

to meet those obligations. Rather than force AMR to comply with its maintenance and repair obligations, however, the Lease grants AMR rent incentives and abatements on the GAB property. Those Lease terms are far more favorable to AMR than the rent terms offered to Wilson Air for another building on the Memphis Airport even though the two buildings will be subject to the same type of FBO usage. Wilson Air has asked the Authority to lease to it a building known as the Northwest AirLink building (the "NWA"). The Authority ordered a 1995 appraisal which compared the NWA to more expensive off-airport commercial buildings and indicated an adjusted appraisal rental rate of \$5.50 per square foot.

Instead of offering any incentives like those given to AMR, the Authority has demanded a \$6.50 per square foot rental rate from Wilson Air. The NWA previously has not been used for general aviation tenants, but if Wilson Air rented the building, it would be used for general aviation tenants and general aviation related services. Again by contrast, the Authority in the Lease has abated rent through 2010 on the GAB to AMR while simultaneously demanding that Wilson Air pay \$6.50 per square foot for use of the NWA property.<sup>5</sup> Both buildings require the expenditure of substantial funds for improvements and will experience the same or similar uses.

This unequal treatment as to office square precludes Wilson Air from effectively competing for tenants which would require use of such facilities.

In addition to the Lease, AMR and the Authority negotiated two separate "letter agreements" which granted AMR month-to-month leases on 3.21 acres and 6.09 acres of improved (closed) runway and taxilane property respectively. The December 16, 1997 letter agreement and the July 27, 1998, letter agreement are at EXHIBITS E and F. The Authority has now acknowledged that while Wilson Air was being told that no additional land was available to Wilson Air, the Authority was giving AMR the free use of this valuable acreage. Thus, the Authority allowed AMR to use land at no cost, while denying land to Wilson Air and requiring it to pay full rent for all land used.<sup>6</sup>

A portion of this land now lies within one of the option parcels granted to AMR and as recently as May 11, 1999, AMR (already operating at the Memphis Airport under the "Signature" name) has used the land without paying rental fees. This is another indicia of the manner in which Wilson Air has been hurt by the anti-competitive agreements between the Authority and AMR. These anti-competitive agreements will persist unless Signature is precluded from assuring these agreements at the Memphis Airport.

Wilson Air submits that permitting Signature to move forward with the

acquisition of AMR's rights at the Memphis Airport will violate the Competitive Impact Statement and the spirit of the Proposed Final Judgment in the subject suit. Wilson Air further asserts that the Authority's pending assignment of the AMR lease terms to Signature as required by the AMR Lease will perpetuate the anti-competitive environment between FBO's at the Memphis Airport.

Accordingly, Wilson Air requests that the Department of Justice consider the above in determining whether to support the entry of the Final Judgment in the above-cited suit. Alternatively, Wilson Air requests that Department of Justice expand its investigation into the anti-competitive aspects of the sale of AMR to Signature Flight Support Corporation to include consideration of the AMR Lease at the Memphis Airport.

Very truly yours,

Wilson Air Center, LLC

Robert A. Wilson,

*President.*

RAW/kaw  
Enclosures

Exhibits A, B, C, D, & E can be obtained from the Document Office, U.S. Department of Justice, 325 7th Street, N.W., Room 215, Washington, D.C. 20530, or (202) 514-2481.

[FR Doc. 99-16943 Filed 7-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Immigration and Naturalization Service

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Request OMB Emergency Approval; Immigration Bond.

On June 29, 1999, the Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) published a notice in the **Federal Register** at 64 FR 34862, notifying the public that it had submitted a reinstatement with change of a previously approved information collection using emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance with section 1320.13(a)(1)(ii) and (a)(2)(iii) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The notice failed to specify the requested date of OMB approval. Therefore, the INS requests OMB approval by July 9, 1999. If granted, the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. All comments and/or questions pertaining to this pending request for emergency approval should be received prior to July 9, 1999 and must be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Mr. Stuart Shapiro, 202-395-7316, Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments regarding the emergency submission of this information collection may also be submitted via facsimile to Mr. Shapiro at 202-395-6974.

During the first 60 days of this same period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. During the regular review period, the INS requests written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning this information collection. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" from September 7, 1999. During the 60-day regular review, all comments and suggestions or questions regarding additional information, to include instructions, should be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan, 202-514-3291, Director, Policy Directives and Instructions Branch, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 5307, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement with change of a previously approved collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Immigration Bond.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component sponsoring the collection:* Form I-352. Detention and Deportation Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or households. This information will be

<sup>5</sup> A 1997 airport appraisal of the GAB indicated a minimum \$5.75 per square foot rental on the building prior to renovation.

<sup>6</sup> Apparently, AMR is still using the old AMR north complex, an additional approximate 12 acre site at a different location on the airport, to service tenants, even though Wilson Air Center has been advised that this site has been designated for use for FedEx Corporation expansion.

used by the Service to determine eligibility release of a detained alien on bond, and will collect information of the obligor of the bond who is taking the responsibility of the released alien.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* 25,000 responses at 30 minutes or (.5) hours per response.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 12,500 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 1, 1999.

**Richard A. Sloan,**

*Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-17146 Filed 7-1-99; 12:37 pm]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Immigration and Naturalization Service

[INS No. 1997-99]

#### Announcement of a Change of Address for the Houston Asylum Office

**AGENCY:** Immigration and Naturalization Service, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of a change of address for the Houston Asylum Office.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces a change of address for the Houston Asylum Office. On March 1, 1999, both the physical office location and mailing address for correspondence and delivery of packages changed. The public telephone and facsimile (FAX) numbers have also changed. The new addresses and telephone and Fax numbers are listed in the supplementary information section of this notice. Asylum applicants are to continue to appear for interviews at the address shown on their interview appointment notice. This notice is necessary to ensure that correspondence and packages are properly routed to the correct address and that individuals can contact the office telephonically. Since March 1, 1999, the Immigration and Naturalization Service has continued to accept correspondence sent to the former address and will continue to accept and forward correspondence to

the correct address until November 3, 1999.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christine Davidson, Supervisory Asylum Officer, or Marta Rothwarf, Asylum Officer, Office of International Affairs, Immigration and Naturalization Service, 425 I Street, NW, ULLICO Bldg., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20536, telephone (202) 305-2663.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 1, 1999, the Houston Asylum Office moved to a new location. All parties are to use the following addresses and telephone numbers when sending correspondence or packages, or to contact the asylum office. Asylum applicants are to continue to appear for interviews at the address shown on their interview appointment notice.

#### What Is the New Mailing Address for the Houston Asylum Office?

Correspondence must be mailed to the Houston Asylum Office at the following address: U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, Houston Asylum Office, P.O. Box 670626, Houston, TX 77267-0626.

#### What Is the Actual Physical Address for the Houston Asylum Office?

Federal Express, United Parcel Service, or Express Mail packages must be delivered to the following address: U.S. Immigration and Naturalization Service, Houston Asylum Office, 16630 Imperial Valley Drive, Suite 200, Houston, TX 77060.

#### What Are the New Telephone and FAX Numbers for the Houston Asylum Office?

Telephone: (281) 774-5992.

FAX: (281) 774-4830.

#### What Are the Hours of Operation for the Houston Asylum Office?

The office is open Monday through Friday, from 7 a.m. to 4 p.m.

#### What Happens if Correspondence Is Sent to the Former Address?

Correspondence that is sent to the former address will be accepted and forwarded to the correct address by the Service until November 3, 1999. After November 3, 1999, correspondence will be returned to the sender as undeliverable.

Dated: June 18, 1999.

**Doris Meissner,**

*Commissioner, Immigration and Naturalization Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-16958 Filed 7-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-10-M

## PAROLE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

#### Record of Vote of Meeting Closure (Public Law 94-409) (5 U.S.C. Sec. 552b)

I, Michael J. Gaines, Chairman of the United States Parole Commission, was present at a meeting of said Commission which started at approximately nine-thirty a.m. on Tuesday, June 29, 1999, at the U.S. Parole Commission, 5550 Friendship Boulevard, 4th Floor, Chevy Chase, Maryland 20815. The purpose of the meeting was to decide three appeals from the National Commissioners' decisions pursuant to 28 C.F.R. Section 2.27. Three Commissioners were present, constituting a quorum when the vote to close the meeting was submitted.

Public announcement further describing the subject matter of the meeting and certifications of General Counsel that this meeting may be closed by vote of the Commissioners present were submitted to the Commissioners prior to the conduct of any other business. Upon motion duly made, seconded, and carried, the following Commissioners voted that the meeting be closed: Michael J. Gaines, Edward F. Reilly, Jr., and John R. Simpson.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I make this official record of the vote taken to close this meeting and authorize this record to be made available to the public.

Dated: June 30, 1999.

**Michael J. Gaines,**

*Chairman, U.S. Parole Commission.*

[FR Doc. 99-17147 Filed 7-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that: requested data can be provided in the desired format; reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized;