

Sec. 12, lot 5;
Sec. 13, lot 2.

Camp Wamotochick (AZA 30551)

T.13N., R.2W.,
Sec. 35, lots 12 and 13.

Granite Basin Recreation Area (AZA 30597)

T.14 N., R.3W.,
Sec. 1, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 10, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 11, lots 11 to 17, inclusive, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 12, W $\frac{1}{2}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 13, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 14, lots 1 to 6, inclusive, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24, lots 1, 3, and 4, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Lynx Creek Recreation Area Expansion (AZA 30584)

T.13N., R.1W.,
Sec. 5, lots 10 and 11, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and
W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ (except the lands withdrawn
by Public Land Order No. 5058);
Sec. 6, lot 8 and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ (except the
lands withdrawn by Public Land Order
No. 5058);
Sec. 8, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
(except the lands withdrawn by Public
Land Order No. 5058).

Pine Summit Camp (AZA 30550)

T.13N., R.2W.,
Sec. 34, lots 9 (except the patented portion
of MS 4226), 10 (except the patented
portion of MS 4226), 11, 12, and 18;
Sec. 35, lots 14 and 15.

Williamson Valley Trailhead (AZA 30582)

T.15N., R.2W.,
Portions of lot 4, sec. 19 and lot 1, sec. 30,
more particularly described by metes and
bounds as follows: BEGINNING at the section
corner of secs. 19, 30, 24, and 25, T. 15 N.,
Rs. 2 and 3 W., thence south along the west
section line of sec. 30, 50 feet, thence along
a line parallel with the north section line of
sec. 30, 125.2 feet to the west right-of-way
line of the Williamson Valley Road, A.K.A.,
Prescott-Simmons County Highway; thence
North 23 degrees West, 320.5 feet along said
right-of-way line to the west section line of
sec. 19; thence south along said section line,
245 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

T.15N., R.3W.,
Sec. 25, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Miller Creek Summer Home Area (AZA 30552)

T.14N., R.3W.,
Sec. 35, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
The areas described aggregate 2,833.15
acres in Yavapai County.

2. This withdrawal will expire 20
years from the effective date of this
order unless, as a result of a review
conducted before the expiration date
pursuant to section 204(f) of the Federal
Land Policy and Management Act of
1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f) (1994), the
Secretary determines that the
withdrawal shall be extended.

Dated: March 13, 2000.

Sylvia V. Baca,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 00-6667 Filed 3-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Minerals Management Service

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service
(MMS), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of new information
collection.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort
to reduce paperwork and respondent
burden, MMS invites the public and
other Federal agencies to comment on a
proposal for the new collection of
information discussed below. We intend
to submit this collection of information
to the Office of Management and Budget
(OMB) for approval. The Paperwork
Reduction Act of 1995 provides that an
agency may not conduct or sponsor, and
a person is not required to respond to,
a collection of information unless it
displays a currently valid OMB control
number.

DATES: Submit written comments by
May 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Mail or hand carry
comments to the Department of the
Interior; Minerals Management Service;
Attention: Rules Processing Team; Mail
Stop 4024; 381 Elden Street; Herndon,
Virginia 20170-4817. Our practice is to
make comments, including names and
home addresses of respondents,
available for public review during
regular business hours. Individual
respondents may request that we
withhold their home address from the
rulemaking record, which we will honor
to the extent allowable by law. There
may be circumstances in which we
would withhold from the record a
respondent's identity, as allowable by
the law. If you wish us to withhold your
name and/or address, you must state
this prominently at the beginning of
your comment. However, we will not
consider anonymous comments. We
will make all submissions from

organizations or businesses, and from
individuals identifying themselves as
representatives or officials of
organizations or businesses, available
for public inspection in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alexis London, Rules Processing Team,
telephone (703) 787-1600. You may also
contact Alexis London to obtain a copy
of the collection of information at no
cost.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Survey—Public Information
Offices (PIO).

OMB Control Number: 1010-NEW.

Abstract: The Outer Continental Shelf
(OCS) Lands Act, 43 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*,
requires the Secretary of the Interior to
preserve, protect, and develop offshore
oil and gas and sulphur resources; make
such resources available to meet the
Nation's energy needs as rapidly as
possible; balance orderly energy
resource development with protection
of the human, marine, and coastal
environments; ensure the public a fair
and equitable return on the resources of
the OCS; preserve and maintain free
enterprise competition; and ensure that
the extent of oil and natural gas
resources of the OCS is assessed at the
earliest practicable time. MMS
administers this program.

Executive Order 12862, September 11,
1993, Setting Customer Service
Standards, provided renewed focus on
surveying customers. The Executive
Order states that customer satisfaction is
seen as the ultimate performance
indicator for the Federal Government
because it shows how well our
customers are being served and what we
must do to close the gap between what
we provide our customers and what
they want. We included in our
Government Performance Results Act
Strategic Plan, a requirement to survey
customers to validate our customer
service/satisfaction performance. We
have not conducted a survey of the
regional PIOs for several years.

A goal included in the Strategic Plan
requires the Offshore Minerals
Management program to improve the
level of service of its PIOs by 2003. The
baseline for this improvement is FY
2000. To assess whether this goal has
been met, we plan to conduct an annual
customer satisfaction survey over the
next 3 years. The first survey will
probably include the most questions,
and the results will provide the FY 2000
baseline for measuring achievement of
this performance goal. The questions to
be included in the subsequent surveys
may be reduced, depending on the
results from the baseline survey. MMS

will use the information to improve services to its customers.

No proprietary data, confidential information, or items of a sensitive nature will be collected. Responses are voluntary.

Frequency: Annual survey.

Estimated Number and Description of Respondents: Approximately 4,100 MMS customers on regional mailing lists, including Federal OCS oil, gas, and sulphur lessees and operators.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Hour" Burden: We estimate 20–25 minutes to complete each survey, for a total annual burden of 427 hours. There are no recordkeeping requirements.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost" Burden: None.

Comments: We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. We specifically solicit your comments on the following questions:

(a) Is the proposed collection of information necessary for us to properly perform our functions, and will it be useful?

(b) Is the estimate of the burden hours of the proposed collection reasonable?

(c) Do you have any suggestions that would enhance the quality, clarity, or usefulness of the information to be collected?

(d) Is there a way to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology?

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Jo Ann Lauterbach, (202) 208–7744).

Dated: March 2, 2000.

E.P. Danenberger,

Chief, Engineering and Operations Division.

[FR Doc. 00–6662 Filed 3–16–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–W

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement: United States of America v. CBS Corporation, Infinity Broadcasting Corporation and Outdoor Systems, Inc.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States

District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. CBS Corporation, Infinity Broadcasting Corporation and Outdoor Systems, Inc.* Case No. 1:99CV03212. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act. 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h).

The United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint on December 6, 1999, alleging that the proposed acquisition of Outdoor Systems, Inc. ("OSI") by CBS Corporation ("CBS") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleges that CBS and OSI compete head-to-head to sell out-of-home advertising displays in Three Metropolitan Areas: (1) New York, New York; (2) New Orleans, Louisiana; and (3) Phoenix, Arizona; (collectively "the Three Metropolitan Areas"). Outdoor advertising companies sell out-of-home advertising display space to local and national customers. The out-of-home advertising display business in the Three Metropolitan Areas is highly concentrated. CBS, through TDI, a subsidiary of CBS-owned Infinity Broadcasting Corporation, and OIS would have a combined share of revenue in excess of 60 percent in New York, New York and a combined share in excess of 75 percent in Phoenix, Arizona and New Orleans, Louisiana. Unless the acquisition is blocked, competition would be substantially lessened in the Three Metropolitan Areas, and advertisers would likely pay higher prices.

The prayer for relief seeks: (a) An adjudication that the proposed transaction described in the Complaint would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act; (b) Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief preventing the consummation of the transaction; (c) An award to the United States of the costs of this action; and (d) Such other relief as is proper.

Shortly before this suit was filed, a proposed settlement was reached that permits CBS to complete its acquisition of OSI, yet preserves competition in the Three Metropolitan Areas where the transaction raises significant competitive concerns. A Stipulation and proposed Final Judgment embodying the settlement were filed at the same time the complaint was filed.

In Phoenix and New Orleans, the defendants are required to divest assets equivalent to all the out-of-home assets of one of the merging parties, thus completely restoring the pre-merger industry structure and resolving any competitive concerns. In New York, the

defendants are required to divest assets yielding a net revenue of no less than \$25.3 million, which is equivalent to all the out-of-home advertising assets of OSI with the exception of its bus shelter and subway businesses. With respect to these two businesses, if the parties possess both contracts as of February 2000, they are required to divest one of these businesses.

Unless the plaintiff grants a time extension, CBS must divest these outdoor advertising assets with one-hundred and fifty (150) days after the filing of the Complaint in this action. Finally, in the event that the Court does not, for any reason, enter the Final Judgment with that one-hundred and fifty day period, the divestitures are to occur within five (5) business days after notice of entry of the Final Judgment.

If CBS does not divest the assets within the time periods specified in the final judgment, the Court, upon plaintiff's application, is to appoint a trustee to sell the assets. The proposed Final Judgment also requires that, until the divestitures mandated by the final Judgment have been accomplished, CBS shall take all steps necessary to maintain and operate the divestiture assets as active competitors; maintain the management, staffing, sales and marketing of the out-of-home advertising displays; and maintain out-of-home advertising displays in operable condition. Further, the proposed Final Judgment requires CBS to give the United States prior notice regarding certain future outdoor advertising acquisitions or agreements pertaining to the sale of outdoor advertising in the Three Metropolitan Areas.

The plaintiff and the defendants have stipulated that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered after compliance with the APPA. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment would terminate this action, except that the Court would retain jurisdiction to construe, modify, or enforce the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment and to punish violations thereof.

A Competitive Impact Statement filed by the United States, describes the Complaint, the proposed Final Judgment, and remedies available to private litigants.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. Such comments, and the responses thereto, will be published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Written comments should be directed to Willie Hudgins, Assistant Chief, Litigation II, Antitrust Division, 1401 H Street, NW., Suite 3000, Washington,