

sections 4, 8(d) and 8(e). The cover sheet facilitates submission of information by displaying certain basic data elements, permitting EPA more easily to identify, log, track, distribute, review and index submissions, and to make information publicly available more rapidly and at reduced cost, to the mutual benefit of both the respondents and EPA.

Responses to the collection of information are voluntary. Respondents may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA section 14 and 40 CFR part 2.

V. What are EPA's Burden and Cost Estimates for this ICR?

Under the PRA, "burden" means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. For this collection it includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of this estimate, which is only briefly summarized in this notice. The annual public burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.5 hours per response. The following is a summary of the estimates taken from the ICR:

Respondents/affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are companies that manufacture, process, use, import or distribute in commerce chemical substances that are subject to reporting requirements under TSCA sections 4, 8(d) or 8(e).

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 2,240.

Frequency of response: On occasion.

Estimated total/average number of responses for each respondent: 1-2 (average).

Estimated total annual burden hours: 910 hours.

Estimated total annual burden costs: \$68,250.

VI. Are There Changes in the Estimates from the Last Approval?

The burden hours included in this request represent a decrease of 438 hours in the annual burden, from 1,348 hours to 910 hours, from the request most recently approved by OMB. This decrease reflects a net decrease in the estimated number of submissions under the reporting requirements of TSCA sections 4, 8(d) and 8(e). Since the use of the Voluntary TSCA Cover Sheet directly reflects the number of submissions received under TSCA sections 4, 8(d) and 8(e), any change in the estimated numbers of submissions under those requirements will result in a parallel change in the burden hours associated with this information collection.

VII. What is the Next Step in the Process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed in the "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT."

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: September 25, 1999.

Susan H. Wayland,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 99-25576 Filed 9-30-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6246-6]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 or (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed September 20, 1999 Through September 24, 1999 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 990335, Final EIS, COE, AZ, Alamo Lake Reoperation and

Ecosystem Restoration Feasibility Study, Implementation, Reoperation of Alma Dam on the Bill Williams River, La Paz and Mohave Counties, AZ, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Timothy Smith (213) 452-3854.

EIS No. 990336, Final EIS, NRS, HI, Lower Hamakua Ditch Watershed Plan, To Provide a Stable and Affordable Supply of Agricultural Water to Farmers and Other, COE Section 404 Permit, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, Hawaii County, HI, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Kenneth Kaneshiro (808) 541-2600.

EIS No. 990337, Draft EIS, IBR, NM, Elephant Butte and Caballo Reservoirs, Resource Management Plan (RMP), Implementation, Sierra and Socorro Counties, NM, Due: November 23, 1999, Contact: Clay McDermeit (505) 248-5391.

EIS No. 990338, Final EIS, IBR, CA, Contra Loma Reservoir Project, Future Use and Operation of Contra Costa Water District, COE Section 404 Permit, Contra Costa County, CA, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Bob Eckart (916) 978-5051.

EIS No. 990339, Final EIS, AFS, OR, Mill Creek Watershed Timber Sales Project, Implementation, Ochoco National Forest, Crook County, OR, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Dave Owens (541) 416-6425.

EIS No. 990340, Draft EIS, NPS, ID, MT, WY, Yellowstone and Grand Teton National Parks and John D. Rockefeller, Jr. Memorial Parkway Winter Use Plan, Implementation, Fremont County, ID, Gallatin and Park Counties, MT and Park and Teton Counties, WY, Due: November 15, 1999, Contact: Clifford Hawkes (303) 969-2262.

EIS No. 990341, Final EIS, FHW, MS, Airport Parking/Mississippi 25 Connectors, Construction at Intersection of High Street/ Interstate 55 (I-55) in the City of Jackson, Hinds and Rankin Counties, MS, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Cecil W. Vick, Jr. (601) 965-4217.

EIS No. 990342, Final EIS, FHW, MN, Phalen Boulevard Project, Construction of a new 4.3 Kilometer Roadway, from I35E to Johnson Parkway, Funding, in the City of St. Paul, Ramsey County, MN, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Bill Lohr (651) 291-6100.

EIS No. 990343, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Long Prong Project, Timber Harvesting, Road Construction and Reconstruction, Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District, Valley County, ID, Due: November 01, 1999,

Contact: David D. Rittenhouse (208) 373-4100.

EIS No. 990344, Final EIS, BLM, WY, Wyodak Coal Bed Methane Project, Implementation of Road Construction, Drilling Operation, Electrical Distribution Line, Powder River Basin, Campbell and Converse Counties, WY, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Richard Zander (307) 684-1161.

EIS No. 990345, Draft EIS, DOD, AK, ND, AS, National Missile Defense (NMD) Deployment System, Selection of Possible Deployment Sites: AK, AS and ND, Due: November 15, 1999, Contact: Julia Hudson (256) 955-4822.

EIS No. 990346, Final EIS, DOE, WA, Hanford Remedial Action, Revised and New Alternatives, Comprehensive Land Use Plan, Hanford Site lies in the Pasco Basin of the Columbia Plateau, WA, Due: November 01, 1999, Contact: Thomas W. Ferns (509) 376-4360.

EIS No. 990347, Draft EIS, SFW, CA, San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan, Issuance of Incidental Take Permit, San Joaquin County, CA, Due: November 15, 1999, Contact: Ben Harrison (503) 231-2068.

EIS No. 990348, Draft EIS, COE, NY, NJ, New York and New Jersey Harbor Navigation Study, Identify, Screen and Select Navigation Channel Improvements, NY and NJ, Due: November 15, 1999, Contact: Jenine Gallo (212) 264-0912.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 990163, Draft EIS, BLM, CA, Soledad Canyon Sand and Gravel Mining Project, Proposal to Mine, Produce and Sell, "Split Estate" Private Owned and Federally Owned Lands, Transit Mixed Concrete, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: January 03, 2000, Contact: Ms Elena Misquez (760) 251-4804.

Published FR 05-21-99—Review Period Reestablished.

EIS No. 990266, Draft EIS, AFS, WY, Squirrel Meadows—Grand Targhee Land Exchange Proposal, Implementation, Targhee National Forest, Teton County, WY, Due: October 20, 1999, Contact: Patty Bates (208) 354-2312.

Published FR 08-06-99—Review Period extended. from 09-20-99 to 10-20-99.

Dated: September 28, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-25631 Filed 9-30-99 8:45 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6246-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared August 23, 1999 Through September 17, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1999 (63 FR 17856).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L65302-AK Rating EC2, Kuakan Timber Sale, Timber Harvesting in the Kuakan Project Area, Implementation, Deer Island within the Wrangell Ranger District, Stikine Area of the Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns related to potential impacts to fish habitat, water quality, wildlife security, and visual quality for four of the alternatives under consideration. EPA recommended that additional information be included in the EIS regarding the methods to be used and the goals to be achieved with the use of a proposed "overstory removal" management prescription.

ERP No. D-USN-K11104-CA Rating EC2, Marine Corp Air Station (MCAS) Tustin Disposal and Reuse Plan, Cities of Tustin and Irvine, Orange County, CA.

Summary

EPA expressed concern regarding the mitigation of impacts to waters of the United States, including approximately 3.6 acres of wetlands, and on mitigating potential impacts from fertilizer and pesticide use associated with future golf course operations.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K65273-AZ—Grand Canyon/Tusayan Growth Area Improvements, General Management Plan (GMP), Special-Use-Permit, Approvals and Licenses Issuance, Coconino County, AZ.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65321-00—Douglas-fir Beetle Project, Harvest Tree, Regenerated Forest, Aquatic Restoration and Fuels Reduction, Idaho Panhandle National Forest, Coeur d'Alene River and Priest Lake Ranger District and Colville National Forest, Newport Ranger District, Kootenai, Shoshone and Bonner Counties, ID and Pend Orielle County, WA.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FAA-B51016-CT—Sikorsky Memorial Airport, Proposed Runway 6-24 Improvements, Construction, Stratford, CT.

Summary

EPA expressed concerns that the EIS continues to lack a clear discussion of the safety benefits associated with each alternative and how environmental losses of each alternative are justified. EPA requested that additional information be presented in the Record of Decision pertaining to the above and stormwater management.

ERP No. F-FAA-J11016-00—Adoption—Colorado Airspace Initiative, Modifications to the National Airspace System, such as the F-16 Aircraft and Aircrews of the 140th Wing of the Colorado Air National Guard, also existing Military Operations Area (MOAs) and Military Training Routes (MTRs), CO, NM, KS, NB and WY.

Summary

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-FHW-F40369-WI—US 141 Highway Transportation Project, Improvement between WI-22 and WI-64 (LeMere Road-6th Road), Funding and COE Section 4 Permit, Marinette and Oconto Counties, WI.

Summary

EPA reiterated concurrence with the preferred alternative while retaining the concern expressed in a April 9, 1997 letter regarding the large amount of wetland impacts associated with the preferred alternative. Additional mitigation methods such as reduced median widths and the steepening of slopes should be considered during the design.

ERP No. F-NRS-F36162-MN—Snake River Watershed Plan, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Marshall Pennington and Polk Counties, MN.

Summary

The FEIS provides adequate information and analysis to address the