previous assessments (i.e., noticeability). The threshold for Zone Two will be set at 8 decibels below the average natural ambient A-weighted sound levels (i.e., audibility). The noise thresholds will be used in noise modeling for impact assessment to determine the onset of impact to natural quiet at GCNP.

Conclusion

As discussed above, the National Park Service has carefully considered and responded to the comments received on the Public Notice published in the Federal Register on January 26, 1999 (64 FR 3969-3972), concerning a change in the noise impact assessment methodology for air tour operations over Grand Canyon National Park. Based on this consideration, and the additional data and experience explained above which led to the Public Notice, the NPS decision is to adopt the proposed noise impact assessment methodology, and to request the FAA to use it for current rulemaking related to GCNP air tour overflight management and mitigation.

Future application of these refinements of the impact assessment methodology in FAA rulemaking measures are likely to make more challenging the agencies' efforts to achieve the substantial restoration of natural quiet. However, the use of the two noise thresholds and two geographic zones will better achieve the preservation of the GCNP resources and visitor experiences the NPS is charged to protect, and be more in line with the definition of substantial restoration of natural quiet.

Robert Stanton,

Director.

References

¹ Miller, N.P., (1999) Addendum: Grand Canyon Ambient Memorandum, Memorandum to W. R. Henry, HMMH Job No. 295860.052, February 5, 1999.

² Green, D.M., and Swets, J.A. (1966, 1988). Signal Detection Theory and Psychophysics. Los Altos, CA: Peninsula Publishing Co.

Swets, J.A. (1964,1988). Signal Detection and Recognition by Human Observers. Los Altos, CA: Peninsula Publishing Co.

³ Green, D.M., and Swets, J.A. (1966, 1988). Signal Detection Theory and Psychophysics. Los Altos, CA: Peninsula Publishing Co.

Green, D.M., Weber, D.L. and Duncan, J.E. (1977). Detection and Recognition of Pure Tones in Noise. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 62(4), 948–954.

Swets, J.A. (1964,1988). Signal Detection and Recognition by Human Observers. Los Altos, CA: Peninsula Publishing Co.

⁴ Fidell, S., Horonjeff, R., Teffeteller, S., and Green, D.M. (1983). Effective Masking Bandwidths at Low Frequencies. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 73, 628–638.

Patterson, R.D. and Nimmo-Smith, I. (1980). Off-Frequency Listening and Auditory-Filter Asymmetry. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 67(1): 229–245.

⁵ Fidell, S., and Bishop, D. (1974). Prediction of Acoustic Detectability. Technical Report 11949, U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command, Warren, MI.

Fidell, S., Pearsons, K.S., and Bennett, R. (1974). Prediction of Aural Detectability of Noise Signals. Human Factors, 16(4): 373–383.

Fidell, S., Secrist, L., Harris, M., and Sneddon, M. (1989). Development of Version 7 of an Acoustic Detection Range Prediction Model (ADRPM–7). U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command Technical Report No. 13397, Warren, Michigan.

Horonjeff, R., and Fidell, S. (1983). A Computer Program for Predicting Audibility of Noise Sources. AFWAL Technical Report 83–3115. U.S. Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Dayton, OH.

⁶ Small, A.M. and Tyler, R.S. (1978), Additive Masking Effects of Noise Bands of Different Levels. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 34: 108–113.

⁷Fidel, S., Pearsons, K., Sneddon, M. (1994) Evaluation of the Effectiveness of SFAR 50–2 in Restoring Natural Quiet to Grand Canyon National Park. BBN Report 7197, NPOA Report No. 93–1. p. 55.

⁸ Miller, N.P., (1997) A-weighted Level Differences Compared with Detectability, Memorandum to W. R. Henry, HMMH Job No. 294530.22, May 15, 1997.

⁹ Fidell, S., (1978). Effectiveness of Audible Warning Signals for Emergency Vehicles. Human Factors, 20(1), 19–26.

Potter, R.C., Fidell, S.A., and Myles, M.M. (1976). Detectability of Audible Warning Devices on Emergency Vehicles. Bolt Beranek and Newman, Inc. Report 3333 submitted to Society of Automotive Engineers.

Potter, R.C., Fidell, S.A., Myles, M.M. and Keast, D.N. (1977). Effectiveness of Audible Warning Devices on Emergency Vehicles. U.S. Department of Transportation Report No. DOT-TSC-OST-77-38, Washington, DC.

Fidell, S., and Teffeteller, S. (1978). The Relationship Between Annoyance and Detectability of Low Level Sounds. Bolt Beranek and Newman, Inc. Report 3699 submitted to Office of Noise Abatement and Control, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.

Fidell, S., and Teffeteller, S. (1981). Scaling the Annoyance of Intrusive Sounds. Journal of Sound and Vibration, 78(2), 291–298.

Fidell, S., and Teffeteller, S., Horonjeff, R., and Green, D.M. (1979). Predicting Annoyance from Detectability of Low Level Sounds. Journal of the Acoustical Society of Amereica, 66(5):1427–1434.

Sneddon, M., Howe, R., Pearsons, K., and Fidell, S. (1966). Laboratory Study of the Noticeability and Annoyance of Sounds of Low Signal-to-Noise Ratio. NASA Contractor Report 201613. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Research Center, VA.

¹⁰ Fidel, S., Pearsons, K., Sneddon, M. (1994) Evaluation of the Effectiveness of SFAR 50–2 in Restoring Natural Quiet to

Grand Canyon National Park. BBN Report 7197, NPOA Report No. 93–1. National Park Service, Denver Service Center.

¹¹ Miller, N.P., (1997) A-weighted Level Differences Compared with Detectability, Memorandum to W. R. Henry, HMMH Job No. 294530.22, May 15, 1997.

¹² Small, A.M. and Tyler, R.S. (1978), Additive Masking Effects of Noise Bands of Different Levels. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 34: 108–113.

¹³ Fidel, S., Pearsons, K., Sneddon, M. (1994) Evaluation of the Effectiveness of SFAR 50–2 in Restoring Natural Quiet to Grand Canyon National Park. BBN Report 7197, NPOA Report No. 93–1. National Park Service, Denver Service Center.

¹⁴ Miller, N.P., (1997) A-weighted Level Differences Compared with Detectability, Memorandum to W. R. Henry, HMMH Job No. 294530.22, May 15, 1997.

¹⁵ National Park Service, (1995) Report on Effects of Aircraft Overflights on the National Park System, Report to Congress, July 1995, pg. 84.

¹⁶National Park Service, (1995) Report on Effects of Aircraft Overflights on the National Park System, Report to Congress, July 1995, pg 182

pg. 18Ž.

17 Miller, N.P., (1997) A-weighted Level
Differences Compared with Detectability,
Memorandum to W. R. Henry, HMMH Job
No. 294530.22, May 15, 1997.

[FR Doc. 99-17907 Filed 7-9-99; 2:30 pm] BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Early Release for Removal of Criminal Aliens in State Custody Convicted of Nonviolent Offenses.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" from September 13, 1999. Written comments and suggestions

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) *Type of Information Collection:* New information collection.
- (2) Title of the Form/Collection: Early Release for Removal of Criminal Aliens in State Custody Convicted of Nonviolent Offenses.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component sponsoring the collection: No Agency Form Number (File No. OMB–20). Office of Investigations, Immigration and Naturalization Service.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State, Local or Tribal Governments. This information collection is contained in a MOU outlined in proposed rule INS No. 1848–97. The information will be used by the Service to identify those recommended by the state to receive consideration for early release prior to completion of sentence of imprisonment and to determine eligibility in accordance with relevant statute, regulation and policy.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 33,050 responses at 1 hour per response.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 33,050 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestion regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center Building, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 7, 1999.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 17888 Filed 7–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Labor Advisory Committee for Trade Negotiations and Trade Policy; Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Steering Subcommittee of the Labor Advisory Committee for Trade Negotiations and Trade Policy.

Date, time and place: July 21, 1999, U.S. Department of Labor, 2:00 pm-3:30 pm, S-2217, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20210.

Purpose: The meeting will include a review and discussion of current issues which influence U.S. trade policy. Potential U.S. negotiating objectives and bargaining positions in current and anticipated trade negotiations will be discussed. Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 2155(f) it has been determined that the meeting will be concerned with matters the disclosure of which would seriously compromise the Government's negotiating objectives or bargaining positions.

Accordingly, the meeting will be closed to the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jorge Perez-Lopez, Director, Office of International Economic Affairs, Phone: (202) 219–7597.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of July 1999.

Andrew James Samet,

Deputy Under Secretary International Affairs. [FR Doc. 99–17896 Filed 7–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–28–M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (99-096)]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Technology and Commercialization Advisory Committee (TCAC); Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Technology and Commercialization Advisory Committee.

DATES: Monday, July 26, 1999, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and Tuesday, July 27, 1999, 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Ames Research Center, Building 200, Committee Room, Moffett Field, CA 94035.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory M. Reck, Code AF, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546 (202/358–4700).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- -Pathways to the Future
- —Information Technology Presentations
- —Technology Implementation at Ames Research Center

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: July 7, 1999.

Matthew M. Crouch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–17968 Filed 7–13–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (99-095)]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Task Force on International Space Station Operational Readiness; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces an open meeting of the NAC Task Force on International Space Station Operational Readiness (IOR). DATES: Wednesday, August 4, 1999, 3:00 p.m.–4:30 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. ADDRESSES: NASA Headquarters, 300 E Street, SW, Room 7W31, Washington, DC 20546.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Philip Cleary, Code IH, National