

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## National Park Service

**Final Environmental Impact Statement/  
Fort Baker Plan Golden Gate National  
Recreation Area, Marin County, CA;  
Notice of Availability**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102 (2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service (NPS), Department of the Interior, has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the proposed Fort Baker Plan (FEIS/Plan), Golden Gate National Recreation Area. The FEIS/Plan details and analyzes proposed implementation of future building use, site improvements, visitor services, and cultural and natural resource management actions related to converting Fort Baker from a military installation to a unit of the National Park System.

*Alternatives and Proposed Action:*

Four alternatives were considered—a No Action Alternative, the 1980 General Management Plan Alternative, the Office and Cultural Center Alternative, and the Proposed Action. Each alternative is briefly described below.

Under the No Action Alternative, the buildings along the historic parade ground area would be occupied as residences. Non-residential structures would be stabilized for preservation with no new use. There would be minimal changes to the waterfront to provide for visitor safety, and no there would be no expansion by existing tenant U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) or Bay Area Discovery Museum (BADM) uses. The marina would be closed, the slips and docks removed, and the boat shop would be stabilized for preservation with no new use. Minimal preservation treatment of natural and cultural resources would be carried out to meet legislative requirements and to complete currently under way restoration efforts.

The 1980 General Management Plan Alternative would result in a 350-person conference center, artist-in-residence program and a hostel with no new construction. Non-historic buildings would be removed and replaced with a 700-car shuttle staging parking lot and NPS maintenance facility. The marina would be converted to a public facility with visitor services and short-term boat mooring. Urban landscape treatment would be applied to the waterfront area, and a ferry landing would be developed at the historic fishing pier. Roads and trails would be improved.

The Office and Cultural Center Alternative would use the historic

buildings for meeting, program, restaurant, performance and program space needs for private and non-profit groups. Non-historic residences would be retained and some removed to provide parking for the center. The marina would continue to provide long-term boat mooring and some public boat mooring and visitor services. Other treatments would be the same as the Proposed Action.

The Proposed Action would create a retreat and conference center in a combination of historic buildings, non-historic buildings and new construction containing meeting space, dining facilities and overnight accommodations. The existing BADM and USCG tenants and their facilities would be retained and expanded under the Proposed Action. Public services and short-term boat moorings/slips would be provided in the historic boat shop and marina area; the maximum number of boats accommodated is set at 60. Recreational and interpretive trails and programs would be provided. The waterfront landscape would be improved, the beach restored, and natural and cultural resources of the site preserved and maintained. Approximately 40 acres of natural habitat would be restored, including habitat for the federally threatened mission blue butterfly.

*Background:* Public scoping activities were conducted during July 16-23, 1997. In addition, Advisory Commission workshops and presentations were held September 2 and November 12, 1997. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) was released for a 60-day public review period on October 6, 1998 which ended on December 6, 1998. A public hearing was held during the review period to receive oral comments from the public on November 18, 1998. A total of 127 written letters, e-mails, and oral comments were received. Both the DEIS and the FEIS/Plan evaluate the same Proposed Action and alternatives.

The FEIS/Plan is comprised of two volumes; Volume I: DEIS, as amended; and Volume II: Response to Comments. Changes made to the DEIS include typographical corrections or points of clarification, refinement of existing mitigation, and new mitigation. Traffic effects, environmental consequences associated with potential ferry service at Fort Baker, and projected demand for wastewater services were the primary areas of additional analysis. Based on a correction to the assumptions used (and mathematical errors occurring in) the DEIS, the maximum total square footage of net new construction is reduced to 85,000sf (from 119,891sf). All text changes made in response to a comment

are clearly indicated in Volume II (with citation to relevant sections in Volume I).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Requests for information or copies of the FEIS/Plan should be directed to the Fort Baker Planning Team, Golden Gate National Recreation Area Headquarters, Fort Mason, Building 201, San Francisco, California, 94123; telephone (415) 561-3030 x2246. The FEIS/Plan will also be available for review at area libraries, and via the Internet at [www.nps.gov/goga/](http://www.nps.gov/goga/). The no-action period for the FEIS/Plan will extend for thirty (30) days after the Environmental Protection Agency's notification of the filing of the document is published in the **Federal Register**. Subsequently, the National Park Service will publish a notice of the Record of Decision in the Federal Register. The official responsible for the decision is the Regional director, Pacific West Region; the official responsible for implementation is the superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Dated: October 22, 1999.

**Cynthia Ip,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 99-28404 Filed 10-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## National Park Service

**Final Environmental Impact Statement,  
Backcountry and Wilderness  
Management Plan For Joshua Tree  
National Park, California; Notice of  
Availability**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190 as amended), the National Park Service (NPS), Department of Interior, has prepared a final environmental impact statement (FEIS) assessing the potential impacts of amending the current General Management Plan (GMP), which was approved in 1995. The FEIS includes the Backcountry and Wilderness Management Plan for Joshua Tree National Park and identifies and evaluates the environmental consequences of the new proposed action and four alternatives.

*Proposal:* As described under Alternative E (the new proposed action), the NPS proposes to amend the GMP for Joshua Tree National Park. These amendments would include, but not be limited to, the following changes. The NPS would designate a trail system with prescriptions for certain uses: foot, bicycle, equestrian, and would identify

some of the unpaved roads in lands added to the park in 1994 as part of the developed zone and thus, open to motor vehicle use. Alternative E would also designate management prescriptions for recreational climbing throughout the park and would indicate locations in the park where roadside auto camping would or would not be permitted. Alternative E would prescribe the analysis of major artificial water sources installed for wildlife in designated wilderness and if such sources should be removed or maintained. It would adopt areas limited to day use only or closed to public access seasonally or permanently. It would establish group size limits for overnight stays in the backcountry and wilderness, implement the Department of Interior's Desert Tortoise Recovery Plan, and analyze proposed additions to wilderness. Implementing Alternative E would result in the protection of park lands and the reclamation of previously disturbed lands. User conflicts would be minimized by providing for a variety of visitor experiences, group sizes, trail designations, and a recreational climbing management program.

**Alternatives:** Alternatives to the new proposed action include Alternative A (the old proposed action), Alternative B (no action), Alternative C (maximum protection), and Alternative D (minimum protection). Alternative A would establish wilderness experience classes, and would designate slightly fewer miles of equestrian trails and roads. It does not provide reclamation prescriptions for the closed trails and roads. Alternative A would prohibit the replacement of existing bolts or the placement of new bolts in wilderness and would analyze only three of the four artificial water sources placed in the park's wilderness.

Under Alternative B, the park would maintain existing programs and operations. Alternative C would impose greater restrictions upon all uses in the park and afford the most rigorous and strict protection to the resources, in particular the wilderness resource. Also, those lands in the natural zone that are not wilderness would be treated and managed as if they were so designated. Alternative D would impose no restrictions on use of the old monument lands other than those that already exist. The public could use the new lands much as they were used prior to their inclusion within the park. Only those public recreational activities that are illegal in NPS or other regulations, such as hunting or operating vehicles in wilderness, would be prohibited.

The potential environmental consequences of Alternative E (the new

proposed action) and other alternatives were previously addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and the Supplement to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. No significant adverse environmental impacts are anticipated.

**Background:** The NPS initiated scoping for the Wilderness and Backcountry Management Plan on January 30, 1995. A Draft Environmental Impact Statement was issued November 21, 1997 for a public review period which was extended from January 31, 1998 through February 28, 1998. Approximately 1,100 written comments were received. In addition, approximately 260 persons attended three public workshops held December 2 and December 11, 1997 and on January 16, 1998. A Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement was issued November 3, 1998 for a public review period which ended January 20, 1999. Approximately 200 written comments were received. Both documents were made widely available through direct mailings, distribution to area libraries, and via the internet.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments or questions regarding the final Wilderness and Backcountry Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement should be directed to the Superintendent, Joshua Tree National Park, 74485 National Park Drive, Twentynine Palms, California 92277. Copies may be requested by contacting the park at (760) 367-5502. Copies are also available at libraries located in the park's vicinity, as well as on the park's website at <http://www.nps.gov/jotr>. The no-action period for the FEIS/MP will extend for thirty (30) days after the Environmental Protection Agency's notification of the filing of the document is published in the **Federal Register**. Subsequently, the National Park Service will publish a notice of the Record of Decision in the **Federal Register**. The official responsible for the decision is the Regional Director, Pacific West Region; the official responsible for implementation is the Superintendent, Joshua Tree National Park.

Dated: October 22, 1999.

**Cynthia Ip,**

*Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*  
[FR Doc. 99-28405 Filed 10-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

## OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Request for Comment

**AGENCY:** Overseas Private Investment Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice and Request for Comments for Emergency Extension of the Expiration date on OPIC Form 139, Foreign Sponsor Disclosure Report in Support of an Application for Financing (OMB 3420-0017) which expires 11/30/99.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Controlling Paperwork Burdens on the Public, The agency shall set forth in the **Federal Register** notice prescribed by § 1320.5(a)(1)(iv), unless waived or modified under this section, a statement that it is requesting emergency processing, and the time period so stated.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the subject form and the request for review prepared for submission to OMB may be obtained from the Agency Submitting Officer.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
*OPIC Agency Submitting Officer:* Carol Brock, Records Manager, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20527; 202/336-8563.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Type of Request:** Notice of request for emergency extension of the expiration date on the Foreign Shareholder Disclosure Report in Support of an Application for Financing, OPIC-139 (OMB 3420-0017) which expires 11/30/99. A ninety day extension to the expiration date is being requested.

**Title:** The Foreign Shareholder Disclosure Report in Support of an Application for Financing.

**Form Number:** OPIC-139.

**Authority for Information Collection:** Sections 231, 234 (b) and (c) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended.

**Abstract (Needs and Uses):** The Foreign Sponsor Disclosure Report is the principal document used by OPIC to gather information from project sponsors on whether a project might harm the U.S., and describes sponsor activities with the U.S. Government and other information for the underwriting and analysis of a project.

Dated: October 21, 1999.

**James R. Offutt,**

*Assistant General Counsel for Administrative Affairs, Department of Legal Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 99-28401 Filed 10-28-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-P