## **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Employment and Training** Administration

# **Proposed Collection; Comment** Request

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training

Administration, DOL.

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the proposed extension of the ETA 204, Experience Rating Report. A copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice. **DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the

addressee's section below on or before October 8, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Edward M. Dullaghan, Unemployment Insurance Service, **Employment and Training** Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S4231, 200 Constitution Ave. N.W., Washington, DC, 20210; Telephone number (202) 219-5312 (This is not a toll-free number); fax (202) 219-8506.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Background

The data submitted annually on the ETA 204 report enables the Employment and Training Administration to project revenues for the Unemployment Insurance program on a State by State basis and to measure the variations in assigned contribution rates which result from different experience rating systems. Used in conjunction with other data, the ETA 204 assists in determining the effects of certain factors (e.g., seasonality, stabilization, expansion, or contraction in employment, etc.) on the unemployment experience of various

groups of employers. The data also provide an early signal for potential solvency problems, are useful in analyzing factors which give rise to these potential problems and permit an evaluation of the effectiveness of the various approaches available to correct the detected problems. Further, the data are the basis for determining the Experience Rating Index; the index allows for the evaluation of the extent to which benefits in States are effectively charged, noncharged, and ineffectively charged.

## **II. Review Focus**

The Department of Labor is particularly interested in comments

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

### III. Current Actions

The extension of the Experience Rating Report will allow for the continued calculation of the Experience Rating Index and to continue experience rating analysis and research on a national, regional or state level.

Type of Review: Extension. Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Experience Rating Report. OMB Number: 1205-0164. Affected Public: State Government. Cite/Reference/Form/etc: ETA 204. Frequency: Annually. Total Responses: 53.

Average Time per Response: 15 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 14. Total Burden Cost (operating/ maintaining): \$350.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information

collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 3, 1999.

#### Grace A. Kilbane,

Director, Unemployment Insurance Service, Employment and Training Administration. [FR Doc. 99-20405 Filed 8-6-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

## **Occupational Safety and Health** Administration

# **Advisory Committee on Construction** Safety and Health; Notice of Open Meeting

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Construction Safety and Health (ACCSH) will meet September 2 and 3, 1999, at the Frances Perkins Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC. This meeting is open to the public.

TIMES, DATES, ROOMS: ACCSH will meet from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Thursday, September 2 and from 9 a.m. to Noon, Friday, September 3, in room C-5521. ACCSH work groups will meet August 31 and September 1 and, if necessary, after Noon on September 3.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information contact Theresa Berry, Office of Public Affairs, Room N-3647, telephone (202) 693-1999 at the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20210.

An official record of the meeting will be available for public inspection at the OSHA Docket Office, Room N-2625, telephone 202-693-2350. All ACCSH meetings and those of its work groups are open to the public. Individuals needing special accommodation should contact Theresa Berry by August 25, 1999, at the above address.

ACCSH was established under section 107(e)(1) of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. 333) and section 7(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 656).

The agenda items include:

- Remarks by the Assistant Secretary for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Charles N. Jeffress.
- · ACCSH Work Group Updates, including:
  - Data Collection/Targeting,
  - Musculoskeletal Disorders,
  - Subpart N-Cranes,
  - Fall Protection,

- · Diversified Workforce Initiatives,
- Construction Certification and Paper-work Reduction Review
  - OSHA Form 170

Reports on construction standards updates.

Special presentations including:

- · Silica.
- Construction Advisory and the ACCSH Web Page,
  - Strategic Plan Update, and
- Health Standards Technical Updates.

The following ACCSH Work Groups are scheduled to meet in the Frances Perkins Building:

Musculoskeletal Disorders—9:30 a.m.—5:00 p.m., Tuesday, August 31, in room C55521.

Construction Certification and Paperwork Reduction Review—8:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m., Tuesday, August 31, in room C–5515 Conference Room 2.

OSHA Form 170–1–5 p.m., Tuesday, August 31, in room C–5515, Conference Room 2.

Data Collection/Targeting—8:30 a.m.– 12:30 p.m., Wednesday, September 1, in room C–5521.

Subpart N—Cranes—1–5 p.m., Wednesday, September 1, in room C– 5515, Conference Room 2.

Diversified Workforce Initiatives—8:30 a.m.–12:30 p.m., Wednesday, September 1, in room C–5515, Conference Room 2.

Fall Protection—1–5 p.m., Wednesday, September 1, in room C–5521.

Other workgroups may meet after the adjournment of the ACCSH meeting on September 3, 1999.

Interested persons may submit written data, views or comments, preferably with 20 copies, to Theresa Berry, at the address above. Submissions received prior to the meeting will be provided to ACCSH and will be included in the record of the meeting.

Interested persons may also request to make an oral presentation by notifying Theresa Berry before the meeting. The request must state the amount of time desired, the interest that the person represents, and a brief outline of the presentation. ACCSH may grant requests, as time permits, at the discretion of the Chair of ACCSH.

Signed at Washington, DC this 3rd day of August, 1999.

## Charles N. Jeffress,

Assistant Secretary of Labor. [FR Doc. 99–20406 Filed 8–6–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-443]

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation, et al. (Seabrook Station Unit 1); Order Approving Transfer of License and Conforming amendment

I

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation (North Atlantic) is authorized to act as agent for the joint owners of the Seabrook Station Unit 1 (Seabrook) and has exclusive responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility as reflected in Operating License NPF-86. Montaup Electric Company (Montaup), one of the joint owners, holds a 2.9 percent possessory interest in Seabrook. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission issued Operating License NPF-86 on March 15, 1990, pursuant to Part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 50). The facility is located in Seabrook Township, Rockingham County, on the southeast coast of the State of New Hampshire.

#### II

Under cover of a letter dated September 29, 1998, North Atlantic forwarded an application by Montaup and Little Bay Power Corporation (Little Bay) requesting approval of the proposed transfer of Montaup's rights under the operating license for Seabrook to Little Bay. The application was supplemented March 8, 1999, and April 7, 1999. In addition, the application requested approval of a conforming amendment to reflect the transfer.

Little Bay is a newly formed and wholly owned subsidiary of BayCorp Holdings, Ltd., which is the holding company that also owns Great Bay Power Corporation, an existing joint owner of Seabrook. According to the application, Montaup has agreed to sell its 2.9 percent ownership interest in Seabrook to Little Bay, subject to obtaining all necessary regulatory approvals. North Atlantic would remain as the Managing Agent for the joint owners of the facility and would continue to have exclusive responsibility for the management, operation, and maintenance of Seabrook. The conforming amendment would remove Montaup from the facility operating license and would add Little Bay in its place.

Approval of the transfer and conforming license amendment was requested pursuant to 10 CFR 50.80 and 50.90. Notice of the application for

approval and an opportunity for a hearing was published in the Federal Register on December 14, 1998 (63 FR 68801). Pursuant to such notice, joint Seabrook owners New England Power Company (NEP) and United Illuminating Company (United) filed, respectively, a timely intervention petition and hearing request, and an untimely intervention petition, and Massachusetts Municipal Wholesale Electric Company (MMWEC) filed written comments. In an order dated March 5, 1999, the Commission denied United's petition and granted NEP's intervention petition and hearing request. However, NEP's petition to intervene and hearing request were subsequently withdrawn, and an order was issued on April 26, 1999, terminating the proceeding. The March 5, 1999, order also referred MMWEC's comments to the staff. MMWEC's comments are addressed in the safety evaluation.

Under 10 CFR 50.80, no license, or any right thereunder, shall be transferred, directly or indirectly, through transfer of control of the license, unless the Commission shall give its consent in writing. Upon review of the information in the application submitted by Montaup and Little Bay dated September 29, 1998, the supplements dated March 8, and April 7, 1999, and other information before the Commission, the NRC staff has determined that Little Bay is qualified to hold the license to the same extent the license is now held by Montaup and that the transfer of the license to the extent it is held by Montaup to Little Bay is otherwise consistent with applicable provisions of law, regulations, and orders issued by the Commission. The NRC staff has further found that the application for the proposed license amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I; the facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission; there is reasonable assurance that the activities authorized by the proposed license amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public and that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations; the issuance of the proposed license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and the issuance of the