

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

# **PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES**

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

**§§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33, 97.35 [Amended]**

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME

or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

FDC Date	State	City	Airport	FDC No.	SIAP
05/01/99	NH	Keene	Dillant-Hopkins	FDC 9/3102	ILS Rwy 2 Amdt 2 this corrects FDC 9/3102 in TL99-12.
05/04/99	IL	Chicago/Aurora	Aurora Muni	FDC 9/2968	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS Rwy 27, Orig.
05/12/99	IA	Dubuque	Dubuque Regional	FDC 9/3147	NDB or GPS Rwy 31, Amdt 8B.
05/12/99	IA	Dubuque	Dubuque Regional	FDC 9/3148	ILS Rwy 31, Amdt 10C.
05/12/99	LA	Hammond	Hammond Muni	FDC 9/3135	ILS Rwy 18, Amdt 2B.
05/12/99	MI	Allegan	Padgham Field	FDC 9/3140	VOR or GPS Rwy 28, Amdt 13.
05/12/99	TX	Brownsville	South Padre Island Intl	FDC 9/3155	ILS Rwy 13R, Amdt 11.
05/12/99	TX	Galveston	Scholes Field	FDC 9/3137	ILS Rwy 13, Amdt 9A.
05/12/99	TX	Harlingen	Valley Intl	FDC 9/3154	ILS Rwy 17R, Amdt 11.
05/12/99	TX	Laredo	Laredo Intl	FDC 9/3136	ILS Rwy 17R, Amdt 8A.
05/12/99	TX	McAllen	McAllen Miller Intl	FDC 9/3159	ILS Rwy 13, Amdt 8.
05/12/99	TX	Waco	TSTC Waco	FDC 9/3157	ILS Rwy 17L, Amdt 11.
05/12/99	TX	Waco	Waco Regional	FDC 9/3158	ILS Rwy 19, Amdt 15.
05/13/99	CA	San Diego	San Diego Intl-Lindbergh Field	FDC 9/3182	LOC Rwy 27 Amdt 2A.
05/13/99	CA	San Diego	San Diego Intl-Lindbergh Field	FDC 9/3188	NDB or GPS Rwy 27 Amdt 1A.
05/13/99	FL	Daytona	Daytona Beach Intl	FDC 9/3172	LOC BC Rwy 25R, Amdt 14A.
05/14/99	MA	Vineyard Haven	Marthas Vineyard	FDC 9/3240	VOR or GPS Rwy 6 Orig-A.
05/14/99	MA	Vineyard Haven	Marthas Vineyard	FDC 9/3241	ILS Rwy 24 Orig-A.
05/14/99	MA	Vineyard Haven	Marthas Vineyard	FDC 9/3242	VOR or GPS Rwy 24 Orig-A.
05/14/99	NM	Hobbs	Lea County/Hobbs	FDC 9/3234	LS Rwy 3, Amdt 5.
05/17/99	DE	Middletown	Summit	FDC 9/3285	VOR/DME RNAV Rwy 35 Amdt 3A.
05/17/99	GA	Dublin	W. H. "Bud" Barron	FDC 9/3280	VOR or GPS-A Amdt 3B.
05/17/99	MO	St. Louis	Lambert-St. Louis Intl	FDC 9/3278	LDA/DME Rwy 30L, Amdt 2A.
05/18/99	OH	Cleveland	Cleveland-Hopkins Intl	FDC 9/3298	ILS Rwy 23L, Amdt 17.
05/20/99	AK	Port Heiden	Port Heiden	FDC 9/3338	NDB/DME Rwy 5, Amdt 2.
05/20/99	CO	Burlington	Burlington/Kit Carson County	FDC 9/3358	Correct U.S. Terminal Publication.
05/20/99	DC	Washington	Ronald Reagan Washington National	FDC 9/3406	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS Rwy 33 Amdt 5B.
05/20/99	GA	Atlanta	The William B. Hartsfield Atlanta Intl.	FDC 9/3405	ILS Rwy 9L, Amdt 5A.
05/20/99	IN	Indianapolis	Indianapolis Intl	FDC 9/3321	ILS Rwy 5L, Amdt 1.
05/20/99	IN	Terre Haute	Terre Haute Intl-Hulman Field	FDC 9/3322	LOC BC Rwy 23, Amdt 18.
05/20/99	IN	Terre Haute	Terre Haute Intl-Hulman Field	FDC 9/3323	ILS Rwy 5, Amdt 22A.
05/20/99	LA	Lake Charles	Chennault International	FDC 9/3349	VOR or GPS Rwy 33L, Amdt 3.
05/20/99	LA	Lake Charles	Chennault International	FDC 9/3350	ILS Rwy 15R, Amdt 4.
05/20/99	SC	Columbia	Columbia Metropolitan	FDC 9/3359	GPS Rwy 23, Orig.
05/20/99	TX	College Station	Easterwood Field	FDC 9/3344	VOR or TACAN Rwy 10, Amdt 18A.
05/21/99	GA	Sandersville	Kaolin Field	FDC 9/3430	VOR/DME or GPS-A, Amdt. 4.
05/24/99	AL	Birmingham	Birmingham Intl	FDC 9/3481	LOC Rwy 18, Orig.
05/24/99	KS	Topeka	Philip Billard Muni	FDC 9/3478	LOC BC Rwy 31, Amdt 19.
05/24/99	KS	Topeka	Philip Billard Muni	FDC 9/3479	ILS Rwy 13, Amdt 32.
05/24/99	OH	Cleveland	Burke Lakefront	FDC 9/3491	NDB or GPS Rwy 24R, Amdt 1.
12/29/98	SC	Pelion	Pelion Corporate	FDC 8/9076	VOR or GPS-A, Amdt 2A.

[FR Doc. 99-14612 Filed 6-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**ACTION:** Final rule.

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 97**

[Docket No. 29579; Amdt. No. 1932]

### **Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, addition of new obstacles, or changes in air traffic requirements. These changes are

designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigable airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

**DATES:** An effective date for each SIAP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

Incorporation by reference—approved by the Director of the Federal Register on December 31, 1980, and reapproved as of January 1, 1982.

**ADDRESSES:** Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

*For examination—*

1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591;

2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located; or

3. The Flight Inspection Area Office which originated the SIAP.

*For Purchase—*Individual SIAP copies may be obtained from:

1. FAA Public Inquiry Center (APA-200), FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; or

2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

*By Subscription—*Copies of all SIAPs, mailed once every 2 weeks, are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Donald P. Pate, Flight Procedure Standards Branch (AMCAFS-420), Flight Technologies and Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center, 6500 South MacArthur Blvd. Oklahoma City, OK 73169 (Mail Address: P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, OK 73125) telephone: (405) 954-4164.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This amendment to part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs). The complete regulatory description of each SIAP is contained in official FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR part 51, and § 97.20 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). The applicable FAA Forms are identified as FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, and 8260-5. Materials incorporated by reference are available for examination or purchase as stated above.

The large number of SIAPs, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make their verbatim publication in the **Federal Register** expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, but refer to their graphic depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP contained in FAA form documents is unnecessary. The provisions of this amendment state the affected CFR (and FAR) sections, with the types and effective dates of the SIAPs. This amendment also identifies the airport, its location, the procedure identification and the amendment number.

**The Rule**

This amendment to part 97 is effective upon publication of each separate SIAP as contained in the transmittal. Some SIAP amendments may have been previously issued by the FAA in a National Flight Data Center (NFDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). In developing these SIAPs, the TERPS criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting these SIAPs are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

**Conclusion**

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a

regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR part 97**

Air Traffic Control, Airports, Navigation (Air).

Issued in Washington, DC on May 28, 1999.

**L. Nicholas Lacey,**

*Director, Flight Standards Service.*

**Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 UTC on the dates specified, as follows:

**PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES**

1. The authority citation for part 97 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120, 44701; and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2).

2. Part 97 is amended to read as follows:

**§§ 97.23, 97.25, 97.27, 97.29, 97.31, 97.33, 97.35 [Amended]**

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

. . . *Effective June 17, 1999*

Newark, NJ, Newark Intl, VOR/DME OR GPS RWY 22R, Amdt 3  
Newark, NJ, Newark Intl, ILS RWY 22L, Amdt 9  
Newark, NJ, Newark Intl, GPS RWY 22L, Orig  
Teterboro, NJ, Teterboro, ILS RWY 19, Orig

. . . *Effective July 15, 1999*

Bakersfield, CA, Bakersfield Muni, GPS RWY 34, Orig  
Salinas, CA, Salinas Muni, GPS RWY 13, Orig  
Salinas, CA, Salinas Muni, GPS RWY 31, Orig  
Atlanta, GA, The William B. Hartsfield Atlanta Intl, ILS RWY 27L, Amdt 13  
Cahokia/St. Louis, IL, St. Louis Downtown—Parks, ILS RWY 30L, Amdt 7

Cahokia/St. Louis, IL, St. Louis  
Downtown—Parks, NDB RWY 30L,  
Amdt 1  
Cahokia/St. Louis, IL, St. Louis  
Downtown—Parks, GPS RWY 30L,  
Orig  
Bangor, ME, Bangor Intl, GPS RWY 15,  
Orig  
Bangor, ME, Bangor Intl, GPS RWY 33,  
Orig  
Pascagoula, MS, Trent Lott Intl, GPS  
RWY 35, Orig  
Colstrip, MT, Colstrip, GPS RWY 6, Orig  
Colstrip, MT, Colstrip, GPS RWY 24,  
Orig  
Lakewood, NJ, Lakewood, GPS RWY 6,  
Orig  
Lakewood, NJ, Lakewood, GPS RWY 24,  
Orig  
Greensboro, NC, Piedmont Triad  
International, VOR/DME OR GPS  
RWY 32, Amdt 3A, CANCELLED  
Greensboro, NC, Piedmont Triad  
International, GPS RWY 32, Orig  
Washington, NC, Warren Field, GPS  
RWY 5, Orig  
Wilmington, NC, Wilmington Intl, GPS  
RWY 6, Amdt 1  
Wilmington, NC, Wilmington Intl, GPS  
RWY 24, Amdt 1  
Dublin, VA, New River Valley, GPS  
RWY 24, Orig  
Manitowoc, WI, Manitowoc County,  
VOR OR GPS RWY 35, Amdt 14

[FR Doc. 99-14613 Filed 6-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### 16 CFR Part 245

#### Guides for the Watch Industry

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Rescission of the Guides for the Watch Industry.

**SUMMARY:** On June 18, 1997, the Federal Trade Commission ("Commission") published a **Federal Register** Notice seeking public comment on proposed changes to the Guides for the Watch Industry ("Watch Guides" or "Guides") and on the continuing need for the Guides. The Commission has now completed its review and has decided to rescind the Guides. The Commission has concluded that the Guides are no longer needed to resolve uncertainty among businesses over what claims are likely to be considered deceptive, and that in most instances, international standards provide sufficient guidance to industry regarding watch markings and claims.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 9, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of this **Federal Register** document should be

sent to the Consumer Response Center, Room 130, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20580. This document also is available on the Internet at the Commission's website, <<http://www.ftc.gov>>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laura J. DeMartino, Attorney, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20580, (202) 326-3030, e-mail <[Ldemartino@ftc.gov](mailto:Ldemartino@ftc.gov)>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Introduction

The Commission announces that it is rescinding the Guides for the Watch Industry, 16 CFR part 245. The Watch Guides address claims for the advertising, marking, and sale of watches, watchcases, watch accessories, and watch bands that are permanently attached to watchcases. The Guides specifically address representations and markings regarding a watch's metallic composition, protective and other special features, movement, and country of origin.

In 1992, the Commission solicited public comment on the Watch Guides and the then-Guides for the Jewelry Industry and Guides for the Metallic Watch Band Industry.<sup>1</sup> After review, the Commission tentatively decided to make numerous changes to the Watch Guides that were not discussed in the original **Federal Register** Notice. The Commission, therefore, solicited further comment regarding these proposed changes, as well as its proposal to delete 9 of the 16 sections in their entirety.<sup>2</sup> The Commission also solicited comment on whether there was a continuing need for the Watch Guides. In particular, the Commission requested comment on whether international standards provide sufficient guidance to industry and whether industry self-regulation and "market mechanisms," such as

<sup>1</sup> 57 FR 24996 (June 12, 1992). The Commission revised the Guides for the Jewelry Industry (renamed Guides for the Jewelry, Precious Metals and Pewter Industries) and rescinded the Guides for the Metallic Watch Band Industry. 61 FR 27178 and 27228 (May 30, 1996).

<sup>2</sup> 62 FR 33316 (June 18, 1997). Comments submitted in response to the earlier Notice stated that certain provisions of the Guides, such as those dealing with gold-plated, water-resistant and shock-resistant watches, were outdated or inconsistent with international standards. Some comments also noted that the Guides failed to address quartz watches. In addition to proposing changes to the Guides, the Commission proposed deleting sections that were the subject of broader, non-industry specific guidance (e.g., guidance regarding use of the word "free"), that were covered by other parts of the Guides (e.g., admonishing against misrepresentations of watch accessories), or that were no longer necessary (e.g., advising the disclosure of foreign origin).

manufacturer reputation or warranties, are sufficient to protect consumers from misrepresentations about watches.<sup>3</sup> The Commission requested this information to determine whether the Watch Guides should be revised and retained or whether they should be rescinded.

The Commission received eleven comments in response to this second **Federal Register** Notice.<sup>4</sup> The comments favored retaining the Watch Guides, albeit with significant changes.<sup>5</sup> After carefully reviewing the comments and the Guides, however, the Commission has concluded that there is no continuing need for the Watch Guides. Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C. 45(a)(1), prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce." The purpose of guides is to assist industry members in complying with the Act. Guides are particularly useful if they resolve uncertainty among businesses over what claims are likely to be considered deceptive. The current Watch Guides, however, are in many instances out of date, inconsistent with international standards, or unnecessary. Rather than extensively redrafting the Guides, the Commission has decided that international standards provide guidance to sellers regarding certain acceptable claims and markings. For those claims not addressed by international standards, there does not appear to be any demonstrated uncertainty over what the Commission is likely to consider deceptive. Thus, the Commission has determined to rescind the Watch Guides. In the following sections of this Notice, the Commission summarizes the key points raised by the

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> In the remainder of this Notice, the comments are cited to by an abbreviation of the comment name, the comment number, and the relevant pages of the comment. The following is a list of the comment name, abbreviation and comment number used to identify each commenter. Japan Clock & Watch Association ("JCWA") #1; European Union Delegation of the Permanent European Horological Committee ("EU") #2; United States Watch Council, Inc. ("USWC") #3; Leon M. Newhouse ("Newhouse") #4; Federation of the Swiss Watch Industry ("Swiss") #5; Seiko Corporation of America ("Seiko") #6; Bell & Ross ("Bell") #7; American Watch Association ("AWA") #8; U.S. Watch Producers in the US Virgin Islands ("USVI") #9; Kenneth E. Mapp, Lieutenant Governor, The United States Virgin Islands ("Mapp") #10; Timex Corporation ("Timex") #11.

<sup>5</sup> JCWA (1) p.3; EU (2) p.1; USWC (3) p.1; Swiss (5) pp.3-4; Seiko (6) p.1; AWA (8) p.1; USVI (9) p.1; Timex (11) p.2. Although Newhouse (4), Bell (7) and Mapp (10) did not expressly state that they favored retention of the Guides, they recommended changes or additions to the Guides and, therefore, also are considered to favor retention. Timex (11) p.2, stated that there is a continuing need for the Guides "only if, to the extent the Guides set standards, those standards will be enforced."