

under the authority of Pub. L. 102-587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. Revise § 117.285 paragraph (b) to read as follows:

**§ 117.285 Grand Canal.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) The draw of the Tortoise Island bridge, mile 2.6, shall open on signal; except that during the evening hours from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m. from Sunday evening until Friday morning, the draw shall open on signal if at least 2 hours advance notice is given. On Friday and Saturday evening hours and evenings preceding federal holidays, from 10 p.m. to 6 a.m., the draw shall open on signal if at least 30 minutes advance notice is given.

Dated: January 21, 1999.

**Norman T. Saunders,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 99-3133 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA-011-0071b; FRL-6229-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District and Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises definitions in North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District (NCUAQMD) and Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District (NSAPCD) Rule 130, Definitions.

The intended effect of proposing approval of these rule is to incorporate changes to the definitions for clarity and consistency with revised federal and state definitions. EPA is proposing approval of these rules to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) under title I of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving these rules as a direct final rule without

prior proposal because the Agency views this as noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's approval of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.

North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District, 2300 Myrtle Avenue, Eureka, CA 95501.

Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District, 150 Matheson, Healdsburg, CA 95448.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cynthia G. Allen, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1189.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns North Coast Unified Air Quality Management District and Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District Rules 130, Definitions, submitted on EPA on December 31, 1990 (NCUAQMD) and June 23, 1998 (NCUAQMD) and March 10, 1998 (NSCAPCD), by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action that is located in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: January 4, 1999.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 99-2794 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 194-0125b; FRL-6226-6]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concerns the control of volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions from leather processing operations within the Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD) area.

The intended effect of proposing approval of this rule is to regulate emissions of VOC in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received in writing by March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of the rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business

hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95812.  
Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution  
Control District, Rule Development,  
24850 Silver Cloud Ct., Monterey, CA  
93940-6536.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office  
(AIR-4), Air Division, U.S.  
Environmental Protection Agency,  
Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San  
Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone:  
(415) 744-1185.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns MBUAPCD's Rule 430, Leather Processing Operations, submitted to EPA on March 26, 1997 by the California Air Resources Board. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 14, 1999.

**Felicia Marcus,**

*Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 99-2792 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 207-0114b; FRL-6229-8]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Amador County Air Pollution Control District and Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern rules from the Amador County Air Pollution Control District (ACAPCD) and the Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District (NSCAPCD). The intended effect of this proposed action is to remove rules from the SIP in accordance with the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial

action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule and technical evaluation documents. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will not take effect and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rescission requests are also available for inspection at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Air  
Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, SW,  
Washington, DC 20460.

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95812.

Amador County Air Pollution Control  
District, 500 Argonaut Lane, Jackson,  
CA 95642.

Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution  
Control District, 150 Matheson Street,  
Healdsburg, CA 95448-4908.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Yvonne Fong, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1199.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns the following rules from the Amador County Air Pollution Control District: Rule 213.2, Organic Solvents; and Rule 213.3, Disposal and Evaporation of Solvents, and the following rules from the Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District: Rule 56, Sulfide Emission Standard; Rule 64, Organic Solvents; Rule 64.1, Architectural Coatings; and Rule 64.2, Disposal and Evaporation of Solvents. These rules were submitted to EPA for removal from the California State Implementation Plan. For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action

which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 25, 1999.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

[FR Doc. 99-2783 Filed 2-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CO-001-0019b; FRL-6216-7]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Colorado; Revisions to Regulation No. 7, Section III, General Requirements for Storage and Transfer of Volatile Organic Compounds

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection  
Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve a revision to the Colorado State Implementation Plan (SIP) to Regulation No. 7, "Regulation To Control Emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds." The revision to Regulation No. 7 involves the addition of paragraph C to section III, "General Requirements for Storage and Transfer of Volatile Organic Compounds." This new paragraph C exempts beer production and associated beer container storage and transfer operations involving volatile organic compounds with a true vapor pressure of less than 1.5 psia, at actual conditions, from the submerged or bottom-fill requirements of section III. B. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments must be received in writing on or before March 11, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to: Richard R. Long, Director, Air