EFFECTIVE DATE: November 16, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nova J. Daly or Thomas Futtner, AC/CVD Enforcement, Group II, Office Four, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0989, and 482–3814, respectively. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of PSPT measuring 13/8 inches in width and not exceeding 4 millimeters (mils) in thickness. During the POR, the above described PSPT was classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) subheadings 3919.90.20 and 3919.90.50. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs Service (Customs) purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Background

On July 12, 1999, we published in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 37504) the preliminary results of the review of this order. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no comments. In the preliminary results, we determined the weighted-average dumping margin for the period October 1, 1997 through September 30, 1998, to be 12.66 percent, which is the highest rate determined in any prior segment of the proceeding.

This rate was calculated for the 1977–1980 administrative review of this order. The Department has now completed the administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Final Results of Review

Because we received no comments from interested parties, we have determined that no changes to the preliminary results are warranted for purposes of these final results. The weighted-average dumping margin for the period October 1, 1997 through April 30, 1998 is as follows:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted- average margin per- centage
Autoadesivi Magri s.r.l	12.66

The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of these final results for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash-deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above. the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-thanfair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review or in any previous reviews or in the original LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 12.66 percent, the "new shipper" rate established in the final results of the first antidumping duty administrative review conducted by the Department (See Final Results 1997–80, 48 FR at 35688). 1 The deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the act.

Dated: November 3, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary, Import Administration. [FR Doc. 99–29908 Filed 11–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

Release of the Notice of Availability on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) on the Disposal and Reuse of Oakland Army Base, Oakland, California

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This DEIS was prepared by the Army in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 and the President's Council on Environmental Quality. The closure of Oakland Army Base (OARB), Oakland, California, was mandated in accordance with the recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, Public Law 101-510, as amended (the "BRAC law"). **DATES:** The comment period for the DEIS will end 45 days after publication of the NOA in the Federal Register by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ADDRESSES: Questions and/or written comments regarding the DEIS, or a request for a copy of the document may be directed to: Dr. Robert Koenigs, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento, 1325 'J' Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Robert Koenigs at (916) 557–6712 or by facsimile at (916) 557–6856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The DEIS analyzes three alternative courses of action with respect to the disposal and

¹ This rate will constitute the "all others" rate for this review. In proceedings governed by antidumping findings, unless we are able to ascertain the "all others" rate from the Treasury LTFV investigation, the Department has determined that it is appropriate to adopt the "new shipper rate established in the first final results of administrative review published by the Department (or that rate as amended for correction of clerical errors as a result of litigation) as the "all others" rate for the purposes of establishing cash deposits in all current and future administrative reviews (See, e.g., Final results of antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Internal-Combustion Industrial Forklift Trucks From Japan, 59 FR 1374, 1384, (January 10, 1994)).

subsequent reuse of the 422 acres (368 land acres and 54 submerged land acres) comprising OARB: (1) The no action alternative, under which the property would be maintained in a caretaker status after closure; (2) the unencumbered alternative, under which the Army would transfer the property without encumbrances, such as environmental restrictions and easements; and (3) the encumbered disposal alternative, under which the Army would transfer the property with various environmental restrictions and easements, limiting the future use of the property. Additionally, this DEIS analyzes the potential environmental and socioeconomic consequences of six community reuse alternatives: (1) Low intensity reuse alternative; (2) lowmedium intensity reuse alternative; (3) medium intensity reuse alternative; (4) medium-high intensity reuse alternative; (5) high intensity reuse alternative; and (6) very high intensity reuse alternative.

The DEIS concludes the no action alternative is not reasonable since the closure of OARB is mandated by BRAC law, and the Army has no requirement to retain the property. The DEIS also concludes that the unencumbered disposal alternative is not feasible given environmental conditions and legal requirements.

The Army's preferred alternative course of action is the encumbered disposal of excess property. Possible encumbrances include: covenants and restrictions pertaining to asbestoscontaining material; lead-based paint; flood plains; future remedial activities after transfer; wetlands and easements; and rights-of-way.

Community reuse of OARB property is analyzed in the DEIS as a secondary action resulting from closure and disposal by the Army. While the Army does not control the community's reuse of the property, under NEPA, the army is required to analyze the reasonably foreseeable impacts of its disposal action. The local community has established the Oakland Base Reuse Authority (OBRA) to develop and implement a reuse plan for the installation. Approval and implementation of the reuse plan are within the discretion of the OBRA.

A public meeting will be held during the 45-day DEIS comment period to afford the public the opportunity to provide oral and written comments on the DEIS. The location and time of the meeting will be announced in local newspapers at least 15 days prior to the meeting. Verbal comments made at the public meeting and written comments received during the comment period

will be used in the preparation of the Final EIS and Record of Decision.

Copies of the DEIS have been forwarded to the EPA, other Federal, state, and local agencies; public officials; and organizations and individuals who previously provided substantive comments in the EIS scoping process. Copies of the DEIS are available for review at the following libraries: The Oakland Library-Main Branch, 125 14th Street, Oakland, CA 94612; and the Oakland Library-West Branch, 1801 Adeline Street, Oakland, CA 94607.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

Raymond J. Fatz,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) ASA(I&E).

[FR Doc. 99–29794 Filed 11–15–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Team Leader,
Information Management Group, Office
of the Chief Information Officer, invites
comments on the proposed information
collection requests as required by the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to
submit comments on or before January
18, 2000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Team Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5)

Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: November 9, 1999.

William E. Burrow,

Team Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Management

Type of Review: Reinstatement. Title: Grant Performance Report. Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 6,000 Burden Hours: 120,000

Abstract: ED uses the information collection specific to ED Form 524–B for the award and administration of multiyear discretionary grants. The Department has substantially increased the flexibility of the grant process by enabling all years of multi-budgets to be negotiated at the time of the initial award (ED GAPS001) and to submit only performance report (ED Form 524–B) to receive continuation funding. This clearance also includes governmentwide common rules for institutions of higher education, non-profit agencies, and state and local governments.

Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, D.C. 20202–4651, or should be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO_IMG_Issues@ ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202–708–9346.

For questions regarding burden and/ or the collection activity requirements, contact Jackie Montague at (202) 708– 5359. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information