the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after a hearing, issue a permanent exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplement, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 112, Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven A. Glazer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Authority**

The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (1998).

#### Scope of Investigation

Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 20, 1999, Ordered that—

- (1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain rodent bait stations or components thereof by reason of infringement of claims 19 or 22 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,040,327, or claims 4, 5, 6, 7, or 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,448,852, and whether there exists an industry in the United States as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.
- (2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which

this notice of investigation shall be served:

- (a) The complainant is—Bell Laboratories, Inc., 3699 Kinsman Blvd., Madison, Wisconsin 53704.
- (b) The respondents are the following companies alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Aegis Research Ltd., U.K., International Headquarters, Unit 2, Cologne Court, Brooklands Close, Windmill Road, Sunbury-On-Thames TW16 7EB, United Kingdom.

Aegis Research Ltd., U.S., Suite 4, 101 Weston Drive, Dover, Delaware 19904– 2764

(c) Steven A. Glazer, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Room 401–K, Washington, D.C. 20436, who shall be the Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with § 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a) of the Commission's Rules, such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter both an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against such respondent.

By order of the Commission. Issued: January 20, 1999.

# Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–1638 Filed 1–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–750 (Final) (Remand)]

# Vector Supercomputers From Japan; Notice and Scheduling of Remand Proceedings

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. International Trade Commission (the Commission) hereby gives notice of the court-ordered remand of its final antidumping investigation No. 731–TA–750 (Final).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 19, 1999.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Valerie Newkirk, Office of Investigations, telephone 202–205–3190 or Cynthia P. Johnson, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202–205–3098, U.S. International Trade Commission. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# **Background**

On December 15, 1998, the United States Court of International Trade ("CIT") issued a remand Order to the Commission in NEC Corporation and HSNX Supercomputers, Inc., and Fujitsu Limited and Fujitsu America, Inc., v. Department of Commerce & U.S. International Trade Commission, Consol. Ct. No. 97-11-01967, Slip. Op. 98-164. That case involved review of the Commission's October 1997 affirmative determination in Vector Supercomputers from Japan, Inv. No. 731-TA-750 (Final). The CIT held that it could not uphold the Supercomputers determination because the Commission "may have adopted" reasoning that "is contradictory to the 'by reason of' standard adopted by the Federal Circuit." NEC, Slip Op. 98–164 at 30. In addition, the CIT held that the Commission "did not apply the analysis mandated by the Federal Circuit" in examining the price effects of future imports. Id. at 31. Accordingly, the CIT remanded the Commission's threat of material injury determination for further explanation or reconsideration.

#### **Participation in the Proceedings**

Only those persons who were parties to the original administrative

proceedings (i.e., persons listed on the Commission Secretary's service list) may participate in these remand proceedings.

#### **Written Submissions**

Briefs should be concise, limited to the issue on remand, and thoroughly referenced to information on the record in the original investigation. This remand investigation is being conducted on the evidentiary record from the original investigation. Therefore, the submission of new factual information is not permitted. Written briefs shall be limited to twenty-five (25) pages, and must be filed no later than close of business on February 4, 1999. No further submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain business proprietary information (BPI) must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This action is taken under the authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII.

Issued: January 20, 1999. By order of the Commission.

## Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–1636 Filed 1–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

#### **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated August 4, 1998, and published in the **Federal Register** on August 18, 1998, (63 FR 44276), Applied Science Labs, Division of Alltech Associates, Inc., 2701 Carolean Industrial Drive, P.O. Box 440, State College, Pennsylvania 16801, made application by renewal to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Methcathinone (1237)	ı
N-Ethylamphetamine (1475)	1
N,N-Dimethylamphetamine (1480)	l i
4-Methylaminorex (cis isomer)	li
Lysergic acid diethlamide (7315)	li
Mescaline (7381)	li
3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine	li
(7400).	•
N-Hydroxy-3,4-	1
methylenedioxyamphetamine	•
(7402).	
3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-	1
ethylamphetamine (7404).	·
3.4-	1
Methylenedioxymethamphetam-	·
ine (7405).	
N-Ethyl-1-phenylcyclohexylamine	1
(7455).	
1-(1-Phénylcyclohexyl)pyrrolidine	1
(7458).	
1-[1-(2-	1
Thienyl)cyclohexyl]piperidine	
(7470).	
Dihydromorphine (9145)	1
Normorphine (9313)	1
1-Phenylcyclohexylamine (7460)	II
Phencyclidine (7471)	II
Phenylacetone (8501)	II
1-Piperidinocyclohexanecarboni-	П
trile (8603).	
Cocaine (9041)	II
Codeine (9050)	П
Dihydrocodeine (9120)	II
Benzoylecogonine (9180)	II
Morphine (9300)	П
Oxymorphone (9652)	П
Noroxymorphone (9668)	П

The firm plans to manufacture small quantities of the listed controlled substances for reference standards.

No comments or objections were received. DEA has considered the factors in Title 21, United States Code, Section 823(a) and determined that the registration of Applied Science Labs to manufacture the listed controlled substances is consistent with the public interest at this time. DEA has investigated Applied Science Labs on a regular basis to ensure that the company's continued registration is consistent with the public interest. These investigations have included inspection and testing of the company's physical security systems, audits of the company's records, verification of the company's compliance with state and local laws, and a review of the company's background and history. Therefore, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §823 and 28 C.F.R. §§ 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: January 12, 1999.

#### John H. King,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 99–1617 Filed 1–22–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

# **Employment and Training Administration**

# Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply For Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Acting Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than February 4, 1999

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than February 4, 1999.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 4th day of January, 1999.

# Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.