

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 4, 1999.

D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 99-20502 Filed 8-9-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-71-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas MD-11 and MD-11F Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas MD-11 and MD-11F series airplanes. This proposal would require a one-time inspection to determine if metallic transitions are installed on wire harnesses of the tail tank fuel transfer pumps, and to determine if damaged wires are present; and repair, if necessary. This proposal also would require repetitive inspections of the repaired area; and a permanent modification of the wire harnesses if metallic transitions are not installed, which would terminate the repetitive inspections. This proposal is prompted by a report of chafing and damage to a wire harness of a tail tank fuel transfer pump. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent wire chafing and damage, which could result in an inoperative fuel transfer pump and/or an increased risk of a fire or explosion from a fuel leak.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 24, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-71-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group,

Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Roscoe Van Dyke, Aerospace Engineer, Propulsion Branch, ANM-140L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5254; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-71-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-71-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received a report of chafing and damage to a wire harness of a tail tank fuel transfer pump on a McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 series airplane. The cause of such chafing and damage has been attributed to wires chafing against a combination of wire mesh tape and braided shielding, which were installed during production as a substitute for metallic transitions at the wiring harness breakouts. Chafing or damage of a wire harness, if not corrected, could result in an inoperative fuel transfer pump and/or an increased risk of a fire or explosion from a fuel leak.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28A101, dated August 24, 1998, which describes procedures for a one-time visual inspection to determine if metallic transitions are installed on the wire harnesses of the tail tank fuel transfer pumps, and to determine if damaged wires are present; repair, if necessary; and repetitive inspections of the repaired area. The FAA also has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-28-102, Revision 01, dated June 23, 1999, which describes procedures for a permanent modification of the wire harnesses if metallic transitions are not installed. Accomplishment of the permanent modification would eliminate the need for the repetitive inspections in service bulletin MD11-28A101. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between the Proposed Rule and the Relevant Service Information

Operators should note that, although McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28A101, dated August 24, 1998, recommends accomplishing the visual inspection within 15 days (after the release of the service bulletin), the FAA has determined that a compliance time of 30 days would be appropriate. In developing an appropriate compliance time for the

proposed visual inspection of this AD, the FAA considered not only the manufacturer's recommendation, but the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, the average utilization of the affected fleet, the time necessary to perform the inspection (less than five work hours), and reports from the manufacturer, which indicate that all affected airplanes have been inspected. In light of all of these factors, the FAA finds a 30-day compliance time for initiating the proposed visual inspection to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Operators should note that the procedures described in condition 2 of McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28A101, dated August 24, 1998, permit flight for 15 days before installation of a temporary repair, if metallic transitions are not installed on wire harnesses of the tail tank fuel transfer pumps. This proposed AD would require accomplishment of a temporary repair, prior to further flight. The FAA has determined that, because of the safety implications and consequences associated with chafing and damage of wires, any subject wire harness that is found to not have metallic transitions installed must be repaired prior to further flight.

Operators should also note that, although McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28-102, Revision 01, dated June 23, 1999, recommends accomplishing the permanent modification at the earliest practical maintenance period (after the release of the service bulletin), the FAA has determined that a compliance time of 5 years would be appropriate. In developing an appropriate compliance time for the proposed modification of this AD, the FAA considered not only the manufacturer's recommendation, but the degree of urgency associated with addressing the subject unsafe condition, the average utilization of the affected fleet, and the time necessary to perform the modification (less than nine hours). In light of all of these factors, the FAA finds a 5-year compliance time for initiating the proposed modification to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 14 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 5 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it

would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$300, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. However, the FAA has been advised that manufacturer warranty remedies are available for labor costs associated with accomplishing the actions required by this proposed AD. Therefore, the future economic cost impact of this rule on U.S. operators may be less than the cost impact figure indicated above.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 99-NM-71-AD.

Applicability: Model MD-11 and MD-11F series airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28A101, dated August 24, 1998, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent wire chafing and damage which could result in an inoperative tail tank fuel transfer pump and/or an increased risk of a fire or explosion from a fuel leak, accomplish the following:

Inspection and Corrective Actions

(a) Within 30 days after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection of the wire harnesses of the tail tank fuel transfer pumps to determine if metallic transitions are installed, and to determine if damaged wires are present, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD11-28A101, dated August 24, 1998.

(1) If all metallic transitions are installed, no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If metallic transitions are not installed, accomplish the following:

(i) Prior to further flight, accomplish the temporary repair in accordance with condition 2 of the service bulletin;

(ii) Repeat the visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 2 years; and

(iii) Within 5 years after the effective date of this AD, permanently modify the wire harnesses in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-28-102, Revision 01, dated June 23, 1999.

Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this AD.

Note 2: Modification of the wire harnesses accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin MD11-28-102,

dated January 29, 1999, is considered acceptable for compliance with the modification required by paragraph (a)(2)(iii) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 4, 1999.

D.L. Riggin,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 99-20503 Filed 8-9-99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-323-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 727 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive inspections of the front spar web between the upper and lower seals of the center section of the wings, and repair, if necessary. That AD also provides for an optional terminating modification for the repetitive inspections. This action would require a new terminating modification for the repetitive inspections. For certain airplanes, this action would require new repetitive inspections to detect discrepancies of the front spar web. This proposal is prompted by a report indicating that the

optional terminating modification in the existing AD does not address the identified unsafe condition. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent fatigue cracks in the front spar web, which could lead to fuel leakage into the air-conditioning distribution bay and/or depressurization of the cabin, and to prevent fuel fumes in the cabin of the airplane.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 24, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-323-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter Sippel, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2774; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-323-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-323-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

On December 21, 1989, the FAA issued AD 90-02-16, amendment 39-6452 (55 FR 602, January 8, 1990), applicable to certain Boeing Model 727 series airplanes, to require inspection of the front spar web of the center section of the wings, and repair, if necessary. That action was prompted by reports of cracks in the front spar web. The requirements of that AD are intended to detect and correct such cracking, which could lead to fuel leakage and/or depressurization of the cabin.

Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule

Since issuance of AD 90-02-16, the FAA has received a report indicating that modification procedures specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 727-57-0177, dated December 22, 1988; Revision 1, dated November 21, 1991; and Revision 2, dated September 16, 1993; do not adequately address airplanes equipped with internal fuel tanks in the center section of the wings. Specifically, the service bulletin does not include procedures for application of the secondary fuel seal on the forward side of the front spar and on the fillet seals on the aft side of the front spar. The service bulletin also describes procedures for the application of sealant Boeing material specification (BMS) 5-95 inside the fuel tank instead of the fuel-proof sealant BMS 5-26, and the installation of non-fluid tight fasteners instead of fluid tight fasteners.

Boeing Service Bulletin 727-57-0177, dated December 22, 1988, was referenced in AD 90-02-16 as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the required modification and close visual and high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspections. Revisions 1 and 2 of that service bulletin were approved by the FAA as alternative methods of compliance for accomplishment of those actions.