

requirement or request: 12 (.20 hours or 12 minutes per response).

9. *An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies:* Not applicable.

10. *Abstract:* Completion of the NRC Form 354 is a mandatory requirement for NRC employees, contractors, licensees, and applicants who marry after submission of the Personnel Security Forms, or after receiving an access authorization or employment clearance to permit the NRC to assure there is no increased risk to the common defense and security.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (lower level), Washington, DC. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site (<http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html>). The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by June 23, 1999. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

Erik Godwin, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0026), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301-415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 18th day of May 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 99-13022 Filed 5-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-458]

Entergy Operations, Inc.; River Bend Station, Unit 1, Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Fire Hazards Analysis Report and Safety Analysis Report

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has permitted Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), to withdraw its November 6, 1996, application seeking approval for a

deviation from its approved fire protection program to the extent the program incorporated the technical requirements of Section III.G.2 of Appendix R to part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations for the River Bend Station, Unit 1, located in West Feliciana Parish, Louisiana.

The application sought Commission approval for changes to the Fire Hazards Analysis Report and Safety Analysis Report with respect to the installation of a partial-area automatic fire suppression system in lieu of a full-area suppression system in Fire Area C-16.

The Commission had previously issued a proposed no significant hazards consideration determination published in the **Federal Register** on December 4, 1996 (61 FR 64385). However, by letter dated December 17, 1998, the licensee withdrew the application. For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's request dated November 6, 1996, as supplemented by letters dated July 31, 1997, and April 13, 1998, and EO's letter dated December 17, 1998, which withdrew the original request. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Government Documents Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of May 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert J. Fretz,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-13021 Filed 5-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Proposed Grant Program for Agreement States for Formerly NRC-Licensed Sites; Public Comment

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is seeking stakeholder views on a proposal to pursue a separate appropriation from the General Fund. The separate appropriation would make funds available through a grant program to assist Agreement States in completing file reviews, and remediation in certain cases, for sites formerly licensed by the

NRC. Based on review of files for previously terminated licenses, the NRC has identified a number of sites for which there is insufficient documentation on site decommissioning or sealed source disposition. If the site is located in an Agreement State, any radioactive material present at the site is subject to Agreement State regulatory jurisdiction.

DATES: Submit written comments by June 18, 1999. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to: Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Mail Stop: T6-d59, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001 or by Internet electronic mail at DLM1@NRC.GOV.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Sollenberger, Office of State Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone 301-415-2819 or by Internet electronic mail at DMS4@NRC.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The NRC has been reviewing files for previously terminated licenses to determine whether there was appropriate documentation in the files that the sites were adequately decontaminated prior to termination of the license and release of the site. This project was initiated in 1977 for licenses terminated prior to 1965. Subsequent effort was initiated in 1989 for licenses terminated after 1965, which was later expanded to include all terminated licenses. A number of files have been identified for which there is insufficient documentation about site decommissioning or sealed source disposition.

Radioactive material remaining at a site located within an Agreement State, including material originally licensed by the NRC or its predecessor, is the regulatory responsibility of the Agreement State. Therefore, an Agreement State has jurisdiction for license file reviews, initial site investigations, and remediation of any sites identified as being contaminated, and any sites where the file has inadequate accounting of sealed sources.

Discussion

The NRC staff has analyzed options relating to NRC formerly licensed sites located in Agreement States. This

information is set out in Commission Paper—SECY-28-273, "Potential Funding Assistance for Agreement States for Closure of Formerly Terminated NRC Licenses" dated November 20, 1998. In this paper, the NRC staff reports on Agreement State and NRC staff actions and presents options and recommendations for funding Agreement States' efforts in addressing this issue.

The Commission responded to this paper through issuance of a Staff Requirement Memorandum (SRM) for SECY-98-273. In this memorandum, the Commission approved the NRC staff recommendation to continue Agreement State jurisdiction over formerly licensed sites and to develop a grant program to make funds available to Agreement States for file review and remediation in certain cases. The recommended option is to pursue a separate appropriation from the General Fund. This separate appropriation, if approved, would fund Agreement States, through grants, to assist in completing file reviews and the remediation of formerly NRC-licensed sites in certain cases. Such cases include sites when no responsible party can be located, or the responsible party does not have the resources to conduct the remediation, and the site does not qualify for cleanup under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

In the SRM for SECY-98-273, the Commission directed the staff to seek stakeholder views before pursuing a General Fund appropriation. Public comments are requested on:

- The option of pursuing a separate appropriation from the General Fund to establish a fund for use by Agreement States through grants to assist in file reviews and, when necessary, the remediation of formerly NRC-license sites.

- Aspects that should be considered in development of a decision framework that describes how NRC would allocate the appropriated funds, if approved, to individual Agreement States.

- Aspects that NRC should consider in development of a grant program for providing funds, if approved, to individual Agreement States to ensure a relatively fair and equitable allocation of available funds. For example, the funds could be provided to individual Agreement States based on the estimated cost for each site to comply with a 25 millirem/year public dose standard. Additional risk-ranking of the sites may also be necessary in the event that appropriated funds are less than requested.

- Additional information on cost estimates for site remediation (See cost estimates stated in SECY-28-273. Also see all Agreement States letter SP-99-016.)

The above three documents, SECY-28-273, SRM-SECY-28-273 and SP-99-016, are available on the NRC homepage at: <http://www.hsrn.gov/nrc/agstates/program/sp99016.pdf>

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of May 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Paul H. Lohaus,

Director, Office of State Programs.

[FR Doc. 99-13020 Filed 5-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards Subcommittee Meeting on Thermal-Hydraulic Phenomena; Revised

A meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Thermal-Hydraulic Phenomena is scheduled to be held on Wednesday, May 26, 1999, 8:30 a.m., Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. The meeting agenda has been revised to delete discussion of the resolution of Generic Safety Issue (GSI) 23: Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Failure due to the unavailability of NRC staff documents pertaining to this matter. Notice of this meeting was published in the **Federal Register** on Monday, May 3, 1999 (64 FR 23690). All other items pertaining to this meeting remain the same as previously published.

For further information contact: Mr. Paul A. Boehnert, cognizant ACRS staff engineer (telephone 301/415-6888), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (EDT).

Dated: May 17, 1999

Richard P. Savio,

Associate Director for Technical Support, ACRS/ACNW.

[FR Doc. 99-13019 Filed 5-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: Standard Form (SF) 3102

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub.

L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a revised information collection. The SF 3102, Designation of Beneficiary, is used by employees and annuitants covered under the Federal Employees' Retirement System to designate a beneficiary to receive any lump sum due in the event of his/her death. Approximately 1,273 SF 3102 forms are completed annually. Each form takes approximately 15 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 318.25 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, or E-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov
DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before July 23, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

John C. Crawford, Chief, FERS Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3313, Washington, DC 20415

and
Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING

ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—

CONTACT: Cyrus S. Benson, Budget and Administrative Services Division, (202) 606-0623.

Office of Personnel Management.

Janice R. Lachance,

Director.

[FR Doc. 99-12971 Filed 5-21-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-P

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION

[Docket No. C99-1; Order No. 1239]

Complaint Case

AGENCY: Postal Rate Commission.

ACTION: Notice of new complaint docket.

SUMMARY: This order announces a formal complaint docket related to a pilot program entailing electronic delivery service. It also addresses related procedural matters. These actions allow issues raised by the Service's participation in this program to be addressed.

DATES: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for dates.