate likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import admin/records/frn/. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the countervailing duty order on CTL plate from Belgium would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy. The net countervailable subsidy is 23.15 percent ad valorem for Cockerill, 1.05 percent ad valorem for Fafer, and 5.92 percent ad valorem for "all others."

Although the programs included in our calculation of the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail if the orders were revoked do not fall within the definition of an export subsidy under Article 3.1(a) of the Subsidies Agreement, they may be subsidies described in Article 6, if the net countervailable subsidy exceeds 5 percent, as measured in accordance with Annex IV of the Subsidies Agreement. The Department, however, has no information with which to make such a calculation, nor do we believe it appropriate to attempt such a calculation in the course of a sunset review.1 Rather, we are providing the Commission the program descriptions contained in the Decision Memo.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This five-year ("sunset") review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 29, 2000.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–8549 Filed 4–5–00; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [C-201-810]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Mexico; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Expedited Sunset Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of countervailing duty expedited sunset review: certain cut-to-length carbon steel plate from Mexico.

SUMMARY: On September 1, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of

¹ Moreover, we note that as of January 1, 2000, Article 6.1 has ceased to apply (*see* Article 31 of the Subsidies Agreement).