OBO obligations regarding the treatment of transactions outside of the OBO's last quoted range.

# 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act <sup>3</sup> because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, and in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

# B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will impose no burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

Written comments were not solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

a. By order approve the proposed rule change, or

B. Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549–0609. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–PCX–99–40 and should be submitted by November 26, 1999.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority. <sup>4</sup>

[FR Doc. 99–28873 Filed 11–3–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Highway Administration**

# **Environmental Impact Statement: City of Ogden, Weber County, UT**

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Revised notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will not be prepared for a proposed highway project in the City of Ogden, Weber County, Utah.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Allen, Project Development Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 2520 West 4700 South, Suite 9A, Salt Lake City, Utah 84118, Telephone: (801) 963– 0078 ext. 229; or Rod Terry, Preconstruction Engineer, Utah Department of Transportation, Region 1. P.O. Box 12580, Ogden, Utah 84412, Telephone (801) 399-5921 ext. 305. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Utah Department of Transportation, have determined that an EIS will not be prepared for the proposal to reconstruct approximately 2 miles of State Road (SR) 79 from east of the existing Interstate 15 interchange to Harrison Boulevard (SR-203), and to widen approximately 1.5 miles of Wall Avenue (SR-204) from approximately 22nd Street to 34th Street in the urban portion of Ogden, Utah. The proposed reconstruction of SR-79 would provide the necessary east-west arterial roadway capacity to meet urban infrastructure needs, and to meet existing and future transportation demand. The widening of SR-204 would provide a uniform roadway width for the north-south arterial roadway and an appropriate roadway capacity for the entrance to the Central Business District of the City of Ogden.

Improvements being considered will have no significant impact on the environment. An environmental assessment is being prepared to evaluate the project impacts.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction is used. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernment consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

#### Michael G. Ritchie,

Division Administrator, Salt Lake City, Utah. [FR Doc. 99–28942 Filed 11–3–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Railroad Administration**

#### **Petition for Waiver of Compliance**

In accordance with 49 CFR 211.41, notice is hereby given that the Metro-North Commuter Railroad (Metro-North) and the Connecticut Department of Transportation (CONNDOT) have submitted a petition, dated June 1, 1999, seeking a waiver of compliance from certain requirements of Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 220: Railroad Communications. The individual petition is described below, including the parties seeking relief, the regulatory provisions involved, the nature of the relief being requested, and the petitioners' arguments in favor of relief.

# Metro-North Commuter Railroad and Connecticut Department of Transportation [Docket No. FRA-1999-5876]

The petition requests that the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) grant Metro-North and CONNDOT a three-year extension of time past the mandatory compliance date of July 1, 1999, to comply with provisions of 49 CFR 220.9 and 220.11 of the Railroad Communication Standards. Metro-North provides commuter rail service on four lines operating on rights of way owned by CONNDOT. Petitioners have asked to be granted until July 1, 2002 to install working radios on locomotives operating on these territories:

(1) The New Haven Line between Mile Post (MP) 26.1 (the State Line between New York and Connecticut) and MP 72.9;

<sup>3 15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>4 17</sup> CFR 200.30-3(a)(12)

- (2) The New Canaan Branch between MP 0.0 (Stamford, Connecticut) and MP 7.9:
- (3) The Danbury Branch between MP 0.0 (South Norwalk, Connecticut) and MP 24.2; and
- (4) The Waterbury Branch between MP 0.0 (Devon, Connecticut) and MP 27.1.

Petitioners assert that they need the additional three years to conduct a radio propagation study that will result in a location plan for wayside radio base stations and repeaters.

Interested parties are invited to participate in these proceedings by submitting written views, data or comments. FRA does not anticipate scheduling a public hearing in connection with these proceedings since the facts do not appear to warrant a hearing. If any interested party desires an opportunity for oral comment, they should notify FRA, in writing, before the end of the comment period and specify the basis for their request.

All communications concerning these proceedings should identify the appropriate docket number (in this case, FRA-1999-5876) and must be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Clerk, DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401, Washington, DC 20590–0001. Communications received within 45 days of the date of this notice will be considered by FRA before final action is taken. Comments received after that date will be considered as far as practicable. All written communications concerning these proceedings are available for examination during regular business hours (9 a.m. to 5 p.m.) at DOT Central Docket Management Facility, Room PL-401 (Plaza Level), 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20005. All documents in the public docket are also available for inspection and copying on the Internet at the docket facility's Web site at http:// dms.dot.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC on October 25, 1999.

### Grady C. Cothen, Jr.,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Safety Standards and Program Development. [FR Doc. 99–28838 Filed 11–3–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Surface Transportation Board**

[STB Docket No. AB-439 (Sub-No. 4X) and STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 139X)]

Dallas Area Rapid Transit— Abandonment Exemption—in Dallas County, TX and Union Pacific Railroad Company—Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Dallas County, TX

Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) and Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP) have filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances for DART to abandon and UP to discontinue service over a 3.04-mile line of railroad known as the Athens Branch East between milepost 308.80 at Pleasant Drive to the end of the track at milepost 305.76 at Rylie Road, in Dallas County, TX.1 The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 75217 and 75253.

DART and UP have certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) there has been no overhead traffic on the line during the past two years; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the abandonment shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line R. Co.*—*Abandonment—Goshen,* 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected

employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed. Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on December 4, 1999, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues,2 formal expressions of intent to file an OFA under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),3 and trail use/rail banking requests under 49 CFR 1152.29 must be filed by November 15, 1999. Petitions to reopen or requests for public use conditions under 49 CFR 1152.28 must be filed by November 24, 1999, with: Surface Transportation Board, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Unit, 1925 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20423.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to applicants' representatives: Judith H. Caldwell, Oppenheimer Wolff Donnelly & Bayh LLP, 1350 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20005–3324; and Joseph D. Anthofer, Union Pacific Railroad Company, 1416 Dodge Street, Room 830, Omaha, NE 68179–0001.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*.

DART and UP have filed an environmental report which addresses the effects of the abandonment and discontinuance, if any, on the environment and historic resources. The Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) will issue an environmental assessment (EA) by November 9, 1999. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the EA by writing to SEA (Room 500, Surface Transportation Board. Washington, DC 20423) or by calling SEA, at (202) 565-1545. Comments on environmental and historic preservation matters must be filed within 15 days after the EA becomes available to the public.

Environmental, historic preservation, public use, or trail use/rail banking conditions will be imposed, where appropriate, in a subsequent decision.

Pursuant to the provisions of 49 CFR 1152.29(e)(2), DART shall file a notice of consummation with the Board to

¹ DART acquired this line from the Southern Pacific Transportation Company in 1988. See Dallas Area Rapid Transit—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Rail Lines of Southern Pacific Transportation Company, Finance Docket No. 31267 (ICC served May 20, 1988). SPT concurrently acquired trackage rights over the line. See Southern Pacific Transportation Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Finance Docket No. 31270 (ICC served May 20, 1988).

The City of Dallas (City) filed a request for issuance of a notice of interim trail use (NITU) for the entire line pursuant to section 8(d) of the National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. 1247(d). The Board will address the City's trail use request, and any others that may be filed in a subsequent decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See Exemption of Out-of-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C. 2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Each offer of financial assistance must be accompanied by the filing fee, which currently is set at \$1000. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).