

in the order, as long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Secretary concludes that the exporter or producer, subsequent to the revocation, sold the subject merchandise at less than normal value.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 99-14098 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 009-0130b; FRL-6331-7]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revisions: Kern County Air Pollution Control District, Modoc County Air Pollution Control District, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, and Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern various administrative, editorial, and other modifications which do not directly affect emissions. The intended effect of this action is to update and clarify the SIP.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving these SIP submittals as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these rules as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received by July 6, 1999

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, California 95812.

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 "M" Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301-2370.

Modoc County Air Pollution Control District, 202 West Fourth Street, Alturas, CA 96101-3915.

Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District, 15428 Civic Drive, Ste. 200, Victorville, CA 92392-2383.

Northern Sonoma County Air Pollution Control District, 150 Matheson Street, Healdsburg, CA 95448-4908.

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1999 Tuolumne Street, Suite 200, Fresno, California, 93721, and

Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive, Suite B23, Goleta, CA 93117.

Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District, 525 South Foothill Drive, Yreka, California, 96097-3036.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-1185.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns the following rule revisions:

Kern County APCD—Rule 101, Title; Rule 112, Circumvention; Rule 113, Separation and Combination; Rule 114, Severability; and Rule 115, Applicability of Emission Limits. These rules were adopted on May 2, 1996 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on July 23, 1996.

Modoc County APCD—Rule 4.1-2, Uncombined Water; Rule 4.6, Circumvention; Rule 4.6-1, Exception to Circumvention; and Rule 4.9, Separation of Emissions. These rules were adopted on January 3, 1989 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on December 31, 1990.

Mojave Desert AQMD—Rule 103, Description of the District Boundaries was adopted on June 28, 1995 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on August 10, 1995.

Northern Sonoma County APCD—Unnumbered rule, known as Appendix A; Unnumbered rule, known as Appendix B; Unnumbered rule,

formerly Appendix C, now known as Appendix A; and Unnumbered rule, formerly Appendix D, now known as Appendix B. These appendices were adopted on February 22, 1984 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on October 16, 1985.

San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD—Rule 1010, Title and Rule 1130, Severability were adopted on June 18, 1992 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on September 28, 1994.

Santa Barbara County APCD—Rule 105, Applicability adopted on July 30, 1991 and submitted to EPA as a SIP revision on October 25, 1991.

Siskiyou County APCD—Rule 4.10, Reduction of Animal Matter, adopted on January 24, 1989 and submitted to EPA as a revision to the SIP on March 26, 1990.

For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: March 22, 1999.

Alexis Strauss,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 99-13658 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[TX-83-1-7340b; FRL-6349-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Texas; Revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) Addressing Sulfur Dioxide in Harris County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA is proposing direct final approval to revisions of the Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Harris County, addressing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions. This action incorporates by reference into the federally approved SIP two amended Agreed Orders modifying the SO₂ allowable emissions at two stationary sources in Harris County, Texas. The Orders concern Simpson Pasadena Paper Company and Lyondel-Citgo Refining Company, both located in Houston, Texas. The intended effect of approving these Agreed Orders is to regulate SO₂ emissions in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving

Texas' SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If we receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn, and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

Please see the direct final rule of this action located elsewhere in today's **Federal Register** for a detailed description of the Texas SO₂ SIP revision.

DATES: Written comments must be received by July 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to Mr. Thomas Diggs, Chief, Air Planning Section, EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 (6PD-L), Dallas, Texas, 75202-2733. Copies of the technical support document are available for public review at the EPA Region 6 office during normal business hours. Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations. The interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Planning Section, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, 6PD-L, Dallas, Texas, 75202-2733, telephone (214) 665-7214.

Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, 12100 Park 35 Circle, Austin, Texas, 78753, telephone (512) 239-1461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Petra Sanchez, Air Planning Section, (6PD-L), 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone, (214) 665-6686.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final action of the same title which is published in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Lists of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7402 *et seq.*

Dated: April 14, 1999.

Sammuel Coleman,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 99-13801 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[SD-001-0003b and SD-001-0004b; FRL-6351-9]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; South Dakota; Control of Landfill Gas Emissions From Existing Municipal Solid Waste Landfills

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the South Dakota plan and regulations for controlling landfill gas emissions from existing municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills. South Dakota submitted its original plan to EPA on May 2, 1997 and then submitted revisions to the plan on May 6, 1999. South Dakota submitted this plan to meet section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act (Act) and EPA's Emission Guidelines for existing MSW landfills at 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cc.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, we approve the State's submittals as a direct final rule without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble of the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are submitted, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comments, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and it will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing on or before July 6, 1999.

ADDRESSES: You should mail your written comments to Richard R. Long, Director, Air and Radiation Program, Mailcode 8P-AR, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air

and Radiation Program, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466. Copies of the State documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection at the Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, Joe Foss Building, 523 East Capitol, Pierre, South Dakota 57501-3181.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vicki Stamper, EPA Region VIII, (303) 312-6445.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

Dated: May 21, 1999.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 99-13798 Filed 6-2-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[TX-81-1-7350; FRL-6353-8]

Clean Air Act Reclassification or Eligibility for Extension of Attainment Date, Texas; Beaumont/Port Arthur Ozone Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We are reopening our proposal to find that the Beaumont/Port Arthur moderate ozone nonattainment area has failed to attain the one-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard, and alternatively proposing to extend the area's attainment date. We have received two requests to extend the comment period two weeks to allow additional time to review the proposal. In addition, these parties requested copies of all information and correspondence regarding the Southeast Texas Regional Planning Commission's 1997 Overwhelming Transport Demonstration. We have provided the requesters with that information and correspondence. In order to ensure that all interested parties have sufficient opportunity to submit comments, we will reopen the comment period for this proposal until July 6, 1999. We published our reasons for proposing a reclassification, and alternatively an extension, for the Beaumont/Port Arthur