DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 29, 1999, and reply comments on or before April 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: F. William LeBeau, Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004-1109 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 99-38, adopted January 27, 1999, and released February 5, 1999. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 99–3796 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 99-37, RM-9450]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Flasher, ND

AGENCY: Federal Communications

Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by High Plains Broadcasting, Inc., to allot Channel 290C to Flasher, ND, as the community's first local aural service. Channel 290C can be allotted to Flasher in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction, at coordinates 46–27–12 NL; 101–14–06. Canadian concurrence in the allotment is required since Flasher is located within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S.-Canadian border.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 29, 1999, and reply comments on or before April 13, 1999. ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, S.W., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments

with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: F. William LeBeau, Hogan & Hartson L.L.P., 555 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004–1109 (Counsel to petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie K. Shapiro, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 99-37, adopted January 27, 1999, and released February 5, 1999. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 1231 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex* parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts. For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 99–3797 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 99-45; RM-9401]

Television Broadcasting Services; El Dorado and Camden, AR

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document requests comments on a petition for rule making filed on behalf of Equity Broadcasting Corporation, permittee of Station KKYK-TV, Channel 49, El Dorado, Arkansas, requesting the reallotment of Channel 49 from El Dorado to Camden, Arkansas, as that community's first local television transmission service and modification of its authorization accordingly, pursuant to the provisions of § 1.420(i) of the Commission's Rules. Coordinates used for Channel 49 at Camden are those of the petitioner's presently authorized transmitter site at coordinates 33-16-19 NL and 92-42-11 WL.

Although the Commission has imposed a freeze on the TV Table of Allotments in certian metropolitan areas, the freeze is not applicable to changes requested by existing stations. See Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact on the Existing Television Broadcast Service, Order, 52 FR 28,346, July 29, 1987. Moreover, the petitioner's authorization was issued after the cutoff date established in the Sixth Report and Order on Digital Television Service, 12 FCC Rcd 13588, 14593 (1997). Therefore, this proposal does not impact on the DTV Table of Allotments set forth in Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules, nor does the instant proposal request the allotment of a paired DTV channel for Camden.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before March 29, 1999, and reply comments on or before April 13, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner's counsel, as follows: Mark N. Lipp and Scott C. Cinnamon, Esqs., Shook, Hardy & Bacon, 1850 K Street, N.W., Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418–2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 99-45, adopted January 27, 1999, and released February 5, 1999. The text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC's Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 857 - 3800

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission.

John A. Karousos.

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau. [FR Doc. 99–3792 Filed 2–16–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

49 CFR Parts 390 and 396

[FHWA Docket No. FHWA-98-3656] RIN 2125-AE40

General Requirements Inspection, Repair, and Maintenance; Intermodal Container Chassis and Trailers

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. ACTION: Advance notice of proposed

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM); request for comments.

SUMMARY: In response to a petition for rulemaking filed by the American Trucking Associations, Inc. (ATA) and the ATA Intermodal Conference (the petitioners), the FHWA agreed to consider revisions to the requirements in parts 390 and 396 of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) that place upon motor carriers the responsibility for maintaining intermodal container chassis and trailers. The petitioners contend that motor carriers have no opportunity to maintain this equipment and that the parties who do have the opportunity often fail to do so. The FHWA, therefore, is seeking information on the extent of this problem and public comments on the solution proposed by petitioners, i.e., to mandate joint responsibility between the "equipment provider" and the motor carrier for maintaining this type of intermodal equipment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 19, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Signed, written comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Those desiring notification of receipt of comments must include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard H. Singer, Office of Motor Carrier Research and Standards, HCS–10, (202) 366–4009; or Mr. Charles E. Medalen, Office of the Chief Counsel, HCC–20, (202) 366–1354, Federal Highway Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. [TDD number for the hearing impaired: 1–800–699–7828] Office hours are from

7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Electronic Access

Internet users can access all comments received by the U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, by using the universal resource locator (URL): http://dms.dot.gov. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. Please follow the instructions online for more information and help.

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded using a modem and suitable communications software from the Government Printing Office's Electronic Bulletin Board Service at (202) 512–1661. Internet users may reach the Federal Register's home page at http://www.nara.gov/fedreg and the Government Printing Office's database at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara.

Background

The American Trucking Associations, Inc. and the ATA Intermodal Conference filed a petition for rulemaking on March 17, 1997, to amend 49 CFR parts 390 and 396 of the FMCSRs.

The petitioners asked the FHWA to require parties that tender intermodal equipment to motor carriers to ensure the "roadworthiness" of that equipment. The petition pointed out that:

[t]he motor carrier—or more precisely, the driver-usually does not have the ability or opportunity to do a full and adequate inspection of each piece of intermodal equipment to ensure the equipment's roadworthiness or compliance with the FMCSRs when accepting intermodal equipment at a port or railhead. The equipment is owned or leased by the railroad, steamship line or other party tendering/interchanging it to the motor carrier. If a safety defect in the equipment is not immediately obvious to the truck driver, he/she has neither the time nor facilities to conduct a more in-depth inspection. The standard interchange agreement adopted by most equipment providers, the Uniform Intermodal Interchange and Facilities Access Agreement (UIIA), specifically states that the '(p)rovider makes no express nor implied warranty as to the fitness of the equipment." Further, the typical equipment provider addendum to the UIIA requires the driver to warrant that the equipment is "roadworthy."

The petitioners argue that poor maintenance of intermodal equipment is a serious safety problem and request the FHWA to make the owner or operator of such equipment responsible for the roadworthiness of the vehicles it tenders to motor carriers.

Motor carriers must be held responsible for the safety of their own equipment, but when they engage in