DOT is unnecessary. No person will be required to spend any money in order to comply with this regulation. The proposed regulation will exempt persons operating in the expanded area from complying with the more stringent vessel lighting regulations they would ordinarily be obliged to follow.

Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the Coast Guard must consider whether this proposed rule, if adopted, will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. "Small entities" include small businesses, notfor-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000 people. For the reasons discussed in the Regulatory Evaluation section above, the Coast Guard expects that this proposed rule, if adopted, will not have any significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. If, however, you think that your business or organization qualifies as a small entity and that this proposed rule will have a significant economic impact on your business or organization, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think your business or organization qualifies and in what way and to what degree this proposed rule will economically affect it.

Collection of Information

This proposed rule contains no collection of information requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*).

Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this proposed rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 13132 and has determined that this proposed rule does not have implications for federalism under that order.

Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concludes that under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1C, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying and may be obtained by contacting the Coast Guard office listed under ADDRESSES in this proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

Proposed Regulation

For the reason set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

PART 110—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 2071; 1221 through 1236, 2030, 2035, 2071, 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 1.05–1(g).

2. Section 110.87 is revised to read as follows:

§110.87 Henderson Harbor, N.Y.

- (a) Area A. The area in the southern portion of Henderson Harbor west of the Henderson Harbor Yacht Club bounded by a line beginning at latitude 43°51′08.8″ N, longitude 76°12′08.9″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′09.0″ N, longitude 76°12′19.0″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′23.8″ N, longitude 76°12′19.0″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′33.4″ N, longitude 76°12′09.6″ W, thence to the point of beginning.
- (b) *Area B*. The area in the southern portion of Henderson Harbor north of Graham Creek Entrance Light bounded by a line beginning at latitude 43°51′21.8″ N, longitude 76°11′58.2″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′21.7″ N, longitude 76°12′05.5″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′33.4″ N, longitude 76°12′06.2″ W, thence to latitude 43°51′33.6″ N, longitude 76°12′00.8″ W, thence to the point of beginning. All nautical positions are based on North American Datum of 1983.
- (c) Permission must be obtained from the Town of Henderson Harbormaster before any vessel is moored or anchored in this special anchorage area.

Dated: October 21, 1999.

James D. Hull,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District. [FR Doc. 99–29029 Filed 11–4–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–15–U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TN-192-1-9962(b); TN-193-1-9963(b); FRL-6464-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee: Approval of Source Specific Revisions to the Nonregulatory Portion of the Tennessee SIP Regarding Emission Limits for Particulate Matter and Volatile Organic Compounds

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve two requests by the Tennessee Department of Air Pollution Control (TDAPC) to incorporate revised permits for eight facilities into the Tennessee State Implementation Plan (SIP). All of the permits affected by this action were previously approved into the SIP to meet various Clean Air Act (CAA) and regulatory requirements. EPA proposes to approve an April 9, 1997, submittal from TDAPC that amends permits for the Soda Recovery Furnace and the Smelt Tank at Willamette Industries Inc., Kingsport, to establish revised particulate matter (PM) emission limits for these units. The revised emission limits will have a net positive impact on ambient air quality. An April 14, 1997, submittal from the Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau (CHCAPCB), through TDAPC, revises the permits as amended by agreed order for seven miscellaneous metal parts coaters located in Hamilton County to qualify them as a synthetic minor sources. Based on supplemental information received from CHCAPCB, EPA has concluded that one of these seven facilities is now a new source and thus need not be included in this approval action. EPA proposes to approve the revised permits for the remaining six facilities into the SIP. In the Final Rules section of this Federal **Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA

will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to: Allison Humphris at the EPA, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the state submittal(s) are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

- Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.
- Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. Allison Humphris, 404/ 562–9030.
- Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, Division of Air Pollution Control, L & C Annex, 9th Floor, 401 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243–1531. 615/532– 0554.
- Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau, 3511 Rossville Boulevard, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37407–2495. 423/867–4321.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Allison Humphris at 404/562–9030.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 18, 1999.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 99–28212 Filed 11–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TN-105-1-9949b; TN-209-1-9950b; FRL-6469-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Tennessee: Approval of Revisions to Knox County portion of Tennessee Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Tennessee for the purpose of revising the rule for exceptions to the open burning and permits regulations for the Knox County portion of the Tennessee SIP. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before December 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Steven M. Scofield at the EPA, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

Copies of the State submittals are available at the following addresses for inspection during normal business hours:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Planning Branch, 61 Forsyth Street, SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. Steven M. Scofield, 404/562– 9034.

Division of Air Pollution Control, Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation, L & C Annex, 9th Floor, 401 Church Street, Nashville, Tennessee 37243–1531. 615/532–0554.

Knox County Department of Air Pollution Control, 400 West Main Avenue, Suite 339, City-County Building, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902–2405. 423/215–2488.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve M. Scofield at 404/562–9034. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the Final Rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: October 6, 1999.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4. [FR Doc. 99–28880 Filed 11–4–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 86

[FRL-6470-7]

Control of Air Pollution From New Motor Vehicles; Compliance Programs for New Light-Duty Vehicles and Light-Duty Trucks

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice requesting comment on Ethyl Corporation petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: EPA requests comment on a petition submitted to EPA by the Ethyl Corporation (Ethyl). The petition requests reconsideration of the CAP 2000 final rule at 64 FR 23906 (May 4, 1999).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before December 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should submit written comments (in duplicate, if possible) to: EPA Air and Radiation Docket, Attention Docket No.A–96–50, room M–1500 (mail code 6102), 401 M St., SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. The docket may be inspected at this location from 8:30 a.m. until 5:30 p.m. weekdays. The docket may also be reached by telephone at (202) 260–7548. As provided in 40 CFR part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged by EPA for photocopying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Linda Hormes, Office of Mobile Sources, Vehicle Programs and Compliance Division, 2000 Traverwood, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Phone: (734) 214–4502. Email: lhormes@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 2, 1999, the Ethyl Corporation submitted a petition to EPA requesting reconsideration of the CAP 2000 final rule. Ethyl based its request for reconsideration on the argument that certain aspects of the CAP 2000 rule are inconsistent with the Clean Air Act (Act). In brief, Ethyl focused on the durability demonstration requirements of the regulation and stated that section 206(d) of the Act requires EPA to establish certification test procedures by regulation and that EPA can not avoid its rulemaking responsibilities under 307(d) by characterizing the certification process as an adjudicatory type