Please include the project number (2113–106) on any comments or motions filed.

k. Description of Amendment: The amendment will involve recreation site numbers 1, 2, and 7, as previously approved by the Commission on January 8, 1999. Specifically, the application requests Commission approval of the following changes: (1) delete new Site 7 from the recreation plan; (2) accelerate the development of Site 2 as an alternative to constructing new Site 7; and (3) close Site 1 when Site 2 is completed.

l. Locations of the Application: A copy of the application is available for inspection and reproduction at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208–1371. The filing may be viewed on http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (call (202) 208–2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

m. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

n. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1 and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 285.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS",

"RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative

of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–8855 Filed 4–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-140279; FRL-6071-5]

Access to Confidential Business Information by Battelle Memorial Institute

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has authorized its contractor Battelle Memorial Institute (BMI), of Columbus, Ohio, access to information which has been submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8(a), 11, and 21 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be confidential business information (CBI).

DATES: Access to the confidential data by BMI occurred as a result of an approved waiver dated March 4, 1999, which requested granting BMI immediate access to TSCA CBI. This waiver was necessary to allow BMI to provide statistical, mathematical, field data collection and technical analysis support and planning for the Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) programs.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christine M. Augustyniak, Associate Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–545, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD: (202) 554–0551; e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under contract number 68–W9–9033, contractor BMI of 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH, will assist OPPT by providing statistical, mathematical, field data collection and technical analysis

support and planning for OPPT programs.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.306(j), EPA has determined that under EPA contract number 68–W9–9033, BMI will require access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8(a), 11 and 21 of TSCA to perform successfully the duties specified under the contract. BMI personnel will be given access to information submitted to EPA under sections 4, 5, 6, 8(a), 11, and 21 of TSCA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI.

EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under sections 4, 5, 6, 8(a), 11, and 21 of TSCA that EPA may provide BMI access to these CBI materials on a need-to-know basis only. All access to TSCA CBI under this contract will take place at EPA Headquarters and BMI's Columbus, OH facility.

BMI will be authorized access to TSCA CBI at their facility under the EPA TSCA Confidential Business Information Security Manual. Before access to TSCA CBI is authorized at BMI's site, EPA will perform the required inspection of its facility and ensure that the facility is in compliance with the manual. Upon completing review of the CBI materials, BMI will return all transferred materials to EPA.

Clearance for access to TSCA CBI under this contract may continue until March 2, 2004.

BMI personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be briefed on appropriate security procedures before they are permitted access to TSCA CBI.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Access to confidential business information.

Dated: April 2, 1999.

Allan S. Abramson,

Director, Information Management Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 99–8831 Filed 4–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6241-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 15, 1999 Through March 19, 1999 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564–7167.

Summary of Rating Definitions Environmental Impact of the Action

LO—Lack of Objections

The EPA review has not identified any potential environmental impacts requiring substantive changes to the proposal. The review may have disclosed opportunities for application of mitigation measures that could be accomplished with no more than minor changes to the proposal.

EC-Environmental Concerns

The EPA review has identified environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment. Corrective measures may require changes to the preferred alternative or application of mitigation measures that can reduce the environmental impact. EPA would like to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EO—Environmental Objections

The EPA review has identified significant environmental impacts that must be avoided in order to provide adequate protection for the environment. Corrective measures may require substantial changes to the preferred alternative or consideration of some other project alternative (including the no action alternative or a new alternative). EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts.

EU—Environmentally Unsatisfactory

The EPA review has identified adverse environmental impacts that are of sufficient magnitude that they are unsatisfactory from the standpoint of public health or welfare or environmental quality. EPA intends to work with the lead agency to reduce these impacts. If the potentially unsatisfactory impacts are not corrected at the final EIS stage, this proposal will be recommended for referral to the CEQ.

Adequacy of the Impact Statement

Category 1—Adequate

EPA believes the draft EIS adequately sets forth the environmental impact(s) of the preferred alternative and those of the alternatives reasonably available to the project or action. No further analysis or data collection is necessary, but the reviewer may suggest the addition of clarifying language or information.

Category 2—Insufficient Information

The draft EIS does not contain sufficient information for EPA to fully assess environmental impacts that should be avoided in order to fully protect the environment, or the EPA reviewer has identified new reasonably available alternatives that are within the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which could reduce the environmental impacts of the action. The identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS.

Category 3—Inadequate

EPA does not believe that the draft EIS adequately assesses potentially significant environmental impacts of the action, or the EPA reviewer has identified new, reasonably available alternatives that are outside of the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which should be analyzed in order to reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts. EPA believes that the identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussions are of such a magnitude that they should have full public review at a draft stage. EPA does not believe that the draft EIS is adequate for the purposes of the NEPA and/or Section 309 review, and thus should be formally revised and made available for public comment in a supplemental or revised draft EIS.

On the basis of the potential significant impacts involved, this proposal could be a candidate for referral to the CEQ.

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J65290-UT Rating EC2, Snowbird Ski and Summer Resort Master Development Plan, Implementation, Special-Use-Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Salt Lake and Lake Counties, Salt Lake City, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential adverse impacts to water quality, especially increased metal concentrations, and to air quality from the proposed action.

ERP No. D-AFS-J65292-WY Rating EC2, Cold Springs Ecosystem Management Project, Implementation, Enhancement of Tree Harvesting and Sale, Medicine Bow-Routt National Forests, Douglas Ranger District, Converse and Albany Counties, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential adverse impacts to water quality.

ERP No. D-BLM-K65204-AZ Rating EC2, Hualapai Mountain Land Exchange/Plan Amendment,

Implementation, Kingman and Dutch Flat, Mohave County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding potential impacts to wildlife, air quality, and water resources from future development.

ERP No. D-NPS-B65007-VT Rating LO1, Marsh-Billings National Historical Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Woodstock, VT.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the proposed project.

ERP No. D-NPS-D61050-MD Rating EO2, National Harbor Project, Construction and Operation along the Potomac River on a 534 acre site adjacent to the Capital Beltway and Oxon Hill Manor, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Prince George's County, MD.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections about potential adverse impacts to aquatic resources, especially fin fish and aquatic plants and wetlands. EPA suggests that the final EIS include a broader range of alterative.

ERP No. D-NPS-J61101-MT Rating EC2, Glacier National Park, General Management Plan, Implementation, Waterton Glacier International Peace Park, Lake National Park, Flathead and Glacier, MT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about adverse impacts to water quality and wetland and requested a full air quality impact analysis be included in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-NPS-K61221-CA Rating LO, Fort Baker Site, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Comprehensive Management Plan, Implementation, Marin County, CA.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objection for the proposed action.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-B65006-VT Sugarbush Ski Resort Project, Improvements and Development, Special-Use-Permit, Green Mountain National Forest, Rochester Range District, Fayston and Warren, Washington County, VT.

Summary: EPA's concerns about impacts to water quality and wildlife habitate were adequately addressed.

ERP No. F-NOA-A91065-00 Atlantic Tunas, Swordfish and Sharks, Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS/ Regulation was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. Dated: April 06, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 99-8935 Filed 4-8-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6241-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR (202) 564–7153.

- Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed March 29, 1999 Through April 02, 1999 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.
- EIS No. 990099, Draft EIS, COE, CA, Arroyo Pasajero Watershed Feasibility Investigation, Implementation, Flood Damage Reduction Plan, San Joaquin River Basin, City of Huron, Fresno County, CA, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: Jerry Fuentes (916) 557– 7490.
- EIS No. 990100, Draft Supplement, COE, MO, St. Johns Bayou and New Madrid Floodway, Channel Enlargement and Improvement, Flood Control, National Economic Development (NED) Mississippi River & Tributaries, MO, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: John Rumancik (901) 544–3975.
- EIS No. 990101, Draft EIS, COE, IL, WI, Upper Des Plaines River Flood Damage Reduction Project, Recommended Plan to Construction a Lateral Storage Area, National Economic Development (NED), Lake County, IL and Kenosha and Racine Counties, WI, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: Keith Ryder (312) 353–6400.
- EIS No. 990102, Draft Supplement, FHW, CA, Devil's Slide Bypass Improvements, CA–1 To Half Moon Bay Airport to Linda Mar Boulevard, Updated Information, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Pacifica and San Mateo Counties, CA, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: Robert F. Tally (916) 498–5020.
- EIS No. 990103, Draft Supplement, FHW, CA, CA–125 South Route Location, Adoption and Construction, between CA–905 on Otay Mesa to CA–54 in Spring Valley, Updated and Additional Information, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, San Diego County, CA, Due: May 10, 1999, Contact: C. Glenn Clinton (916) 498–5037.
- EIS No. 990104, Draft EIS, AFS, AL, Longleaf Restoration Project, Implement a Systematic Five-Year

Program for Restoration of the Native Longleaf Pine, Conecuh National Forest, Conecuh Ranger District, Covington and Escambia Counties, AL, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: Robert Taylor (334) 222–2555.

EIS No. 990105, Draft EIS, FHW, NY, Stewart Airport Access

Transportation Improvement Project, A New Interchange on I–84 at Drury Lane, Reconstruction of Drury Lane and a new East-West Connector Road from Drury Lane to Stewart International Airport, Funding, Towns of Montgomery, Newburgh and New Windsor, Orange County, NY, Due: June 01, 1999, Contact: Harold J. Brown (518) 431–4157.

- EIS No. 990106, Final Supplement, NOA, Comprehensive Amendment Addressing Essential Fish Habitat in Fishery Management Plans for the South Atlantic Region for Shrimp, Red Drum, Coral, Coral Reefs and Live/Hard Bottom Habitat, Spiny Lobster Snapper-Grouper, Coastal Migratory Pelagics and Golden Crab, South Atlantic Region, Due: May 10, 1999, Contact: Michael Burnette (727) 570–5305.
- EIS No. 990107, Final EIS, FRC, MI, IN, IL, Vector Pipeline Project, Natural Gas Pipeline and Associated above ground Facilities Construction and Operation, Approval, Joliet, IL to Vector Canada at the International Border near St. Clair, MI, several counties, MI, IN, and IL, Due: May 10, 1999, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208–2222.
- EIS No. 990108, Draft Supplement, AFS, ID, Grade-Dukes Timber Sale, Proposal to Harvest and Regenerate Timber, Implementation, Cuddy Mountain Roadless Area, Payette National Forest, Weiser Ranger District, Washington County, Idaho, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: Dautis Pearson (208) 253–0134.
- EIS No. 990109, Draft EIS, USN, GU, Agana Naval Air Station Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Guam, Due: May 24, 1999, Contact: John Bigay (808) 471–9338.
- EIS No. 990110, Final Supplement, COE, CA, Napa River and Napa Creek Flood Protection Project, New and Refined Information, City of Napa, Napa County, CA, Due: May 10, 1999, Contact: Karen Shaffer (916) 557– 6734.
- EIS No. 990111, Final EIS, COE, OR, Coos Bay-North Bend Water Board Water Supply Expansion Project, (Formerly Known as Joe Ney and Upper Pony Creek Reservoirs Expansion Project), COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Coos County, OR,

Due: May 27, 1999, Contact: David Kurkoski (503) 808–4377.

Dated: April 6, 1999.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Office of Federal Activities. [FR Doc. 99–8936 Filed 4–8–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[DA 99-641]

Request for Waiver by Sacramento County, California, to Obtain a License to Obtain a License for a Frequency Allocated for Exclusive Paging Operations (929.0125 MHz)

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; comments requested.

SUMMARY: This document seeks comment on a request by Sacramento County California, for waiver of the Commission's rules to permit it to use the frequency 929.0125 MHz for a local alert paging system that would support public safety services provided in Sacramento and Yolo Counties, California. Sacramento also seeks waiver of a licensing freeze that currently governs frequencies in the 929-930 MHz band allocated for exclusive paging operations. **DATES:** Comments are due on or before April 12, 1999, and reply comments are due on or before April 19, 1999.1 **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Office of the Secretary, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., TW-325, Washington, D.C. 20554. SW, Washington, D.C. 20554. A copy of each filing should be sent to International Transcription Services, Inc. (ITS), 1231 20th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036. (202) 857-3800. and John Fernandez, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Policy and Rules Branch, 445 Twelfth Street, S.W., Room 4–C400, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fernandez at the Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Policy and Rules Branch (202) 418–0680.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Public Notice*, DA 99–641, released on April 1, 1999 (DA 99–641). The full text of the *Public Notice* is available for inspection and copying during normal business

¹ Editorial Note: This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on April 7, 1999.