

Comment date: December 6, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

20. Illinova Power Marketing, Inc.

[Docket No. ER00-582-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Illinova Power Marketing, Inc., tendered for filing an Electric Power Transaction Service Agreement under which Griffin Energy Marketing, L.L.C., will take service pursuant to IPMI's power sales tariff, Rate Schedule FERC No. 1.

IPMI has requested an effective date of October 21, 1999.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

21. Virginia Electric and Power Company

[Docket No. ER00-583-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia Power), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for Long Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service with PECO Energy Company under the Open Access Transmission Tariff to Eligible Purchasers dated July 14, 1997. Under the tendered Service Agreement, Virginia Power will provide Long Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service to PECO Energy Company under the rates, terms and conditions of the Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Virginia Power requests an effective date of June 1, 2001.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

22. Montana Power Company

[Docket No. ER00-584-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Montana Power Company (Montana), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.13 an executed Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement and executed Non-Firm Point-to-Point Service Agreement with Cargill-Alliant, LLC under Montana's FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 5 (Open Access Transmission Tariff).

A copy of the filing was served upon Cargill-Alliant, LLC.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

23. Montana Power Company

[Docket No. ER00-585-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Montana Power Company

(Montana), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.13 an unexecuted Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement and executed Non-Firm Point-to-Point Service Agreement with Enron Power Marketing, Inc., under Montana's FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 5 (Open Access Transmission Tariff).

A copy of the filing was served upon Enron Power Marketing, Inc.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

24. Madison Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER00-586-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Madison Gas and Electric Company (MGE), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a Market-Based Power Sales Tariff.

Copies of this filing have been mailed to all MGE customers currently served on its existing Power Sales Tariff (FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 2) and to the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

MGE requests an effective date 60 days from the date of filing.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

25. Delmarva Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER00-587-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delmarva), tendered for filing an executed Service Agreement with Commonwealth Energy Corporation doing business as ELECTRICAMERICA under Delmarva's market rate sales tariff, FERC Electric Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 14.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

26. Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER00-588-000]

Take notice that on November 15, 1999, Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc., tendered for filing an executed Service Agreement for Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service. The agreement has been signed by Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc. (the Transmission Provider) and Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc., (the Transmission Customer).

Alliant Energy Corporate Services, Inc., requests an effective date of May 1, 2001, and accordingly, seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Comment date: December 3, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

27. PDI—New England and PDI—Canada

[Docket No. ER00-598-000]

Take notice that on November 18, 1999, PDI—New England and PDI—Canada filed their quarterly report for the quarter ending September 30, 1999.

Comment date: December 13, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

David P. Boergers,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99-31261 Filed 12-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6482-4]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plans

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plans (SPCC), OMB

Control No. 2050-0021; expiring 12/31/99). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 3, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by EMAIL at farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov, or download a copy of the ICR off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 328.08.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: "Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure Plans" (OMB Control No. 2050-0021; EPA ICR No. 328.08) expiring 12/31/99. This ICR requests an extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: Under Section 311 of the Clean Water Act, EPA's Oil Pollution Prevention regulation (40 CFR part 112) requires facility owners or operators to prepare and implement SPCC Plans and keep certain records. Preparation of the SPCC Plan requires that a facility owner or operator analyze how to prevent oil discharges, thereby promoting appropriate facility design and operations. The information in the SPCC Plan also promotes efficient response in the event of a discharge. Finally, proper maintenance of the SPCC Plan promotes important spill-reducing measures, facilitates leak detection, and generally ensures that the facility deters discharges at its peak capability. All of the SPCC Plan recordkeeping activities are mandatory. The specific activities and reasons and uses for the information collection are described below. Recordkeeping Activities: Under section 112.3, a facility owner or operator must prepare a written SPCC Plan, maintain it at or near the facility, and have it certified by a Registered Professional Engineer (PE). Under section 112.5 the SPCC Plan must be amended (I) whenever there is a facility change that materially affects the potential to discharge oil, and (ii) to include more effective prevention and control technology identified in the owner or operator's triennial Plan review. If amended, the Plan must also be certified by a PE. Under section 112.4, in the event of certain oil discharges, facility owners or operators must submit the SPCC Plan and other information to the EPA Regional Administrator and the appropriate state water pollution control agency within 60 days. Upon review, the Regional Administrator may require amendment of the SPCC Plan. Again, the amended

Plan must be certified by PE. Under section 112.3, the owner or operator must maintain (and update) records of specific inspections as outlined under section 112.7(e). Purpose of Data Collection: Facility owners or operators are the primary user of SPCC Plans and related data. EPA does not collect the Plan or related records on a routine basis. Facilities that prepare, implement, and maintain an SPCC Plan improve their ability to prevent oil discharges, and mitigate the environmental damage caused by such discharges. As facility owners or operators accumulate the data, they necessarily analyze the facility's capability to prevent oil discharges, facilitate safety awareness, and promote the use of appropriate design and operational standards that reduce the likelihood of an oil discharge. The Plan information can also help the facility respond efficiently in the event of a discharge. Inspection records help facility owners and operators to promote important operation and maintenance, and demonstrate compliance with SPCC requirements.

EPA also uses the SPCC data in certain situations. EPA primarily uses SPCC Plan data to verify that facilities comply with the regulation and implement their Plan, including design and operation specifications and inspection requirements. EPA reviews SPCC Plans; (1) when facilities submit the Plans because of oil discharges, and (2) as part of EPA's inspection program. State and local governments may also use the data, which is not necessarily available elsewhere and can greatly assist local emergency preparedness planning efforts.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on September 2, 1999 (64 FR 48157). We received several comments. Those commenters suggested measures like the extension of triennial review to five- or seven-year review, exemption of electrical utilities from the SPCC rule or from various provisions of that rule, and certification of SPCC Plans by environmental professional rather than by a Professional Engineer. We will address those comments in a forthcoming rule which we expect to finalize in 2000. We received several other comments concerning our

accounting methodology for Plan certification. Based on these comments, we have changed our methodology to better reflect this requirement. The Supporting Statement to the Information Collection Request provides additional detail concerning this adjustment.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden per facility for this collection of information is estimated to range between 39.4 and 100.4 hours for newly regulated facilities and 4.9 to 13.8 hours for facilities that are currently regulated. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements to train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Non-transportation related facilities that could be reasonably expected to discharge oil into or upon navigable waters.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 469,289.

Frequency of Response: One-time plan, occasional records/reports.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 2.8 million hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$28.7 million.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 328.08 and OMB Control No. 2050-0021 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Policy, Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725-17th St., NW, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: November 23, 1999.

Richard T. Westlund,

Acting Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 99-31279 Filed 12-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6482-5]

Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board; Nominees, Meeting Date and Agenda

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice; solicitation of nominees for membership and notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is soliciting nominees to serve on the Environmental Laboratory Advisory Board (ELAB). Nominees are being sought to fill vacancies in the following categories: environmental engineering associations or firms, Indian nations, third party assessors, commercial laboratories, purchasers of environmental laboratory services, public interest groups and other associated with the environmental monitoring community. Terms of service will commence on December 16, 1999, and terminate on July 30, 2001. Application forms must be completed, to provide information on experience, abilities, stakeholder interest, organizational description, and references. A copy of the application form can be obtained on the Internet (see address below).

The Agency will convene an open meeting of ELAB on December 16, 1999, from 5:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. to solicit input from the public on issues related to the NELAC standards and the NELAC environmental laboratory accreditation program. ELAB will then reconvene on December 17, 1999, from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. These meetings immediately follow the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference's (NELAC) interim meeting and will be held in the J.W. Marriott Hotel, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20460. Directions can be obtained from the hotel by calling (202) 393-2000.

The agenda will include discussions of issues related to laboratory accreditation raised to the Board by the public as well as a review of outstanding recommendations and activities from earlier Board meetings. Comments on the NELAC standards and laboratory accreditation program will be

solicited. The Internet site address for the NELAC standards and the above mentioned ELAB nominee application is:

<http://ttnwww.rtpnc.epa.gov/html/nelac/nelac.htm#NL02>

The public is encouraged to attend. Time will be allotted for public comment. Written comments are encouraged and should be directed to David Friedman; USEPA (8101R); Washington, DC 20460. If questions arise, please contact Mr. Friedman at (202) 564-6662, fax (202) 565-2432, or E-mail: friedman.david@epa.gov.

Dated: November 23, 1999.

Henry L. Longest II,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Management.

[FR Doc. 99-31280 Filed 12-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6483-5]

Carolina Creosoting Corporation Superfund Site, Leland, Brunswick County, North Carolina; Notice of Proposed Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 122(h)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") proposes to settle its claim for past response costs incurred at the Carolina Creosoting Corporation Site ("Site") located in Leland, Brunswick County, North Carolina with the following settling parties: the Trust under the Will of Robert T. Smith, Nancy Smith, both individually and as Trustee under the Will of Robert T. Smith, Edward Keelan, Joseph E. Carney, Jr., and Thomas Carney. For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement. EPA will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Ms. Paula V. Batchelor, U.S. EPA Region 4, CERCLA Program Services Branch, Waste Management Division, 61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, (404) 562-8887. Comments should

reference the Carolina Creosoting Corporation Site in Leland, Brunswick County, North Carolina.

Anita Davis,

Acting Chief, CERCLA Program Services Branch, Waste Management Division.

[FR Doc. 99-31282 Filed 12-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6483-1]

Middlefield-Ellis-Whisman Regional Study Area Proposed Notice of Administrative Settlement

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9600 *et seq.*, notice is hereby given that a proposed prospective purchaser agreement associated with the Middlefield-Ellis-Whisman Regional Study Area¹ was executed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") on November 4, 1999. The proposed prospective purchaser agreement would resolve certain potential claims of the United States under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, against Jay Paul Company, Inc. and Whisman Ventures (collectively, the "Purchaser"). The proposed settlement would require the purchaser to pay EPA a one-time payment of \$75,000.

For thirty (30) calendar days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the proposed settlement. If requested prior to the expiration of this public comment period, EPA will provide an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area. EPA's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before January 3, 2000.

¹ MEW encompasses three NPL Superfund Sites (Fairchild, Raytheon and Intel, respectively), two federal facilities (Moffett Naval Air Station and NASA) and eight other facilities undergoing remediation which are not listed on the NPL.