In this notice, we are soliciting information on whether there are alternative requirements that would assure an adequate level of experience and knowledge to achieve the purposes of the regulations.

9. Should therapy sessions (i.e., interactive sessions involving a therapist or established programs that deal with mentally or physically handicapped persons) be excluded from or covered by regulation? Why do you consider therapy sessions different from or the same as other shallow water interactive programs? Should regulation of therapy sessions be based on the frequency of sessions at the facility or other criteria? If based on frequency, what should be the threshold for regulation?

Accordingly, effective April 2, 1999, in 9 CFR part 1, § 1.1, the definitions of buffer area, interactive area, interactive session, sanctuary area, and swim-with-the dolphin (SWTD) program are suspended, and, in 9 CFR part 3, § 3.111 is suspended.

is suspended.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 2131–2159; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(g).

Done in Washington, DC, this 29th day of March 1999.

#### Craig A. Reed,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 99-8153 Filed 4-1-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### 10 CFR PART 2

RIN 3150-AF88

Procedures Applicable to Proceedings for the Issuance of Licenses for the Receipt of High-Level Radioactive Waste at a Geologic Repository; Correction

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule: correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a final rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 30, 1998 (63 FR 71729), that amended the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations on procedures applicable to proceedings for the issuance of licenses for the receipt of high-level radioactive waste at a geologic repository. The action is necessary to correct a typographical error.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 3, 1999. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn L. Winsberg, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone (301) 415–1641, e-mail KLW@nrc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## §2.1006 [Corrected]

On page 71738, first column, in § 2.1006, the first sentence of paragraph (a), the reference to "§ 2.1003(c)" should be corrected to read "§ 2.1003(a)(4)."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of March, 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Annette Vietti-Cook,

Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 99–8161 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 99-NM-38-AD; Amendment 39-11107; AD 99-08-03]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737–600, –700, and –800 Series Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; request for

comments.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Boeing Model 737-600, -700, and -800 series airplanes. This action requires an inspection of the power distribution panels (PDP) to verify proper installation of the power feeder terminals and associated hardware, and corrective actions, if necessary. This action also requires repetitive torque checks of the terminal attachment screws. This amendment is prompted by reports indicating the loss of electrical power from the enginedriven generators or the auxiliary power unit due to overheating, melting, and subsequent failure of the power feeder terminals. The actions specified in this AD are intended to prevent such conditions, which could result in increased risk of fire and the loss of electrical power from the associated alternating current power source.

DATES: Effective April 19, 1999.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before June 1, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport

Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 99-NM-38-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Information pertaining to this amendment may be obtained from or examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen S. Oshiro, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM– 130S, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Seattle Aircraft Certification

Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (425) 227–2793; fax (425) 227–1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA has recently received several reports indicating the loss of electrical power from the engine-driven generators or the auxiliary power unit on Boeing Model 737 series airplanes, due to failure of the power feeder terminals located in power distribution panels (PDP) P91 and P92. This failure is attributed to an overheat condition caused by loosening of the screws that fasten the power feeder terminals to the PDP rigid bus assembly. Investigation revealed that inadequate support of the power feeder terminal allows movement of the terminal during the power feeder wire installation and removal procedures. The consequent loosening of the screws may result in increased electrical resistance and the generation of heat between the power feeder terminal and the rigid busbar at the terminal-to-busbar interface. This condition, if not corrected, may cause overheating and melting of the power feeder terminals, which could result in increased risk of fire and the loss of electrical power from the associated alternating current (AC) power source.

# **Explanation of the Requirements of the Rule**

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other Boeing Model 737-600, -700, and -800 series airplanes of the same type design, this AD is being issued to prevent overheating, melting, and subsequent failure of the power feeder terminals, which could result in increased risk of fire and the loss of electrical power from the associated AC power source. This AD requires an inspection of the PDP's to verify proper installation of the power feeder terminals and associated hardware, and corrective actions, if necessary. This action also requires repetitive torque checks of the terminal attachment screws.

#### **Interim Action**

This is considered to be interim action. The FAA is currently considering further rulemaking action to supersede this AD to require repetitive replacement of the PDP rigid bus assembly for all Boeing Model 737–600, –700, and –800 series airplanes. However, the planned compliance time for the repetitive replacement is sufficiently long so that notice and opportunity for prior public comment will be practicable.

## **Determination of Rule's Effective Date**

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are impracticable, and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

#### **Comments Invited**

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 99–NM–38–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

# **Regulatory Impact**

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

#### **List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39**

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety.

# **Adoption of the Amendment**

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**99–08–03 Boeing:** Amendment 39–11107. Docket 99–NM–38–AD.

Applicability: All Boeing Model 737–600, -700, and -800 series airplanes; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the

requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent overheating, melting, and subsequent failure of the power feeder terminals, which could result in increased risk of fire and the loss of electrical power from the associated alternating current (AC) power source, accomplish the following:

#### **Initial Inspection**

(a) Within 90 days after the effective date of this AD: Perform a one-time general visual inspection to verify proper installation of the power feeder terminals and associated hardware located in power distribution panels (PDP) P91 and P92, in accordance with the following procedures.

Using a flashlight, inspect each of the six power feeder terminals by looking into the access holes located in the plastic cover of the rigid bus assembly. The holes are located on the aft face of PDP's P91 and P92. [Refer to the Boeing 737-600, -700, -800, -900 Airplane Maintenance Manual (AMM), Section 24-21-71/401, Figure 401 (Sheet 1), for the location of PDP P91 and P92.] On PDP P91, the holes are adjacent to terminal blocks TB5001 and TB5002. On PDP P92, the holes are adjacent to terminal blocks TB5005 and TB5006. There are a total of six holes per PDP. [Refer to the Boeing 737-600, -700, -800, -900 AMM, Section 24-21-71/401, Figure 401 (Sheet 2), for the location of the access holes on the PDP's.] Note that although each PDP has nine power feeder terminals, only the six terminals adjacent to the access holes require inspection. Verify that the power feeder terminal is properly installed and held in place on the busbar by the No. 8 socket head cap screw, and verify that the cap screw is inserted into the hole in the terminal. For the proper power feeder terminal and screw buildup, refer to the Boeing 737-600, -700, -800, -900 AMM, Chapter 24-21-71/401, Figure 401 (Sheet 4). The subject power feeder terminal is identified as item [7] and the cap screw as item [12]. This visual inspection does not require loosening or removing any fasteners. The inspection may require looking through the access hole at a slight angle to see the terminal clearly. The terminal can be identified by its shiny metal finish; the current transformer behind the terminal block is made of plastic with a flat black finish. If the power feeder terminal and No. 8 socket head cap screw are not assembled as shown in Boeing 737-600, -700, -800, -900 AMM, Section 24-21-71/401, Figure 401 (Sheet 4): Prior to further flight, replace the rigid bus assembly with a new assembly, in accordance with the procedures specified in Boeing 737–600, –700, –800, –900 AMM, Section 24-21-22.

## Repetitive Torque Check

(b) Concurrent with the accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD: Perform a torque check of the attachment screws of the power feeder terminals in accordance with the procedures specified in Boeing Maintenance Tip 737 MT 24–003, dated May 14, 1998. Repeat the torque check thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,000 flight hours, in accordance with the maintenance tip.

#### **Alternative Methods of Compliance**

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

**Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

#### **Special Flight Permits**

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on April 19, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 29, 1999.

# Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 99–8133 Filed 4–1–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 195-0101a FRL-6235-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, and Kern County Air Pollution Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan. The revisions concern rules from the

following Districts: Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD), Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District (MBUAPCD), South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD), Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD), Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD), and Kern County Air Pollution Control District (KNCAPCD). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved SIP. The intended effect of approving these rules is to regulate emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). The revised rules control VOC emissions from organic solvent cleaning, and surface preparation and cleanup. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these revisions into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

DATES: This rule is effective on June 1, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by May 3, 1999. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the rule revisions and EPA's evaluation report for each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region IX office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rule revisions are available for inspection at the following locations:

Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105

Environmental Protection Agency, Air Docket (6102), 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812

Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District, 1947 Galileo Court, Suite 103, Davis, CA 95616

Monterey Bay Unified Air Pollution Control District, 24580 Silver Cloud Court, Monterey, CA 93940

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765 Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, 26 Castilian Drive B–23, Goleta, CA 93117

Sacramento Air Quality Management District, 8411 Jackson Road, Sacramento, CA 95826

Kern County Air Pollution Control District, 2700 M Street, Suite 302, Bakersfield, CA 93301

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew Steckel, Rulemaking Office, AIR-4, Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Telephone: (415) 744–1185.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Applicability

The part of this **Federal Register** action which applies to the South Coast Air Quality Management District excludes the Los Angeles County portion of the Southeast Desert AQMA, otherwise known as the Antelope Valley Region in Los Angeles County, which is now under the jurisdiction of the Antelope Valley Air Pollution Control District as of July 1, 1997.

The rules being approved into the California SIP include: YSAQMD Rule 2.31—Surface Preparation and Cleanup, MBUAPCD Rule 433—Organic Solvent Cleaning, SCAQMD Rule 1122—Solvent Degreasers, SBCAPCD Rule 321-Solvent Cleaning Operations, SMAQMD Rule 454—Degreasing Operations, and KNCAPCD Rule 410.3—Organic Solvent Cleaning Operations. These rules were submitted by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to EPA on November 30, 1994, June 3, 1997, September 8, 1997, March 10, 1998, May 18, 1998, and June 23, 1998 respectively.

# II. Background

On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a list of ozone nonattainment areas under the provisions of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1977 (1977 Act or pre-amended Act), that included the Sacramento Metro Area, which includes Yolo County and part of Solano County, the Monterey Bay Area, the South Coast Air Basin, the Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc Area, and the Southeast Desert Modified Air Quality Management Area. 43 FR 8964, 40 CFR 81.305. On May 26, 1988, EPA notified the Governor of California, pursuant to section 110(a)(2)(H) of the 1977 Act, that the above districts' portions of the California SIP were inadequate to attain and maintain the ozone standard and requested that deficiencies in the existing SIP be corrected (EPA's SIP-Call). On November 15, 1990, the Clean