

**Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 137 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 25 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$205,500, or \$1,500 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

**Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

**The Proposed Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

**PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

**§ 39.13 [Amended]**

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Short Brothers PLC:** Docket 99–NM–29–AD.

**Applicability:** All Model SD3–30, SD3–60, SD3–SHERPA, and SD3–60 SHERPA series airplanes; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the engine mounting tube assembly, which could result in loss of the engine in flight, accomplish the following:

**Inspections**

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed visual inspection of the taper pins of the engine mounting tube assembly for corrosion, in accordance with Shorts Service Bulletin SD330–71–23, dated November 20, 1998, or Revision 1, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–30 series airplanes); SD 3 SHERPA–71–1, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–SHERPA series airplanes); SD360 SHERPA 71–1, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–60 SHERPA series airplanes); or SD360–71–18, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–60 series airplanes); as applicable. If corrosion is found on any taper pin, prior to further flight, replace all three pins with new or serviceable pins, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

(b) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a borescopic inspection of the internal surface of the engine mounting tubes and fittings for corrosion, in accordance with Shorts Service Bulletin SD330–71–23, dated November 20, 1998, or Revision 1, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–30 series airplanes); SD3 SHERPA–71–1, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–SHERPA series airplanes); SD360 SHERPA 71–1, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–60 SHERPA series airplanes); or SD360–71–18, Revision 1, dated February 3, 1999, or Revision 2, dated April 26, 1999 (for Model SD3–60 series airplanes); as applicable.

(1) If no corrosion is found on the internal surface of the engine mounting tubes and fittings, no further action is required by this paragraph.

(2) If corrosion is found that is within the limits as defined in the applicable service bulletin, repeat the borescopic inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 6 months. Replacement of all corroded parts with new or serviceable parts in accordance with the applicable service bulletin constitutes terminating action for the repetitive borescopic inspections required by this AD.

(3) If corrosion is found that is outside the limits as defined in the applicable service bulletin, prior to further flight, replace the corroded parts with new or serviceable parts, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

**Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

**Special Flight Permits**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in British airworthiness directives 014–11–98, 018–11–98, 011–11–98, and 012–11–98.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 22, 1999.

**D.L. Riggins,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99–16332 Filed 6–25–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 99–NM–11–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

**Airworthiness Directives; Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 900, Falcon 900EX, and Falcon 2000 Series Airplanes**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 900, Falcon 900EX, and Falcon 2000 series airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of the elevator auxiliary artificial feel unit (AFU) with a new elevator auxiliary AFU. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil airworthiness authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the elevator auxiliary AFU. Failure of an AFU, coupled with a control linkage disconnection, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 28, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-11-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Dassault Falcon Jet, P.O. Box 2000, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2110; fax (425) 227-1149.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic,

environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99-NM-11-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

**Availability of NPRMs**

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-11-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

**Discussion**

The Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Dassault Model Mystere-Falcon 900, Falcon 900EX, and Falcon 2000 series airplanes. The DGAC advises that, during an inspection, the bushing of the elevator auxiliary artificial feel unit (AFU), was found broken due to fatigue. The DGAC also advises that the elevator auxiliary AFU failure could affect the elevator neutral position return if linkage disconnection upstream of the servo actuator occurs. Such elevator auxiliary AFU failure, coupled with a control linkage disconnection, if not corrected, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane.

**Explanation of Relevant Service Information**

Dassault has issued Service Bulletins F900-235, dated October 13, 1998 (for Model Mystere-Falcon 900 series airplanes), F900EX-88, dated October 20, 1998 (for Model Falcon 900EX series airplanes), and F2000-175, dated October 20, 1998 (for Model Falcon 2000 series airplanes). These service bulletins describe procedures for replacement of the elevator auxiliary AFU with a new elevator auxiliary AFU that has improved fatigue properties. Accomplishment of the actions specified in these service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition. The DGAC classified these service bulletins as

mandatory and issued French airworthiness directives 98-429-023(B) and 98-428-007(B), both dated November 4, 1998, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in France.

**FAA's Conclusions**

These airplane models are manufactured in France and are type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of § 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the DGAC has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the DGAC, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

**Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins described previously.

**Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 186 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 3 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed replacement, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts would be supplied by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$33,480, or \$180 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

**Regulatory Impact**

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient

federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

#### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**Dassault Aviation:** Docket 99–NM–11–AD.

**Applicability:** Model Mystere-Falcon 900, Falcon 900EX, and Falcon 2000 series airplanes, equipped with an elevator auxiliary artificial feel unit (AFU), part number 105045–10; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the elevator auxiliary AFU, coupled with a control linkage

disconnection, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

#### Replacement

(a) Prior to the accumulation of 2,000 total landings, or within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, replace the elevator auxiliary AFU, part number 105045–10, with an elevator auxiliary AFU, part number 105045–13, in accordance with Dassault Service Bulletin F900–235, dated October 13, 1998 (for Model Mystere-Falcon 900 series airplanes); F900EX–88, dated October 20, 1998 (for Model Falcon 900EX series airplanes); or F2000–175, dated October 20, 1998 (for Model Falcon 2000 series airplanes); as applicable.

#### Spares

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install an elevator auxiliary AFU, part number 105045–10, on any airplane.

#### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM–116.

#### Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

**Note 3:** The subject of this AD is addressed in French airworthiness directives 98–429–023(B) and 98–428–007(B), both dated November 4, 1998.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 22, 1999.

**D.L. Rigin,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99–16331 Filed 6–25–99; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–U**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98–NM–331–AD]

RIN 2120–AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all British Aerospace Model BAe 146 series airplanes and certain British Aerospace Model Avro 146–RJ series airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive eddy current inspections to detect fatigue cracking along the face of the retraction attachment boss in the nose landing gear sidewall; and corrective action, if necessary. This proposal is prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a foreign civil aviation authority. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracking along the face of the retraction attachment boss in the nose landing gear sidewall, which could result in failure of the nose landing gear during takeoff and landing.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by July 28, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–NM–331–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from AI(R) American Support, Inc., 13850 Mclearen Road, Herndon, Virginia 20171. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Norman B. Martenson, Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2110; fax (425) 227–1149.