

**Polk County**

Central Avenue School, 604 S. Central Ave., Lakeland, 99000865  
 Cleveland Court School, 328 E. Edgewood Dr., Lakeland, 99000862  
 Cox, John F., Grammar School, 1005 N. Massachusetts Ave., Lakeland, 99000864

**MASSACHUSETTS****Berkshire County**

Farnams Village Historic District, Farnams Rd., Lanesborough Rd., and Cheshire Rd., Cheshire, 99000866

**NEW YORK****Columbia County**

Peck House, NY 203, Chatham, 99000869

**Dutchess County**

Graham—Brush Log House, Church St., Pine Plains, 99000870

**Nassau County**

Clifton, (Roslyn Harbor, New York MPS) 355 Bryant Ave., Roslyn Harbor, 99000874  
 Greenridge—Arthur Williams House, (Roslyn Harbor, New York MPS) 875 Bryant Ave., Roslyn Harbor, 99000875  
 Mudge Farmhouse, (Roslyn Harbor, New York MPS) 535 Motts Cove Rd. S, Roslyn Harbor, 99000876  
 Smith, Stephen and Charles, House, (Roslyn Harbor, New York MPS) 450 Bryant Ave., Roslyn Harbor, 99000873  
 Willowmere, (Roslyn Harbor, New York MPS) 435 Bryant Ave., Roslyn Harbor, 99000872

**NORTH CAROLINA****Onslow County**

Yopps Meeting House, (Onslow County MPS) NC 172, jct. with Sneads Ferry Rd., Sneads Ferry vicinity, 99000868

**Orange County**

Carolina Inn, 211 Pittsboro St., Chapel Hill, 99000867

**PENNSYLVANIA****Allegheny County**

Whitehill—Gleason Motors, 5815 Baum Blvd., Pittsburgh, 99000878

**Centre County**

Philipsburg Historic District, Roughly bounded by East Presqueisle St., Hillcrest Dr., Oak, Railroad, Spruce and Laurel Sts., Philipsburg, 99000881

**Franklin County**

Rock Hill Farm, 12995 and 12755 Bain Rd., Mercersburg, 99000880

**Northampton County**

Weona Park Carousel, PA 512, Pen Argyl, 99000879

**Warren County**

Warren Historic District, Oil Industry Resources in Western Pennsylvania MPS) Roughly bounded by Comewango Cr., the Allegheny R., 7th Ave. and Laurel St., Warren, 99000877

**TEXAS****Tarrant County**

Our Mother of Mercy Catholic Church and Parsonage, 1100 and 1104 Evans Ave., Fort Worth, 99000882  
 Saint James Second Street Baptist Church, 210 Harding St., Fort Worth, 99000883

[FR Doc. 99-17040 Filed 7-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-U

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service**

**Notice of Availability of Director's Order Concerning the Establishment of a National Tourism Policy; Correction**

June 4, 1999.

In FR doc. 99-13061 published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 1999, on page 28009 under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** the telephone number is corrected to read "202/208-6057."

**Georgette Tolbert,**

*Director of Tourism, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-16983 Filed 7-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act**

In accordance with Departmental policy, the Department of Justice gives notice that four proposed consent decrees in the consolidated cases captioned *United States v. Cantrell, et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-97-981 (S.D. Ohio) and *United States v. Ohio Power Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-98-247 (S.D. Ohio), were lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, on June 21, 1999, pertaining to the Automatic Containers Superfund Site (the "Site"), located near Ironton, in Lawrence County, Ohio. The proposed consent decrees would resolve certain civil claims of the United States for recovery of more than \$1.3 million in unreimbursed past response costs under Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, against two defendants and 28 third-party defendants in the consolidated cases.

The first proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant Mansbach Realty Co. (d/b/a Mansbach Metal Co.) and Certain

Third-Party Settling Defendants" would require Defendant Mansbach's payment of \$585,000 (on its own behalf and on behalf of 24 so-called "Mansbach Supplier" Third-Party Defendants) in reimbursement of past CERCLA response costs the United States incurred in connection with the Site. (The following Mansbach Supplier Third-Party Defendants are Settling Defendants under that proposed consent decree: American Commercial Barge Line LLC (and its related corporate entity American Commercial Lines LLC); American Electric Power Service Corporation (and its related corporate entities Ohio Power Company and Indiana Michigan Power Company); Ashland Oil, Inc. (now known as Ashland Inc.); Baker Iron & Metal Co., Inc.; Merdie Boggs & Sons; Crounse Corporation; CSX Transportation, Inc. (in its own name and as successor by merger to Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company); E.I. du Pont Nemours and Company; General American Transportation Corporation; Helm Financial Corporation (and its related entities Helm-Atlantic Associates Limited Partnership, Helm-Pacific leasing, and HM Joint Venture); Ingram Industries, Inc.; The David J. Joseph Company; The Valley Line Company (formerly known as Mississippi Valley Barge Line Company); Norfolk Southern Railway Company; Nugent Sand Company; The Ohio River Company (and its related corporate entity Midland Enterprises Inc.); Progress Rail Services Corporation; Kentucky Electric Steel, Inc.; Ross Brothers Construction Co.; Sears, Roebuck and Co.; Superior Marine Ways, Inc.; and Union Tank Car Company.) The second proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant Oak Hill Foundry & Machine Works, Inc.," would provide for payment of an additional \$91,000 by Defendant Oak Hill. The third proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with Certain Third-Party Settling Defendants," would provide for payment of an additional \$13,000 by Third-Party Defendants Muth Lumber Co., Crace Construction Co., and Lawrence County Medical Center. The fourth proposed consent decree, captioned "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant City of Ironton, Ohio," would provide for payment of an additional \$26,000 by the City. Taken together, the four proposed consent decrees would resolve claims against 30 parties in exchange for payment of \$715,000, as provided by the proposed consent decrees.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resource Division, United States Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Cantrell, et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-97-981 (S.D. Ohio) and *United States v. Ohio Power Co., et al.*, Civil Action No. C-1-98-247 (S.D. Ohio), and DOJ Reference Nos. 90-11-3-1756 and 90-11-3-1756/1, and the proposed consent decree(s) which the comments address.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at: (1) The Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Ohio, 220 U.S. Courthouse, 100 East Fifth street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (contact Gerald Kaminski (513-684-3711)); (2) the United States Environmental Protection Agency (Region 5), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590 (contact Mony Chabria (312-886-6842)); and (3) the U.S. Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005 (202-624-0892). Copies of the proposed consent decrees may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting copies, please refer to the referenced case and DOJ Reference Number, the proposed consents decree(s) requested, and enclose a check for the amount(s) described below, made payable to the Consent Decree Library. The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant Mansbach Realty Co. (d/b/a Mansbach Metal Co.) and Certain Third-Party Settling Defendants" and all appendices is \$13.50 (54 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs). The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant Oak Hill Foundry & Machine Works, Inc." and all appendices is \$6.25 (25 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs). The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with certain Third-Party Settling Defendants" and all appendices is \$6.75 (27 pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs). The cost for a copy of the "Partial Consent Decree with Settling Defendant City of Ironton, Ohio" and all appendices is \$6.25 (25

pages at 25 cents per page reproduction costs).

**Joel M. Gross,**  
Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section,  
Environment and Natural Resources Division.  
[FR Doc. 99-16942 Filed 7-2-99; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **U.S. v. Signature Flight Support Corporation, et al.; Public Comments and Plaintiff's Response**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that the Public Comment and Plaintiff's Response have been filed with the United States District Court of the District of Columbia in *United States v. Signature Flight Support Corporation*, Civ. Action No. 9900537 (RCL).

On March 1, 1999, the United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint alleging that Signature Flight Support Corporation's ("Signature") proposed acquisition of AMR Combs, Inc., ("Combs") would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleged that Signature and Combs are fixed based operators (FBOs) located at various airports throughout the United States. Signature's acquisition of Combs would have eliminated its only FBO competitor at Bradley International Airport and at Palm Springs Regional Airport. The acquisition would have also significantly reduced the likelihood of entry of a third, independent FBO competitor at Denver Centennial Airport. As a result, the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition for FBO services at those airports in violation of section 7 of the Clayton Act.

Public comment was invited within the statutory 60-day comment period. The one comment received, and the response thereto, is hereby published in the **Federal Register** and filed with the Court. Copies of these materials may be obtained on request and payment of a copying fee.

**Constance K. Robinson,**  
Director of Operations and Merger  
Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

#### **Plaintiff's Response to Public Comment**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) ("Tunney Act"), the United States hereby responds to the single public comment received

regarding the proposed Final Judgment in this case.

#### *I. Background*

On March 1, 1999, the United States Department of Justice ("the Department") filed the Complaint in this matter. The Complaint alleges that Signature Flight Support Corporation's ("Signature") proposed acquisition of AMR Combs, Inc. ("Combs"), a wholly owned, indirect subsidiary of AMR Corporation, would violate section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. The Complaint alleges that Signature and Combs are fixed base operators (FBOs) located primarily at various airports throughout the United States. FBOs provide flight support services to general aviation customers. By acquiring the Combs FBO facilities, Signature would eliminate its sole FBO competitor at Bradley International Airport ("BDL") and at Palm Springs Regional Airport ("PSP"). In addition, Signature's proposed acquisition would significantly reduce the likelihood of entry by a third, independent FBO competitor at Denver Centennial Airport ("APA"). As a result, the Complaint alleges, the proposed acquisition would substantially lessen competition for FBO services at APA, BDL and PSP in violation of section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18.

Simultaneously with the filing of the Complaint, the Department filed the proposed Final Judgment and Stipulation signed by all the parties that allows for entry of the proposed Final Judgment following compliance with the Tunney Act. The Department also filed a Competitive Impact Statement ("CIS") on March 15, 1999, that was subsequently published in the **Federal Register** on March 26, 1999. The CIS explains in detail the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment, the nature and purposes of these proceedings, and the transaction giving rise to the alleged violation.

As the Complaint and the CIS explain, the merger as originally proposed was likely to reduce or eliminate competition in three specific markets for flight support services—the APA, BDL and PSP markets. The proposed Final Judgment is intended to prevent the expected lessening of competition the merger would cause in those markets.

As a remedy to competitive harm in the BDL and PSP markets for flight support services, the Department and Signature, Combs, and AMR agreed to divestiture of one of the FBO businesses at each airport. In addition, the parties agreed to remedy the competitive harm in the APA market for flight support services by transferring Signature's