would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c) (4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. *Date:* April 5, 1999. *Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 426.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Museums and Historical Organizations in History I, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

2. *Date:* April 9, 1999. *Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 415

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Media, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

3. Date: April 12, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 426.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Museums and Historical Organizations in History II, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

4. Date: April 15, 1999. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: M-07.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium I, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the April 1, 1999 deadline.

5. *Date:* April 16, 1999. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: M-07.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium I, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the April 1, 1999 deadline.

6. Date: April 16, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 415.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Media, submitted to the Division of Public Program at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

7. *Date:* April 16, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 426.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Special Projects, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

8. Date: April 19, 1999. *Time:* 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for School Teachers in American Studies I, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

9. Date: April 19, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. Room: 426.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Museums and Historical Organizations in Art History, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

10. Date: April 20, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for School Teachers in World History and Culture, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

11. Date: April 22, 1999. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium II, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the April 1. 1999 deadline.

12. Date: April 23, 1999. Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium II. submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the April 1, 1999 deadline.

13. Date: April 23, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Room: 415. Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Media, submitted to the Division of

deadline. 14. Date: April 26, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Humanities Projects in Media, submitted to the Division of Public Programs at the February 1, 1999 deadline.

Public Programs at the February 1, 1999

15. Date: April 28, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for School Teachers in Latin American Studies and American Studies II, submitted to the Division of

Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

16. Date: April 29, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for School Teachers in Western Civilization, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

17. Date: April 29, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: M-07.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium III. submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

18. Date: April 30, 1999. Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: M-07.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Education Development and Demonstration in Schools for a New Millennium III, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

19. Date: April 30, 1999. Time: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Room: 315.

Program: This meeting will review applications for Summer Seminars and Institutes for College and University Teachers in World History and Culture, submitted to the Division of Research and Education at the March 1, 1999 deadline.

Nancy E. Weiss,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 99-7328 Filed 3-24-99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-361 and 50-362]

Southern California Edison Company: San Diego Gas and Electric Company; The City of Riverside, CA; The City of Anaheim, CA; San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 2 and 3; **Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-10 and NPF-15, issued to Southern California Edison Company (the licensee), for operation of the San **Onofre Nuclear Generating Station** (SONGS) Units 2 and 3 located in San Diego County, California.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the licensee to submit revisions to the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) to the NRC within 6 months after completion of the SONGS Unit 3 refueling outage, but not less frequently than every 24 months. In addition, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59(b)(2), reports containing a brief description of changes, tests, and experiments, including associated safety evaluation summaries, will be submitted at the same time as revisions to the UFSAR.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for the exemption dated December 18, 1998.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to address the undue regulatory burden for units that share a common UFSAR regarding the requirements of Section 50.71(e)(4). Section 50.71(e)(4) requires licensees to submit updates to its UFSAR annually or within 6 months after each refueling outage providing that the interval between successive updates does not exceed 24 months. Since SONGS Units 2 and 3 share a common UFSAR, the licensee must update the same document annually or within six months after a refueling outage for either unit. The underlying purpose of the rule was to relieve licensees of the burden of filing annual FSAR revisions while assuring that such revisions are made at least every 24 months.

The Commission reduced the burden, in part, by permitting a licensee to submit its FSAR revisions six months after refueling outages for its facility, but did not provide for multiple unit facilities sharing a common FSAR in the rule. Rather, the Commission stated that "With respect to the concern about multiple facilities sharing a common FSAR, licensees will have maximum flexibility for scheduling updates on a case-by-case basis" (57 FR 39355). Allowing the exemption would maintain the UFSAR current within 24 months of the last revisions. Submission of the 10 CFR 50.59 design change report for either unit together with the UFSAR revision as permitted by 10 CFR 50.59(b)(2), also would not exceed a 24month interval.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed action is administrative in nature, unrelated to plant operations.

The proposed action will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational exposure or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impacts. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with this action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the exemption would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Proposed San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3," dated April 1981 (NUREG-0490).

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on March 15, 1999, the staff consulted with the California State official, Mr. Steve Hsu of the Radiologic Health Branch of the State Department of Health Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 18, 1998, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street,

NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Main Library, University of California, P.O. Box 19557, Irvine, California 92713.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of March 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James W. Clifford,

Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-2, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[FR Doc. 99–7279 Filed 3–24–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Use of Low Power and Shutdown Risk in Plant Specific Reactor Regulatory Activities

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of public workshop.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued guidance for power reactor licensees on acceptable methods for using probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) information and insights in support of plant-specific applications to change the current licensing basis. The use of such PRA information and guidance is voluntary. This guidance is documented in Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.174, "An Approach for Using Probabilistic Risk Assessment in Risk-Informed Decisions on Plant-Specific Changes to the Licensing Basis." RG 1.174 states that a risk-informed regulatory process must consider risk associated with all operating modes (full power, low power and shutdown). The staff is developing (as necessary) acceptable methods to provide an understanding of the risk associated with low power and shutdown (LPSD) operations sufficient to support decision-making for riskinformed regulation.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Listed below are topics on which discussion and feedback are sought at the workshop:

- 1. Are LPSD core damage frequency (CDF) and large early release frequency (LERF) comparable to full power CDF and LERF? What methods and assumptions should be used to answer this question?
- 2. Are the LPSD CDF and LERF contributors comparable to the contributors from full power? What are the methods and assumptions should be used to answer this question?