additional opportunity for public comment.

#### **Cost Impact**

There are approximately 2,167 McDonnell Douglas Model DC–9 series airplanes, and Model MD–88 and MD–90–30 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 1,200 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$146,400, or \$122 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

## Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

## The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part

39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 97–NM–244–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–9 series airplanes, and Model MD–88 airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC9–25A357, Revision 02, dated May 28, 1998; and Model MD–90–30 airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90–25A019, dated February 11, 1997; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent the improper deployment of the evacuation slide, which could delay or impede evacuation of passengers during an emergency, accomplish the following:

## Replacement

(a) Within 180 days after the effective date of this AD, replace the lanyard assembly pins of the evacuation slides with solid corrosion-resistant pins, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC9–25A357, dated February 11, 1997, Revision 01, dated March 16, 1998, or Revision 02, dated May 28, 1998 (for Model DC–9 series airplanes and Model MD–88 airplanes); or McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD90–25A019, dated February 11, 1997 (for Model MD–90–30 airplanes); as applicable.

## Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no lanyard assembly, part number (P/N) 3961899–1 or P/N 3956939–501, shall be installed on any airplane unless that assembly has been modified in accordance with the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

## **Alternate Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 19, 1999.

#### D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 99–30803 Filed 11–24–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-102-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328–100 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** This action withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that proposed a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes. That action would have required a revision to the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit inflight operation of the auxiliary power unit (APU). That proposal also would have required inspection of the APU fire extinguisher discharge cartridge for corrosion, and replacement of the discharge cartridge with a new cartridge, if necessary; and modification of the fire extinguishing system tube assembly. Accomplishment of these actions would have terminated the AFM revision. Since the issuance of the NPRM, the manufacturer has advised the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) that, on all of the affected Model 328-100 series airplanes, the APU fire extinguisher discharge cartridges have been inspected, all corroded discharge cartridges have been replaced with new cartridges, and modification of the fire extinguishing system tube assemblies

has been accomplished. Accordingly, the proposed rule is withdrawn.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227–2196; fax (425) 227–1320.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A

proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 1999 (64 FR 34590). The proposed rule would have required a revision to the FAAapproved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit in-flight operation of the auxiliary power unit (APU); inspection of the APU fire extinguisher discharge cartridge for corrosion, and replacement of the discharge cartridge with a new cartridge, if necessary; and modification of the fire extinguishing system tube assembly. After accomplishment of the inspection, modification, and replacement (if necessary), the AFM revision would be removed. The proposed rule was prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The proposed actions were intended to correct deficiencies in the design of the fire extinguishing system that did not allow for adequate fluid drainage. This condition, if not corrected, could have resulted in operational failure of the APU fire extinguisher.

## Actions That Occurred Since the NPRM Was Issued

Since the issuance of that NPRM, the manufacturer has provided the FAA with confirmation that, on all of the affected Dornier Model 328-100 series airplanes, the APU fire extinguisher discharge cartridges have been inspected, all corroded discharge cartridges have been replaced with new cartridges, and modification of all the fire extinguishing system tube assemblies has been accomplished.

## FAA's Conclusions

Upon further consideration, the FAA has determined that the proposed actions of the NPRM (Rules Docket 99-NM-102-AD) are unnecessary since the unsafe condition that those actions were intended to address no longer exists. Accordingly, the proposed rule is hereby withdrawn.

Withdrawal of this notice of proposed rulemaking constitutes only such action, and does not preclude the agency from

issuing another notice in the future, nor does it commit the agency to any course of action in the future.

## Regulatory Impact

Since this action only withdraws a notice of proposed rulemaking, it is neither a proposed rule nor a final rule and therefore is not covered under Executive Order 12866, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, or DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979).

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Withdrawal

Accordingly, the notice of proposed rulemaking, Docket 99-NM-102-AD, published in the Federal Register on June 28, 1999 (64 FR 34590), is withdrawn.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 19, 1999.

#### D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99-30802 Filed 11-24-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Federal Aviation Administration

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-192-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; Boeing **Model 747 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 747 series airplanes, that currently requires a one-time inspection to determine the part number of the fuel shutoff spar valve for the outboard engines. That AD also requires replacement of certain valves with new valves, or modification of the spar valve body assembly, and various follow-on actions. This action would add new requirements to accomplish those actions on additional airplanes; and would require a one-time inspection of the maintenance records of certain airplanes to determine if the fuel shutoff spar valve for the outboard engines has ever been replaced, and various followon actions. This proposal is prompted

by reports indicating that, due to high fuel pressure, certain fuel system components of the outboard engines have failed. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such high fuel pressure, which could result in failure of the fuel system components; this situation could result in fuel leakage, and, consequently, lead to an engine fire.

DATES: Comments must be received by January 10, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-192-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207; or ITT Aerospace Controls, 28150 Industry Drive, Valencia, California 91355. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dionne M. Stanley, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM-140S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2250; fax (425) 227-1181.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this