

(PSCFC) program—“ have all been found to be terminated, with no residual benefits.¹⁹ Therefore, pursuant to the *Sunset Policy Bulletin* the net countervailable subsidy likely to prevail has been adjusted to reflect the termination of these programs. The net countervailable subsidy has also been adjusted to account for new programs identified during administrative reviews.²⁰

As a result of changes in programs since the imposition of the countervailing duty order, the Department has determined that using the net countervailable subsidy rates, as

determined in the original investigation, is no longer appropriate. Rather, we have adjusted the company-specific and “all others” countervailing duty rates from the original investigation by adding in the rates from the first time a new program was used and subtracting out the subsidy rates from programs that have been terminated. (See Memorandum to File regarding calculation of the net countervailable subsidy.) As a result, the Department will report to the Commission the rates as contained in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

Nature of the Subsidy

In the *Sunset Policy Bulletin*, the Department stated that, consistent with section 752(a)(6) of the Act, the Department will provide information to the Commission concerning the nature of the subsidy and whether the subsidy is a subsidy described in Article 3 or Article 6.1 of the Subsidies Agreement. The domestic parties did not specifically address this issue.

Because receipt of benefits provided by the GOI’s countervailable programs are contingent upon exports, these programs fall within the definition of export subsidies under Article 3.1(A) of the Subsidies Agreement.

Final Results of Review

As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the countervailing duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the rates listed below:

Manufacturer/exporters	Margin (percent)
Uma Iron & Steel	1.76
R.B. Agarwalla & Co.	0.84
Basant Udyog	1.82
Kejriwal Iron & Steel Works	1.82
Kajaria Exports	0.84
All others	1.82

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department’s regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This five-year (“sunset”) review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-14340 Filed 6-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904 NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of first request for panel review.

SUMMARY: On May 18, 1999 Cinsa, S.A. de C.V. (“Cinsa”) and Esmaltaciones de Norte America, S.A. de C.V. (“ENASA”) filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico. This determination was published in the **Federal Register**, 64, 26,934 on May 18, 1999. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-CDA-99-1904-05 to this request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Caratina L. Alston, Acting United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement (“Agreement”) establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* (“Rules”). These Rules were published in the

¹⁹For information concerning program terminations *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 60 FR 44843 (August 29, 1995); *Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Iron Metal Castings From India*, 56 FR 41658 (August 22, 1991); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order*, 49 FR 32779 (August 16, 1984); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order*, 49 FR 40943 (October 18, 1984); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 64676 (December 6, 1996); and *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 63 FR 64050 (November 18, 1998) respectively. For the case of the income tax deductions (the preliminary and final results published in 1984) the comment by the Department regarding the termination of this program is found in the preliminary results and is reaffirmed in the final results.

²⁰For new programs *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 51 FR 45788 (December 22, 1986); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Amendment to Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand*, 53 FR 37014 (September 23, 1988); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 51 FR 35676 (October 7, 1986); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 51 FR 45788 (December 22, 1986); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 55 FR 12702 (April 5, 1990); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 55 FR 50747 (December 10, 1990); *Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Iron Metal Castings From India*, 56 FR 29626 (June 28, 1991); *Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Iron Metal Castings From India*, 56 FR 41658 (August 22, 1991); *Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Iron Metal Castings From India*, 56 FR 41654 (August 22, 1991); *Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; Certain Iron Metal Castings From India*, 56 FR 52515 (October 21, 1991); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 64676 (December 6, 1996); *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 64669 (December 6, 1996); and *Certain Iron Metal Castings From India; Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 62 FR 32297 (June 13, 1997).

Federal Register on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on May 18, 1999, requesting panel review of the final antidumping duty administrative review described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) a Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is June 17, 1999);

(b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is July 2, 1999); and

(c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel review.

Dated: May 25, 1999.

Caratina L. Alston,

Acting United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 99-14248 Filed 6-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-GT-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 052599B]

ICCAT Advisory Committee; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT) in conjunction with the Highly Migratory Species (HMS) Management Division of NMFS announces the schedule of regional public meetings to be held this fall.

DATES: The meetings are scheduled in September 1999. See **SUPPLEMENTARY**

INFORMATION for specific dates and times of the meetings.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held in Florida, North Carolina, New Jersey, and Massachusetts. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for specific addresses of the meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick E. Moran (international issues) 301-713-2276 or Rachel Husted (domestic issues) 301-713-2347.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meetings are scheduled as follows:

Wednesday, September 1, 1999, 7 p.m. to 10 p.m.- Ramada Inn Clearwater Beach Gulfview, 521 South Gulfview Boulevard, Clearwater, Florida 33767;

Thursday, September 2, 1999, 7 p.m. to 10 p.m.- Pompano Beach Civic Center, 1801 NE 6th street, Pompano Beach, Florida 33060;

Thursday, September 23, 1999, 7 p.m. to 10 p.m.- Nags Head Town Board Room, 5401 South Croatan Highway, Nags Head, North Carolina 27959;

Wednesday, September 29, 1999, 7 p.m. to 10 p.m.- Barnegat Light Fire Department, West 10th, Barnegat Light, New Jersey 08006;

Thursday, September 30, 1999, 7 p.m. to 10 p.m.- Sheraton Hyannis Resort, West End Circle, Hyannis, Massachusetts 02601.

Additionally, the annual fall meeting of the Advisory Committee will be held in Silver Spring, Maryland, October 31 through November 2, 1999. There will be opportunity for public comment on international issues at this meeting. Domestic issues will not be discussed at this meeting. Information on the timing and location of the annual fall meeting will be provided at a later date.

The following topics may be presented to the public for discussion at the regional meetings:

International Issues

- (1) Background on ICCAT
- (2) Information on the Advisory Committee and Commissioners
- (3) Status of Highly Migratory Species Managed by ICCAT
- (4) Topics for the 1999 ICCAT Annual Meeting

Domestic Issues

- (1) Implementation of ICCAT Recommendations
 - (2) Other HMS management actions
- Representatives from the Advisory Committee to the U.S. Section to ICCAT and NMFS will be in attendance at the regional meetings. There will be an opportunity for public comment on each issue. The length of the meetings may be adjusted based on the progress of the discussions.

Special Accommodation

The meeting locations are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Patrick E. Moran at (301) 713-2276 at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: June 1, 1999.

Bruce C. Morehead,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 99-14314 Filed 6-4-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Sea Grant Review Panel

AGENCY: Office of Oceanic and Atmospheric Research (OAR), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the Sea Grant Review Panel. The meeting will have several purposes. Panel members will discuss and provide advice on the National Sea Grant College Program in the areas of program evaluation, national strategic investments, education and extension, organizational changes and other matters as described below.

DATES: The announced meeting is scheduled for Thursday, June 24, 1999, 9:30 a.m. to 6 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Portland Marriott Downtown, 1401 Southwest Naito Parkway, Portland, OR 97201.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Ronald C. Baird, Director, National Sea Grant College Program, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 11716, Silver Spring, MD 20910, (301) 713-2448 extension 163.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Panel, which consists of a balanced representation from academia, industry, state government and citizens groups, was established in 1976 by Section 209 of the Sea Grant Improvement Act (Pub. L. 94-461, 33 U.S.C. 1128) to advise the Secretary of Commerce, the Under Secretary for Oceans and Atmosphere, and the Director of the National Sea Grant College Program with respect to operations under the act, and such other matters as the Secretary refers to the