

contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

#### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

##### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**99-06-14 Dornier Luftfahrt GMBH:**  
Amendment 39-11078. Docket 98-NM-198-AD.

**Applicability:** All Model 328-100 series airplanes, certified in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the elevator trim system due to paint/moisture contamination, and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 2 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection of the elevator trim system for paint contamination on the actuator pistons and examine the trim actuator moisture indicator to determine the desiccant moisture level, in accordance with the Dornier Alert Service Bulletin ASB-328-27-017, Revision 2, dated July 28, 1998.

(1) If no paint contamination is detected on the actuator pistons, and the moisture indicator of the trim actuator is blue or pale blue, no further action is required by paragraph (a) of this AD.

(2) If no paint contamination is detected on the actuator pistons and the moisture

indicator of the trim actuator is pale pink, pink, or white, prior to further flight, accomplish corrective actions in accordance with paragraph 2.B(3) of the Accomplishment Instructions of the alert service bulletin.

(3) If any paint contamination is detected on the actuator pistons and the moisture indicator of the trim actuator is pale blue or blue, prior to further flight, remove the paint in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

(4) If any paint contamination is detected on the actuator pistons and the moisture indicator of the trim actuator is pale pink, pink, or white; prior to further flight, replace the trim actuator with a new or serviceable trim actuator and either replace or regenerate the desiccant in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

**Note 2:** Aviac Technologies, the manufacturer of the desiccant, has issued Identification Procedure for Desiccant DAV/AP98-214, Revision 0, dated April 22, 1998, as an additional source of service information to determine the level of saturation of the desiccant.

(b) Within 2 months after the effective date of this AD, perform a one-time visual inspection to verify installation of the flat gasket in each end of the flex drive, and to determine if the flat gasket is in good condition (i.e., shows no signs of wear), in accordance with Dornier Alert Service Bulletin ASB-328-27-017, Revision 2, dated July 28, 1998.

(1) If the gasket is installed and in good condition, no further action is required by paragraph (b) of this AD.

(2) If the gasket is missing or is installed and not in good condition, prior to further flight, replace the gasket with a new gasket, and torque the nuts, in accordance with the alert service bulletin.

**Note 3:** Accomplishment of the actions required by paragraphs (a) and (b) of this AD, prior to the effective date of this AD, in accordance with Dornier Alert Service Bulletin ASB-328-27-017, Revision 1, dated October 1, 1997, is considered acceptable for compliance with the applicable actions specified in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this AD.

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, International Branch, ANM-116.

**Note 4:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the International Branch, ANM-116.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Dornier Alert Service Bulletin ASB-

328-27-017, Revision 2, dated July 28, 1998. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Fairchild Dornier, Dornier Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D-82230 Wessling, Germany. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**Note 5:** The subject of this AD is addressed in German airworthiness directive 97-188, dated July 3, 1997.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on April 22, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 9, 1999.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 99-6217 Filed 3-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-292-AD; Amendment 39-11077; AD 99-06-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 Series Airplanes and Model MD-88 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 series airplanes and Model MD-88 airplanes, that currently requires inspection(s) to detect fatigue cracking of the shock strut cylinder of the main landing gear (MLG), and replacement of any cracked shock strut cylinder with a serviceable part. That AD also provides for installation of brake line hydraulic restrictors on the MLG brake systems, which, if accomplished, terminates the repetitive inspections. This amendment requires that the subject inspection be accomplished repetitively following installation of brake line hydraulic restrictors. This amendment is prompted by an additional report of fatigue cracking and subsequent fracturing of the shock strut cylinder of the MLG. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent collapse of the MLG due to fracturing of the shock strut cylinder.

**DATES:** Effective April 22, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of April 22, 1999.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brent Bandle, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (562) 627-5237; fax (562) 627-5210.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 95-22-06, amendment 39-9413 (60 FR 54417, October 24, 1995), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 series airplanes and Model MD-88 airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 1998 (63 FR 40666). The action proposed to continue to require inspection(s) to detect fatigue cracking of the shock strut cylinder of the main landing gear (MLG), and replacement of any cracked shock strut cylinder with a serviceable part. That action also proposed to continue to provide for installation of brake line hydraulic restrictors on the MLG brake systems, which, if accomplished, terminates the repetitive inspections. That action proposed to require that the subject inspection be accomplished repetitively following installation of brake line hydraulic restrictors.

#### Comments Received

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

#### Support for the Proposed Rule

Several commenters support the proposed rule.

#### Request To Revise Wording

One commenter requests that the phrase "for airplanes" in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of the proposed AD be revised to "for MLG shock strut cylinders." The commenter points out that MLG's are replaceable units that can be "time continued" on a different airplane. The FAA concurs. The FAA's intent was that compliance time of those paragraphs be specified in the landings accumulated on MLG's since accomplishment of the brake line hydraulic restrictor installation, rather than the landings that an airplane had accumulated. Therefore, the FAA has revised paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of the final rule to clarify this point.

#### Requests To Revise Repetitive Inspection Intervals

One commenter requests that the repetitive inspection interval of the proposed AD be extended from 1,200 landings to 2,000 landings. The commenter states that such an extension would allow most inspections to occur within its check hangar environment.

The FAA does not concur with the commenter's request. The commenter provided no technical justification for revising this interval as requested. Fatigue cracking and subsequent fracturing of the shock strut cylinder of the MLG is a significant safety issue, and the FAA has determined that the inspection interval, as proposed, is warranted, based on the effectiveness of the inspection procedure to detect cracks, and the rate of crack growth in the shock strut cylinder of the MLG. The FAA considered not only those safety issues in developing an appropriate compliance time for this action, but the recommendations of the manufacturer, the availability of any necessary repair parts, and the practical aspect of accomplishing the required inspection within an interval of time that parallels normal scheduled maintenance for the majority of affected operators. However, under the provisions of paragraph (d)(1) of the final rule, the FAA may approve requests for adjustments to the compliance time if data are submitted to substantiate that such an adjustment would provide an acceptable level of safety.

One commenter requests that the FAA revise the proposed AD to simplify and clarify that inspections should be performed at a minimum of 4,800 cycles past restrictor installation. The commenter suggests that the proposed AD be revised to read, "Perform repetitive inspections at intervals not to exceed 1,200 landings until a minimum

of 4,800 landings has accumulated since brake line restrictor installation. A minimum of two (2) repetitive inspections, with at least 1,000 cycles accrued between inspections, shall be performed regardless of the total number of cycles accumulated since restrictor installation." The commenter states that such a statement will ensure that inspections are performed past 4,800 cycles from restrictor installation and will allow credit for inspections performed prior to issuance of McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 02, dated October 2, 1997.

The FAA does not concur with the commenter's request. The FAA points out that the subject repetitive inspection intervals are essentially identical to those specified in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 03, dated May 28, 1998 (which is referenced in the proposed AD as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishment of the required actions). The FAA finds that using wording that is significantly different from that of the alert service bulletin may cause more confusion to operators. Therefore, the FAA finds that no change to the final rule is necessary.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes previously described. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

#### Cost Impact

There are approximately 1,011 McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-80 series airplanes and Model MD-88 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 625 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD.

The dye penetrant and magnetic particle inspections that are required in this AD action will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the dye penetrant and magnetic particle inspections required by this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$150,000, or \$240 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish

those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-9413 (60 FR 54417, October 24, 1995), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39-11077, to read as follows:

**99-06-13 McDonnell Douglas:** Amendment 39-11077. Docket 97-NM-292-AD. Supersedes AD 95-22-06, Amendment 39-9413.

**Applicability:** Model DC-9-81 (MD-81), DC-9-82 (MD-82), DC-9-83 (MD-83), and DC-9-87 (MD-87) series airplanes, and Model MD-88 airplanes; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin

MD80-32A286, dated September 11, 1995; certificated in any category.

**Note 1:** This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

**Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent collapse of the main landing gear (MLG) due to fracturing of the shock strut cylinder, accomplish the following:

**Note 2:** Where there are differences between the referenced alert service bulletin and the AD, the AD prevails.

### Inspections

(a) Perform dye penetrant and magnetic particle inspections to detect cracking of the shock strut cylinder of the MLG, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 03, dated May 28, 1998; at the time specified in paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this AD, as applicable.

**Note 3:** Inspections accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 02, dated October 2, 1997, are considered acceptable for compliance with paragraph (a) of this AD.

(1) For MLG shock strut cylinders that, as of the effective date of this AD, have accumulated less than 1,200 landings since accomplishment of the brake line hydraulic restrictor installation: Inspect within 1,200 landings after the effective date of this AD. Repeat the inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 landings for a total of 4 inspections.

(2) For MLG shock strut cylinders that, as of the effective date of this AD, have accumulated greater than or equal to 1,200 landings and less than 2,400 landings since accomplishment of the brake line hydraulic restrictor installation: Inspect within 1,200 landings after the effective date of this AD. Repeat the inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 landings for a total of 3 inspections.

(3) For MLG shock strut cylinders that, as of the effective date of this AD, have accumulated greater than or equal to 2,400 landings since accomplishment of the brake line hydraulic restrictor installation: Inspect within 1,200 landings after the effective date of this AD. Repeat the inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed 1,200 landings for a total of 2 inspections.

### Corrective Actions

(b) If any cracking is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this

AD, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 03, dated May 28, 1998.

(1) Replace the shock strut cylinder with a crack-free serviceable part and, thereafter, repeat the inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD, at the time specified in paragraph (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of this AD, as applicable. Or

(2) Replace the shock strut cylinder with a new shock strut cylinder. Accomplishment of the replacement constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

**Note 4:** Replacements accomplished prior to the effective date of this AD in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 02, dated October 2, 1997, are considered acceptable for compliance with paragraph (b) of this AD.

### Spares

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane an MLG shock strut cylinder or MLG assembly unless that part has been inspected and found to be crack free, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 02, dated October 2, 1997, or Revision 03, dated May 28, 1998.

### Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

**Note 5:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(d)(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously in accordance with AD 95-22-06, amendment 39-9413, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

### Special Flight Permits

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

### Incorporation by Reference

(f) The actions shall be done in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin MD80-32A286, Revision 03, dated May 28, 1998. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Dept. C1-L51 (2-60). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport

Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on April 22, 1999.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 9, 1999.

**Darrell M. Pederson,**

*Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*  
[FR Doc. 99-6216 Filed 3-17-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-ACE-54]

#### Amendment to Class E Airspace; Alliance, NE

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends the Class E airspace areas at Alliance, NE. The FAA has developed Nondirectional Radio Beacon (NDB) Runway (RWY) 12 and NDB RWY 30 Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) to serve Alliance Memorial Airport, NE. Controlled Class E surface area and Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) is necessary to accommodate these SIAPs, and for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** 0901 UTC May 20, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathy Randolph, Air Traffic Division, Airspace Branch, ACE-520C, Federal Aviation Administration, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone: (816) 426-3408.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### History

On January 4, 1999, the FAA proposed to amend 14 CFR part 71 of the Federal Regulation (14 CFR part 71) by amending the Class E airspace areas at Alliance, NE (64 FR 60). The proposed action would provide additional controlled airspace to accommodate instrument operations at the Alliance Memorial Airport.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA.

No comments objecting to the proposal were received. Class E airspace areas designated as surface area for an airport are published in paragraph 6002, and Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9F, dated September 10, 1998, and effective September 16, 1998, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

#### The Rule

This amendment to part 71 of the Federal Regulations (14 CFR part 71) amends the Class E airspace areas at Alliance, NE, by providing additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing the NDB RWY 12 and NDB RWY 30 SIAPs to the Alliance Municipal Airport. The areas will be depicted on appropriate aeronautical charts.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 10, 1998, and effective September 16, 1998, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6002 Class E airspace areas designated as a surface area for an airport*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ACE NE E2 Alliance, NE [Revised]

Alliance Municipal Airport, NE  
(Lat. 42°03'12"N., long. 102°48'14"W.)

Alliance VOR/DME

(Lat. 42°03'20"N., long. 102°48'16"W.)

Alliance NDB

(Lat. 42°02'35"N., long. 102°47'58"W.)

Within a 4.3-mile radius of Alliance Municipal Airport and within 2.5 miles each side of the 124° bearing from the Alliance NDB extending from the 4.3-mile radius to 7 miles southeast of the NDB and within 2.6 miles each side of the 145° radial of the Alliance VOR/DME extending from the 4.3-mile radius to 8.7 miles southeast of the VOR/DME and within 2.6 miles each side of the 302° radial of the Alliance VOR/DME extending from the 4.3-mile radius to 5.7 miles northwest of the VOR/DME and within 2.5 miles each side of the 318° bearing from the Alliance NDB extending from the 4.3-mile radius to 7 miles northwest of the NDB. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

\* \* \* \* \*

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ACE NE E5 Alliance, NE [Revised]

Alliance Municipal Airport, NE  
(Lat. 42°03'12"N., long. 102°48'14"W.)

Alliance VOR/DME

(Lat. 42°03'20"N., long. 102°48'16"W.)

Alliance NDB

(Lat. 42°02'35"N., long. 102°47'58"W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.8-mile radius of the Alliance Municipal Airport and within 2.5 miles each side of the 124° bearing from the Alliance NDB extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 7 miles southeast of the NDB and within 3 miles each side of the 145° radial of the Alliance VOR/DME extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 10.5 miles southeast of the VOR/DME and within 2.5 miles each side of the 318° bearing from the Alliance NDB extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 7 miles northwest of the NDB and within 3 miles each side of the 302° radial of the Alliance VOR/DME extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 8.7 miles northwest of the VOR/DME.

\* \* \* \* \*