or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the commodities and services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
- 2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodities and services.
- 3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
- 4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the commodities and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following commodities and services are hereby added to the Procurement List:

Commodities

Aqua Plunger Mop M.R. 1026 Soup Spoon Ladle M.R. 806

Services

Central Facility Management, U.S. Secret Service Headquarters, 930 H Street, NW, Washington, DC

Grounds Maintenance, Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina

Janitorial/Custodial, Veterans Affairs Outpatient Clinic, 25 N. 32nd Street, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania

Janitorial/Custodial, U.S. Army Reserve Center, Fort Jackson, South Carolina

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Deletions

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action may not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.
- 2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on future contractors for the commodities and services.

- 3. The action may result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
- 4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the commodities and services deleted from the Procurement List.

After consideration of the relevant matter presented, the Committee has determined that the commodities and services listed below are no longer suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

Accordingly, the following commodities and services are hereby deleted from the Procurement List:

Commodities

Kit, Shaving Surgical Preparation 6530–00–676–7372 Surgical Dressing Set 6530–00–105–5826 Box, Filing 7520–00–139–3734

Services

Administrative Services, Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida

Assembly, Living Kit, Basic and Supplemental, Commissary Warehousing, Homestead Air Force Base, Florida

Corrosion Control of Fuel Pipelines, Manchester Naval Fuel Department, Manchester, Washington

Disposal Support Services, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office, Agana, Guam

Fast Pack/Carton Recycling and Pallet Repair, Sacramento Army Depot, Sacramento, California

Food Service Attendant, Naval Air Station Cecil Field, Florida

Food Service Attendant, Homestead Air Force Base, Florida,

Food Service Attendant, Naval Security Group Activity,

Homestead Air Force Base, Florida Grounds Maintenance, Andersonville National Historic Site, Route 1, Box 85, Andersonville, Georgia

Grounds Maintenance, U.S. Postal Service, 1088 Nandino Boulevard, Lexington, Kentucky

Grounds Maintenance, Camp Bonneville, Camp Bonneville, Washington

Grounds Maintenance, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 2725 Montlake Boulevard East, Seattle, Washington

Janitorial/Custodial, Naval Station, Mobile, Alabama

Janitorial/Custodial, Riverside National Cemetery, 22495 Van Buren Blvd., Riverside, California

Janitorial/Custodial, Federal Building, 100 North Warren, Saginaw, Michigan Janitorial/Custodial, Lewistown Flight Service Station, Lewistown, Montana Janitorial/Custodial, BEQ Naval Station, Staten Island, New York

Janitorial/Custodial, Newark Air Force Base, Ohio.

Janitorial/Custodial, Bonneville Power Administration, 11743 NE Sumner Street, Portland, Oregon

Janitorial/Custodial, Tennessee Air National Guard, Nashville Metro Airport, Nashville, Tennessee

Janitorial/Grounds Maintenance, Naval Industrial Reserve Ordnance Plant, Rochester, New York

Laundry Service, Military Entrance Processing Station, 1222 Spruce Street, St. Louis, Missouri

Microfilm/Microfiche Reproduction, Newark Air Force Station, Ohio

Operation of Tool Crib, Kelly Air Force Base, Texas

Planting and Transplanting Horticultural Materials, USFS, Bend Pine Nursery Market, 63095 Deschutes Market Road, Bend, Oregon

Reproduction Service, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, Clarendon Square Office Building, 3033 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia

Tray Delivery Service, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, 3601 South 6th Avenue, Tucson, Arizona

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 99–8899 Filed 4–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6353–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the District of Columbia Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 12:45 p.m. and adjourn at 4:30 p.m. on May 3, 1999, at the JC Penney Government Relations Office, Suite 1015, 1156 15th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. The purpose of the meeting is to provide new member orientation, review past civil rights monitoring activity, and plan future projects.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Lewis Anthony, 202–483–3262, or Ki-Taek Chun, Director of the Eastern Regional Office, 202–376–7533 (TDD 202–376–8116). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, March 30, 1999. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 99–8892 Filed 4–8–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-583-810]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From Taiwan; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chromeplated lug nuts from Taiwan. The review covers 18 manufacturers/exporters and the period September 1, 1996, through August 31, 1997. Based on our analysis of the comments received, the dumping margins have not changed from those presented in the preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 9, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Trentham or Thomas Futtner, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–6320 or 482–3814, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations refer to the regulations codified at 19 CFR part 351 (1998).

Background

On October 7, 1998, the Department published the preliminary results (63 FR 53875) of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chromeplated lug nuts from Taiwan (September 20, 1991, 56 FR 47737). The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is one-piece and two-piece chrome-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, which are more than 11/16 inches (17.45 millimeters) in height and which have a hexagonal (hex) size of at least 3/4 inches (19.05 millimeters) but not over one inch (25.4 millimeters), plus or minus 1/16 of an inch (1.59 mm). The term "unfinished" refers to unplated and/or unassembled chromeplated lug nuts. The subject merchandise is used for securing wheels to cars, vans, trucks, utility vehicles, and trailers. Zinc-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, and stainlesssteel capped lug nuts are not within the scope of this review. Chrome-plated lock nuts are also not within the scope of this review.

During the period of review, chromeplated lug nuts were provided for under subheading 7318.16.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this review is dispositive. This review covers the following firms: Gourmet Equipment (Taiwan) Corporation ("Gourmet"), Buxton International Corporation ("Buxton"), Chu Fong Metallic Electric Co.("Chu Fong"), San Chien Industrial Works, Ltd. ("San Chien"), Anmax Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Anmax)", Hwen Hsin Enterprises Co., Ltd. ("Hwen Hsin"), San Shing Hardware Works Co. ("San Shing"), Trade Union International Inc./ Top Line ("Trade Union"), Uniauto, Inc. ("Ūniauto"), Wing Tang Electrical Manufacturing Company ("Wing Tang") and Multigrand Industries Inc. ("Multigrand"), and the period September 1, 1996, through August 31, 1997. Buxton, Chu Fong, San Chien, Anmax, Hwen Hsin, San Ching, Trade Union, Uniauto, Wing Tang and Multigrand failed to completely respond to the Department's questionnaire and therefore were assigned an adverse facts available rate of 10.67 percent. Questionnaires were sent to Transcend International, Kwan How Enterprises Co., Kwan Ta Enterprises Co., Ltd., Everspring Plastic Corporation, Gingen Metal Corp., Goldwanate Associates, Inc., Kuang Hong Industries Inc., but were returned as undeliverable. These firms therefore received the "all others" rate of 6.93 percent.

Analysis of Comments Received

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. We received timely comments from one respondent, Gourmet, and rebuttal comments from petitioner, Consolidated International Automotive. Based on the comments received, we have not changed our determination with respect to Gourmet for the final results.

Comments

Respondent argues that it has cooperated fully and that the Department cannot require it to provide information that is impossible for Gourmet to provide, or in a form which Gourmet simply does not have. In such a situation, the Department must consider any other independent information which is sufficient to substantiate the sales and other data provided in Gourmet's submissions.

In this instance, because Gourmet does not have audited financial statements, Gourmet argues that the Department must rely on other forms of independent substantiation. Gourmet argues that the Department has a longstanding practice to accept whatever substantiation is available to satisfy itself that the data submitted can be relied upon. In this review, Gourmet submitted bank records as a means to independently substantiate its response. Gourmet points to the Notice of Final Determination of Sales at less Than Fair Value: Collated Roofing Nails from Taiwan, 62 FR 51,427 (October 1, 1997), where the Department stated that where a respondent submitted sales and cost data based on unaudited financial statements, verification may be based on the respondent's "tax return or any other independent source.

Gourmet argues that the use of facts available is not warranted under section 776(a) of the Act (19 USC1677e(a)) because the necessary information is on the record. Gourmet has responded to all of the Department's requests for information with the exception of one document, audited financial statements, which do not exist and therefore can not be withheld. Gourmet argues that, unlike the situation in previous reviews in this review where it stated that its data was unverifiable, its submitted data can and should be verified. Gourmet points to Borden, Inc. v. United States, 4 F. Supp. 2d 1221 (Ct. Int'l Trade 1998) (Borden), where the court found that the Department is required to consider information submitted by a party even if that information does not precisely conform to the Department's request, as long as the party has cooperated to the best of its ability.