"valid after December 31, 2001. The rule" is corrected to read "valid after December 31, 2000. The rule".

## §1.1441-6 [Corrected]

2. On page 73410, column 2,  $\S 1.1441-6(g)(2)$ , line 10, the language "Form 1001 or 8233 is valid on or after" is corrected to read "Form 1001 or 8233 that is valid on or after".

#### Dale D. Goode.

Federal Register Liaison, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 00-5247 Filed 3-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

## **NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION**

## **Information Security Oversight Office**

## 32 CFR Part 2001

[Directive No. 1; Appendix A]

RIN 3095-AA92

## Information Security Oversight Office: **Classified National Security** Information; Correction

**AGENCY:** Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO), National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Information Security Oversight Office, NARA, published in the Federal Register of September 13, 1999, a final rule establishing a uniform referral standard that Federal agencies must use for multi-agency declassification issues. Inadvertently, we omitted the term and definition of "Equity." This document provides the missing text.

DATES: Effective on October 13, 1999.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Garfinkel, Director, ISOO. Telephone: 202-219-5250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: ISOO published a final rule document in the Federal Register of September 13, 1999, (64 FR 49388) adding a new § 2001.55 to Subpart E. The term and definition of "Equity" was inadvertently dropped from the text of the rule. This correction provides the definition for "Equity."

In the document FR 99-23800 published on September 13, 1999, (99 FR 49388) make the following correction.

On page 49389, in the second column, in § 2001.55, paragraph (d), add the definition of "Equity" in alphabetical order to read as follows:

## § 2001.55 Document referral.

(d) \* \* \*

"Equity means information originally classifed by or under the control of an agency, as control is defined in section 1.1(b) of E.O. 12958."

Dated: March 22, 2000.

#### John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 00-7604 Filed 3-27-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

## 40 CFR Part 52

[MD059-3049a; FRL-6564-8]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air **Quality Implementation Plans;** Maryland; Withdrawal of Direct Final

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Withdrawal of direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** Because we received adverse comments, EPA is withdrawing the direct final rule to approve Maryland's Post-1996 Rate-of-Progress plan for the Cecil County portion of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe ozone nonattainment area. In the direct final rule published on February 3, 2000 (65 FR 5252), we stated that if we received adverse comment by March 6, 2000, we would publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register. EPA subsequently received adverse comments. We will address those comments in a final rule based upon the proposed rule also published on February 3, 2000 (65 FR 5296). As stated in the parallel proposal, EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The addition of 40 CFR 52.1075(h) and 52.1076(e) is withdrawn as of March 28, 2000.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristeen Gaffney (215) 814-2092.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Ozone.

Dated: March 19, 2000.

## Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

Accordingly, the addition of 40 CFR 52.1075(h) and 52.1076(e) is withdrawn as of March 28, 2000.

[FR Doc. 00-7625 Filed 3-27-00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

## 40 CFR Part 62

[Docket No. ID-01-0001; FRL-6566-2]

Approval and Promulgation of **Municipal Solid Waste Landfills State** Plan for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Idaho

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is approving the State of Idaho's section 111(d) State Plan for controlling emissions from existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills. The plan was submitted on December 16, 1999, to fulfill the requirements of section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act. The State Plan adopts and implements the Emissions Guidelines applicable to existing MSW Landfills, and establishes emission limits and controls for sources which commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification before May 30, 1991. EPA has determined that Idaho's State Plan meets CAA requirements and hereby approves this State Plan, thus making it federally enforceable.

DATES: This action will be effective on May 30, 2000 without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comments by April 27, 2000. If EPA receives such comments, then it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Catherine Woo, US EPA, Region X, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

Copies of materials submitted to EPA may be examined during normal business hours at the following location: US EPA, Region X, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Catherine Woo, US EPA, Region X, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-1814.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever we, us or our is used, this refers to EPA. Information regarding this action is presented in the following order:

## I. EPA Action

What action is EPA taking today? Why is EPA taking this action? Who is affected by Idaho's State Plan? How does this approval affect sources located in Indian Country? How does this approval relate to the Federal Plan?

II. Background

What is a State Plan?

What is a MSW Landfills State Plan? Why are we requiring Idaho to submit a MSW Landfills State Plan?

What are the requirements for a MSW Landfills State Plan?

III. Idaho's State Plan

What is contained in the Idaho State Plan? What approval criteria did we use to evaluate Idaho's State Plan?

IV. EPA Rulemaking Action V. Administrative Requirements

## I. EPA Action

What Action Is EPA Taking Today?

We are approving the State of Idaho's section 111(d) State Plan for controlling emissions from existing Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfills. Idaho submitted its State Plan on December 16, 1999, to fulfill the requirements of section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (CAA). The State Plan adopts and implements the Emissions Guidelines (EG) applicable to existing MSW Landfills, and establishes emission limits and controls for sources which commenced construction. reconstruction, or modification before May 30, 1991. This approval, once effective, will make the Idaho MSW Landfills rules included in the plan federally enforceable.

Why Is EPA Taking This Action?

We have evaluated Idaho's MSW Landfills State Plan for consistency with the CAA, EPA guidelines and policy. We have determined that Idaho's State Plan meets all requirements, and, therefore, we are approving Idaho's plan to implement and enforce the standards applicable to existing MSW Landfills.

Who Is Affected by Idaho's State Plan?

Idaho's State Plan regulates all the sources designated by EPA's EG for existing MSW Landfills which commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification before May 30, 1991. If your facility meets this criteria, then you are subject to these regulations.

How Does This Approval Affect Sources Located in Indian Country?

Idaho's State Plan does not cover facilities located in Indian Country. Therefore, any sources located in Indian Country are subject to the Federal plan (see below).

How Does This Approval Relate to the Federal Plan?

On November 8, 1999, we finalized a Federal Plan for MSW Landfills which

covers sources located in Indian Country and sources for which there is no approved State Plan. This plan is codified at 40 CFR part 62, subpart GGG, and became effective on January 7, 2000. All existing MSW Landfills in Idaho, including those in Indian Country, are currently subject to the requirements in this Federal Plan (see 64 FR 60689, November 8, 1999). However, as of the effective date of this action approving Idaho's MSW Landfills State Plan, existing MSW Landfills within Idaho's jurisdiction will be subject to Idaho's State Plan, and will no longer be subject to the Federal Plan. Furthermore, MSW Landfills located in Indian Country are currently subject to the Federal Plan and will continue to be subject to the Federal Plan only.

## II. Background

What Is a State Plan?

Section 111 of the CAA, "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources," authorizes us to set air emissions standards for certain categories of sources. These standards are called New Source Performance Standards (NSPS). When a NSPS is promulgated for new sources, section 111(d) also requires that we publish an EG applicable to the control of the same pollutant from existing (designated) facilities. States with designated facilities must then develop a State Plan to adopt the EG into the State's body of regulations. States must also include in their State Plan other elements, such as inventories, legal authority, and public participation documentation, to demonstrate their ability to enforce the State Plans.

What Is a MSW Landfills State Plan?

A MSW Landfills State Plan is a State Plan (as described above) that controls air pollutant emissions from existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills.

Why Are We Requiring Idaho To Submit a MSW Landfills State Plan?

When we developed NSPS for MSW Landfills, we simultaneously developed the EG to control air emissions from existing MSW Landfills (see 61 FR 9919, March 12, 1996). Under section 111(d) of the CAA, the EG are not federally enforceable; therefore, section 111(d) of the CAA also requires states to submit to EPA for approval State Plans that implement and enforce the EG. These State Plans must be at least as protective as the EG, and they become federally enforceable upon approval by EPA. The procedures for adopting and submitting State Plans are located in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. If a State fails to have an

approvable plan in place by December 12, 1996, the EPA is required to promulgate a Federal plan to establish requirements for those sources not under an EPA-approved State Plan. EPA promulgated a Federal Plan for MSW Landfills on November 8, 1999. Existing MSW Landfills are subject to the Federal Plan until the State Plan is approved and in effect.

What Are the Requirements for a MSW Landfills State Plan?

A section 111(d) State Plan submittal must meet the requirements of 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, §§ 60.23 through 60.26; 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, §§ 60.30(c) through 60.36(c); and it must be consistent with the requirements established in the Federal Plan for MSW Landfills. Subpart B contains the procedures for adoption and submittal of State Plans. This subpart addresses public participation, legal authority, emission standards and other emission limitations, compliance schedules, emission inventories, source surveillance, and compliance assurance and enforcement requirements. EPA promulgated the EG as 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc on March 12, 1996, and amended the EG on June 16, 1998, and February 24, 1999. Subpart Cc contains the technical requirements for existing MSW Landfills and applies to sources which commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification before May 30, 1991. A State will generally address the MSW Landfills technical requirements by adopting by reference subpart Cc. The Federal Plan also contains the technical requirements for existing MSW Landfills with the same applicability. EPA promulgated the MSW Landfills Federal Plan on November 8, 1999. The section 111(d) state plan is required to be submitted within one year of the EG promulgation date, i.e., by December 12, 1996. Prior to submittal to us, the State must make available to the public the State Plan and provide opportunity for public comment. For States that submit their State Plans after December 12, 1996, the requirements within their State Plans (including compliance timelines) must be as protective as the Federal Plan. Idaho has developed and submitted a State Plan, as required by section 111(d) of the CAA, to gain federal approval to implement and enforce the MSW Landfills EG.

## III. Idaho's State Plan

What Is Contained in the Idaho State Plan?

The State of Idaho submitted its section 111(d) State Plan on December

16, 1999, for implementing EPA's EG for existing MSW Landfills. Idaho adopted the EG requirements into IDAPA 16.01.01.860 (effective November 19, 1999) entitled, "Emission Guidelines for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills That Commenced Construction, Reconstruction, Or Modification Before May 30, 1991." Idaho's section 111(d) Plan contains:

(1) A demonstration of the State's legal authority to implement the section

111(d) State Plan;

- (2) State Rules adopted into 16.01.01.860 as the mechanism for implementing and enforcing the State Plan;
- (3) Emission inventories of all Idaho's applicable sources. There are over 100 existing MSW Landfills in Idaho's inventory, including several closed facilities which are subject to the initial reporting requirements of the EG and the procedures for notification of modification as prescribed under 40 CFR 60.7(a)(4). Many of the listed landfills will not exceed the design capacity threshold for which compliance requirements have been established. These landfills will only be required to submit their initial design capacity reports and their initial emission rate reports. In these inventories, all designated pollutants have been identified and data have been provided for each;

(4) Emission limits that are as

protective as the EG;

- (5) Enforceable compliance schedules for all sources which will take more than 12 months from the effective date of the State Plan to comply with all emission standards. The State Plan also indicates within its regulations a final compliance date which is at least as protective as the date required by the Federal Plan;
- (6) Testing, monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping requirements for the designated facilities;

(7) Records for the public notice and hearing; and

(8) Provisions for Idaho's progress reports to EPA.

What Approval Criteria Did We Use To Evaluate Idaho's State Plan?

We reviewed Idaho's MSW Landfills State Plan for approval against the following criteria: 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, §§ 60.23 through 60.26; 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc, §§ 60.30(c) through 60.36(c); and the Federal Plan for MSW Landfills. A detailed discussion of our evaluation of Idaho's State Plan is included in our technical support document located in the official file for this action and available from the EPA contact listed above. We have

determined that Idaho's MSW Landfills State Plan meets all of the applicable approval criteria.

## IV. EPA Rulemaking Action

We are approving, through direct final rulemaking action, Idaho's section 111(d) State Plan for MSW Landfills. EPA is publishing this action without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the Idaho State Plan should relevant adverse comments be filed. This action will be effective on May 30, 2000 without further notice, unless EPA receives relevant adverse comments by April 27, 2000.

If EPA receives such comments, then it will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that this direct final rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on May 30, 2000 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

## V. Administrative Requirements

#### A. General Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action," and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. This action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves preexisting requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). For the same reason, this rule also does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of tribal governments, as specified by Executive Order 13084 (63 FR 27655, May 10, 1998). This rule will

not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing State Plan submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a State Plan submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a State Plan submission, to use VCS in place of a State Plan submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

# B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and

the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by May 30, 2000. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Methane, Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, Non-methane organic compounds, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 14, 2000.

## Chuck Clarke,

Regional Administrator, Region 10.

40 CFR Part 62 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 62—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 62 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

## Subpart N-Idaho

2. Subpart N is amended by adding § 62.3120 and an undesignated center heading to read as follows:

Control of Non-Methane Organic Compounds Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

## § 62.3120 Identification of plan.

- (a) The Idaho Division of Environmental Quality submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency a State Plan for the control of air emissions from Municipal Solid Waste Landfills on December 16, 1999.
- (b) Identification of Sources: The Idaho State Plan applies to all existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills which commenced construction, reconstruction, or modification before May 30, 1991, as described in 40 CFR

part 60, subpart Cc. (This plan does not apply to facilities on tribal lands).

(c) The effective date for the portion of the plan applicable to existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills is May 30, 2000.

[FR Doc. 00–7619 Filed 3–27–00; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P** 

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 62

[IN193-1a; FRL-6566-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; Indiana; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is approving the Indiana State Plan submittal for implementing the Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Landfill Emission Guidelines. The State submitted this plan on September 30, 1999 in accordance with requirements found in the Clean Air Act (CAA) and in the Code of Federal Regulations for adoption and submittal of State plans for designated facilities. The plan establishes performance standards for existing MSW landfills and provides for the implementation and enforcement of those standards. The EPA finds that Indiana's plan for existing MSW landfills adequately addresses all of the Federal requirements applicable to such plans. EPA's approval of the State's MSW Landfill Plan also includes rules submitted to EPA on November 21, 1995, and February 14, 1996, as volatile organic compound control measures. EPA approved the rules as part of the Indiana SIP on January 17, 1997. In this action, EPA is incorporating the rule revisions into the Indiana MSW Landfill

DATES: The "direct final" rule is effective on May 30, 2000, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by April 27, 2000. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the Federal Register and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the requested SIP revision are available for inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. (Please telephone Randolph O. Cano at (312) 886–6036 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Randolph O. Cano, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6036.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" is used we mean EPA.

#### Background

- I. Why Was This Plan Prepared and Submitted?
- II. What Elements Are Included in the EPA Review of Indiana's MSW Landfill Plan?
  - A. Identification of Enforceable State Mechanism for Implementing the Emission Guidelines (EG)
- B. Demonstration of the State's Legal Authority to Carry Out the Section 111(d) State Plan as Submitted
- C. Inventory of Existing MSW Landfills in the State Affected by the State Plan
- D. Inventory of Emissions From Existing MSW Landfills in the State
- E. Emission Limitations for MSW Landfills
- F. A Process for State Review and Approval of Site-Specific Gas Collection and Control System Design Plans
- G. Compliance Schedules
- H. Testing, Monitoring, Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements
- I. A Record of Public Hearings on the State Plan
- J. Submittal of Annual State Progress Reports to EPA

III. EPĀ Final Action

- IV. Administrative Requirements
  - A. Executive Order 12866
  - B. Executive Order 13045
  - C. Executive Order 13084 D. Executive Order 13132
  - E. Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - F. Unfunded Mandates
  - G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General
  - H. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
  - I. Petitions for Judicial Review

## Background

## I. Why Was This Plan Prepared and Submitted?

Under section 111(d) of the Act and 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, EPA has established procedures for States to submit plans to control certain existing sources of "designated pollutants." Designated pollutants are defined as pollutants for which a standard of performance for new sources applies under section 111, but which are not