SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The RDSAP will convene to review a stock assessment on the status of the red drum stocks in the Gulf of Mexico prepared by NMFS. The RDSAP will consider available information including, but not limited to, commercial and recreational catches, natural and fishing mortality estimates, recruitment, fisherydependent and fishery-independent data, and data needs. These analyses will be used to determine the condition of the stocks and the levels of acceptable biological catch. The RDSAP may also review estimates of stock size (biomass at maximum sustainable yield) and minimum stock size thresholds. Currently it is illegal to harvest or possess red drum in Federal waters.

The conclusions of the RDSAP will be reviewed by the Council's Standing and Special Reef Fish Scientific and Statistical Committee, and Red Drum Advisory Panel at meetings to be held in late October, 1999.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this Council for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal Council action during this meeting. Council action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

### **Special Accommodations**

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Anne Alford at 813–228–2815 by September 27, 1999.

Dated: September 14, 1999.

## Richard W. Surdi,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 99–24316 Filed 9–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–F

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for Construction of Military Family Housing at Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, San Diego, CA

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the environmental effects of the development of suitable and affordable housing for enlisted military personnel and their families assigned to installations in the San Diego region. DATES: Two public scoping meetings will be held to receive oral and written comments on the scope of the EIS. The first meeting will be held on October 6, 1999, at the Serra High School located at 5156 Santo Road, San Diego, California. The second meeting will be held on October 13, 1999, at the Scripps Ranch High School located at 10410 Treena Street, San Diego, California. Both meetings will begin at 7 p.m. ADDRESSES: Regional Planning Team, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132-5190. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sheila Donovan (Code 04PLR.sd), Regional Planning Team, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, at telephone (619) 523-1253; fax (619) 532-3979; or e-mail at donovansm@efdsw.navfac. navy.mil. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant** to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to evaluate the environmental effects of the development of suitable and affordable housing for enlisted military personnel and their families assigned to installations in the San Diego region. Navy is proposing the construction of up to 1600 MFH units and supporting infrastructure. The EIS will address alternative sites that meet MFH housing suitability factors. Currently, four possible sites on government property, all at MCAS Miramar, have been identified for study: (1) A 211 acre site located off Missile Road in the northwest portion of East MCAS Miramar; (2) a 268 acre site located in the southeast corner of East Miramar; (3) a 283 acre site located in the north central portion of East Miramar; and (4) a Main Station site of approximately 100 acres located in the northern portion of the Station adjacent to Miramar Road. While no suitable private sites have been identified based upon preliminary

real estate reviews, suitable private sites identified during the scoping process will be considered in the EIS. The EIS will also evaluate the No Action Alternative that would provide for no further construction of MFH.

Preliminary MFH justification studies for the San Diego area estimate the current MFH deficit to be about 2,400 units. Current projections show the MFH deficit substantially increasing through Fiscal Year 2004. However, because of constraints such as funding and land availability, it is unlikely that the Navy would be able to eradicate the shortfall through military construction.

The EIS will evaluate the environmental effects associated with each of the alternatives. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to: geology and soils; biological resources; water resources and hydrology; traffic; cultural resources; air quality; public services and utilities; land use and aesthetics; noise; socioeconomics; public health and safety; hazardous materials and wastes; and environmental justice. The analysis will include an evaluation of the direct, indirect, short-term, and cumulative impacts. No decision to implement any alternative, including the No Action Alternative, will be made until the NEPA process is complete.

Two public scoping meetings will be held to receive oral and written comments on the scope of the EIS. A brief presentation describing the proposed action, potential alternatives, and the NEPA process will precede the public's opportunity to comment relating to the scope of the EIS. Navy and Marine Corps representatives will be available at this meeting to receive comments from the public regarding issues of concern. Federal, state and local agencies, and any potentially affected Indian tribes as well as interested organizations and individuals, may take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that they believe should be addressed during the preparation of the EIS. Agencies and the public are invited and encouraged to provide written comments in addition to, or in lieu of, oral comments at the public meeting. Written comments regarding the scope of the EIS may also be submitted to Regional Planning Team, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1220 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92132-5190. To be most helpful, comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the commentor believes the EIS should address. Written comments must be postmarked no later than November 13, 1999.

Dated: September 8, 1999.

#### J.L. Roth

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–24314 Filed 9–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

AGENCY: Department of Education. SUMMARY: The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 19, 1999.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.Ĉ. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this

collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: September 14, 1999.

# William Burrow,

Leader Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

#### Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: New.

Title: Performance Report for the Jacob K. Javits Fellowship Program. Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden: Responses: 115; Burden Hours: 690.

Abstract: This information collection provides the U.S. Department of Education with information needed to determine if grantees have made substantial progress toward meeting the program's objectives and allow program staff to monitor and evaluate the program. Congress has mandated (through the Government's Performance and Results Act of 1993) that the U.S. Department of Education provide documentation about the progress being made by the program.

Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection request should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651, or should be electronically mailed to the internet address OCIO\_IMG\_Issues@ed.gov, or should be faxed to 202–708–9346.

For questions regarding burden and/ or the collection activity requirements, contact Joseph Schubart at 202–708– 9266 or by e-mail at joe\_schubart@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1– 800–877–8339.

[FR Doc. 99–24360 Filed 9–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.
SUMMARY: The Leader, Information
Management Group, Office of the Chief
Information Officer invites comments
on the submission for OMB review as
required by the Paperwork Reduction
Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 20, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Danny Werfel, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, N.W., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address DWERFEL@OMB.EOP.GOV.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

Dated: September 14, 1999.

### William E. Burrow,

Leader Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

# Office of Student Financial Assistance Programs

Type of Review: Extension.

*Title:* Guaranty Agency Monthly Claims and Collections Report.

Frequency: Monthly.

Affected Public: Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden: Responses: 36; Burden Hours: 2,160.

Abstract: This form is used by a guaranty agency to request payments of reinsurance for default, bankruptcy, death, disability claims paid to lenders and for costs incurred for supplemental