

it also proposes to enhance the capacity of its Carson Lateral facilities by replacing the deteriorated segment with 20-inch diameter pipeline and by installing two new loop pipeline segments. Specifically, Paiute proposes to: (1) construct and operate approximately 5.5 miles of 20-inch replacement pipeline between mileposts 31.85 and 37.34 on the Carson Lateral in Lyon County, Nevada; (2) abandon in place approximately 5.5 miles of existing 10.75-inch pipeline between mileposts 31.85 and 37.34 on the Carson Lateral in Lyon County, Nevada; (3) construct and operate approximately 2.3 miles of 20-inch loop pipeline between mileposts 2.95 and 5.25 on the Carson Lateral in Storey and Lyon Counties, Nevada; and (4) construct and operate approximately 1.9 miles of 12.75-inch loop pipeline between mileposts 14.28 and 16.18 on its South Tahoe Lateral in Douglas County, Nevada.

Paiute states that in response to a general open season held early this year, Southwest Gas Corporation-Northern Nevada (Southwest-Northern Nevada) indicated that there had been a significant shift in its forecasted requirements and it requires additional delivery capability from Paiute downstream of the Wadsworth Junction in order to meet its projected winter peak day load profile for northern Nevada. Paiute further states that under the service agreement with Southwest-Northern Nevada, Paiute is not obligated to transport more than 65,350 Dth per day of gas for Southwest-Northern Nevada through the Wadsworth Junction. Southwest-Northern Nevada requested that level to be increased by 10,800 Dth to 76,150 Dth.<sup>1</sup> Paiute also states that Sierra Pacific Power Company wants to have the capability to have transported and delivered to the Fort Churchill Power Plant up to the full contractual maximum daily quantity for that delivery point on a winter peak demand day. Paiute states that in order to maintain such capability and to meet the requirements of its other firm shippers served by the Carson Lateral, Paiute needs to install the proposed facilities.

The estimated cost of the proposed facilities is \$5,425,000. The cost to abandon in place the existing 10.75-inch pipeline segment is estimated to be

\$22,000. Paiute states that it proposes to finance the above-described costs through ongoing regular financing programs and internally generated funds. Paiute requests the Commission to make a determination that the costs of the proposed facilities can be rolled into Paiute's systemwide rates in Paiute's next general rate case under Section 4 of the NGA. Paiute states that the proposed construction satisfies each of the three criteria required by the Policy Statement for rolled-in pricing. Paiute requests authorization no later than March 1, 2000, so that the proposed facilities can be constructed and placed in service by November 1, 2000.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Edward C. McMurtrie at (702) 876-7178, Paiute Pipeline Company, P.O. Box 94197, Las Vegas, Nevada 89193-4197.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 9, 1999, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) and the regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

A person obtaining intervenor status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents issued by the Commission, filed by the applicant, or filed by all other intervenors. An intervenor can file for rehearing of any Commission order and can petition for court review of any such order. However, an intervenor must serve copies of comments or any other filing it makes with the Commission to every other intervenor in the proceeding, as well as filing an original and 14 copies with the Commission.

A person does not have to intervene, however, in order to have comments considered. A person, instead, may submit two copies of such comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of

environmental documents, and will be able to participate in meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission, and will not have the right to seek rehearing or appeal the Commission's final order to a Federal court.

The Commission will consider all comments and concerns equally, whether filed by commenters or those requesting intervenor status.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the NGA and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Paiute to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-21986 Filed 8-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER99-3890-000]

#### Sithe Mystic LLC, Sithe Edgar LLC, Sithe New Boston LLC, Sithe Framingham LLC, Sithe West Medway LLC, Sithe Wyman LLC; Notice of Filing

August 19, 1999.

Take notice that on July 30, 1999, Sithe Mystic LLC, Sithe Edgar LLC, Sithe New Boston LLC, Sithe Framingham LLC, Sithe West Medway LLC, and Sithe Wyman LLC tendered for filing a transaction report for the second quarter of 1999.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion

<sup>1</sup> Paiute states that the proposed facilities will add a nominal quantity of capacity on the Carson Lateral of 10,800 Dth on a design winter peak day. Paiute also states that upon placing the facilities in service, it intends to amend its service agreement with Southwest-Northern Nevada to increase by 10,800 Dth the limit on the quantity of gas that Paiute is obligated to transport for Southwest-Northern Nevada through the Wadsworth Junction.

to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions and protests should be filed on or before August 30, 1999. Protests will be considered by the Commission to determine the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-22009 Filed 8-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM99-1-8-001]

#### South Georgia Natural Gas Company; Notice of Compliance filing

August 19, 1999.

Take notice that on August 13, 1999, South Georgia Natural Gas Company (South Georgia) tendered for filing data analysis in compliance with a Commission Letter Order dated June 30, 1999 which accepted the primary sheets filed and directed South Georgia to report by August 15, 1999.

South Georgia states that they have conducted additional tests at the meter station, with gas flowing at delivery conditions. Based on these tests and analysis of system volume data by delivery point, South Georgia suspects that a strainer installed in April 1998, upstream of the meter, caused a mismeasurement of gas measured on that meter. The strainer design was unlike others on the South Georgia system and was removed in July 1999. Once the strainer was removed, the analysis of system volume data for the month of July appears to confirm the theory that this strainer was the source of the mismeasurement. In order to confirm this hypothesis, South Georgia plans to continue monitoring the monthly volume data at the meter run with the strainer removed. Following completion of further tests, South Georgia proposes to file a report with

the Commission within thirty days and no later than December 31, 1999.

South Georgia states that a copy of its filing was served on all of South Georgia's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room. This filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 99-21988 Filed 8-24-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC99-104-000, et al.]

#### Illinois Power Company, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 17, 1999.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Illinois Power Company AmerGen Energy Company, L.L.C.

[Docket Nos. EC99-104-000, ER99-754-001, ER99-4034-000, and EL99-83-000]

Take notice that on August 9, 1999, Illinois Power Company (Illinois Power) and AmerGen Energy Company, L.L.C. (AmerGen), tendered for filing a joint application under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization for Illinois Power to sell, and AmerGen to purchase, certain assets subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

*Comment date:* September 8, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 2. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. EC99-105-000]

Take notice that on August 10, 1999, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) tendered for filing in accordance

with Part 33 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 33), an application for authority to sell jurisdictional transmission facilities to the City of Anaheim, California. The transmission facilities primarily consist of metering and metering-related facilities at Lewis Substation. The proposed sale will have no effect on SCE's other jurisdictional facilities or services and is compatible with the public interest.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the California Independent System Operator Corporation, and the City of Anaheim.

*Comment date:* September 9, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

##### 3. PP&L Montana, LLC

[Docket No. EG99-184-000]

Take notice that on August 10, 1999, PP&L Montana, LLC, 11250 Random Hills Road, Suite 400, Fairfax, Virginia 22030-6044, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, an amendment to its application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR Part 365).

PP&L Montana, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, proposed to own and operate generating facilities in Montana being acquired from Montana Power Company. PP&L Montana, LLC filed its application for EWG status on July 1, 1999. In its amendment to the application, PP&L Montana, LLC clarified its intent to engage exclusively in the activities permitted for entities holding the status of an exempt wholesale generator pursuant to Section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935.

*Comment date:* September 7, 1999, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the amended application.

##### 4. PP&L Montana, LLC

[Docket No. EG99-185-000]

Take notice that on August 10, 1999, PP&L Montana, LLC, 11250 Random Hills Road, Suite 400, Fairfax, Virginia 22030-6044, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, an amendment to its application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR Part 365).

PP&L Montana, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, proposed to