amendment and (2) the Commission's Compliance Evaluation Report. These items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the Local Public Document Room.

Date of amendment request: October 21, 1997.

Brief description of amendment: On October 21, 1997, United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) submitted a certificate amendment request for the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PORTS) to extend a completion date and to clarify commitments related to Measuring and Test Equipment (M&TE) made in Issue 24 entitled ''Maintenance Program'' of the ''Plan for Achieving Compliance with Nuclear Regulatory Commission Regulations at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant'' DOE/ORO–2027/R3 (Compliance Plan).

The PORTS Quality Assurance Program (QAP) requires safety related structures, systems and components (SSCs) to be designated as Q, AQ and AQ-NCS according to their area of application and degree of importance to safety. The PORTS QAP and the Safety Analysis Report designate those SSCs as Q and AQ, and AQ-NCS, which are relied upon for non-criticality safety and criticality safety, respectively. The PORTS QAP requires USEC to apply quality assurance (QA) requirements contained in ASME NQA-1-1989 entitled "Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Facilities" to Q and AQ-NCS SSCs. For AQ SSCs, which in comparison to Q and AQ-NCS SSCs are less important from a safety standpoint, only a portion of the ASME NQA-1-1989 requirements are applicable.

Currently, the Plan of Action and Schedule (POAS) section of Issue 24 of the PORTS Compliance Plan implies that M&TE used for Q. AQ and AQ-NCS SSCs are also designated as Q, AQ and AQ-NCS, respectively. The clarification contained in USEC's amendment request, deletes this implication. In addition to the clarification, USEC has also included a request to extend the completion date for revising the calibration program to meet the more formal requirements for AQ SSCs from December 31, 1997, to June 30, 1998. According to USEC, the existing December 31, 1997, date in the POAS of the PORTS Compliance Plan Issue 24 is inconsistent with two other actions contained elsewhere in the same POAS. In addition, according to USEC, Issue 22 entitled "Maintenance Program" of the Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (PGDP) Compliance Plan identifies June

30, 1998, as the date for completing similar corrective actions which address similar noncompliances.

Basis for finding of no significance: 1. The proposed amendment will not result in a change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

This amendment deletes the implication that M&TE are designated as Q, AQ, and AQ-NCS SSCs. It also corrects an inconsistency related to the completion date for revising the calibration program to meet more formal requirements for AQ SSCs by extending the completion date from December 31, 1997, to June 30, 1998. This amendment does not constitute a change to the QA requirements applicable to M&TE. Per the PORTS QAP, which was reviewed and approved by the NRC as part of the initial certification, QA requirements contained in ASME NQA-1 1989 will continue to be applied to M&TE used for Q, AQ-NCS and AQ SSCs. In addition, the interim safety requirements contained in the Justification for Continued Operation (JCO) section of Issue 24 of the PORTS Compliance Plan, which was developed by DOE and approved by DOE and NRC, pertaining to AQ SSCs and the associated M&TE. would continue to be applied until June 30, 1998. As such, this amendment will not result in a significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released offsite.

2. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

For the reasons provided in the assessment of criterion 1, the proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposures.

3. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant construction impact.

The proposed amendment does not involve any construction, therefore, there will be no construction impacts.

4. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents.

For the reasons provided in the assessment of criterion 1, the proposed amendment will not result in a significant increase in the potential for, or radiological or chemical consequences from, previously analyzed accidents. 5. The proposed amendment will not result in the possibility of a new or different kind of accident.

For the reasons provided in the assessment of criterion 1, the proposed amendment will not result in new or different kinds of accidents.

6. The proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

For the reasons provided in the assessment of criterion 1, the proposed amendment will not result in a significant reduction in any margin of safety.

7. The proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety, safeguards, or security programs.

For the reasons provided in the assessment of criterion 1, the proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safety program.

The staff has not identified any safeguards or security related implications from the proposed amendment. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not result in an overall decrease in the effectiveness of the plant's safeguards or security programs.

Effective date: The amendment to GDP–2 will become effective immediately after issuance by NRC.

Certificate of Compliance No. GDP–2: Amendment will revise the Compliance Plan.

Local Public Document Room location: Portsmouth Public Library, 1220 Gallia Street, Portsmouth, Ohio 45662.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of 1998.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Carl J. Paperiello,

Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 98–3977 Filed 2–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATES: Weeks of February 16, 23, March 2, and 9, 1998.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of February 16

Wednesday, February 18

2:00 p.m.—Briefing on Investigative Matters (Closed—Ex. 5 & 7).

Thursday, February 19

9:30 a.m.—Meeting with Northeast Nuclear on Millstone (Public Meeting) (Contact: Bill Travers, 301–415–1200).

12:00 p.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed).

Week of February 23—Tentative

There are no meetings the week of February 23.

Week of March 2—Tentative

There are no meetings the week of March 2.

Week of March 9-Tentative

Wednesday, March 11

- 9:00 a.m.—Briefing by Executive Branch (Closed—Ex. 1).
- 2:00 p.m.—Briefing on MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility Licensing (Public Meeting) (Contact: Ted Sherr. 301–415–7218).

Thursday, March 12

- 2:00 p.m.—Briefing on Fire Protection (Public Meeting).
- 3:30 p.m.—Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed).

* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (Recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact Person for more information: Bill Hill (301) 415–1661.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be bound on the Internet at:

http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/ schedule.htm

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301– 415–1661).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to wmh@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov.

William M. Hill, Jr.,

Secy, Tracking Officer, Office of the Secretary. [FR Doc. 98–4218 Filed 2–13–98; 2:39 pm] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of Expiring Information Collection Form RI 25–14

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of an information collection. RI 25–14, Self-Certification of Full-Time School Attendance, is used to survey survivor annuitants who are between the ages of 18 and 22 to determine if they meet the requirement of Section 8341(a)(C), and Section 8441, title 5, U.S. Code, to receive benefits as a student.

Comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the Office of Personnel Management, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Approximately 14,000 Self-Certification and Full-Time School Attendance forms are completed annually; each requires approximately 12 minutes to complete, for a total public burden of 2,800 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Jim Farron on (202) 418–3208, or E-mail to jmfarron@opm.gov

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before April 19, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Lorraine E. Dettman, Chief Operations Support Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Budget & Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.

Office of Personnel Management. Janice R. Lachance, Director. [FR Doc. 98–4196 Filed 2–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6325–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Notice of Request for Reclearance of Form RI 30–1

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of an information collection. RI 30-1, Request to Disability Annuitant for Information on Physical Condition and Employment, is used by persons who are not yet age 60 and who are receiving disability annuity and are subject to inquiry as to their medical condition as OPM deems reasonably necessary. RI 30-1 collects information as to whether the disabling condition has changed.

Approximately 8,000 RI 30–1 forms will be completed annually. We estimate it takes approximately 60 minutes to complete the form. The annual burden is 8,000 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Jim Farron on (202) 418–3208, or E-mail to jmfarron@opm.gov

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

Lorraine E. Dettman, Retirement and Insurance Service, Operations Support Division, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW, Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415

and

Joseph Lackey, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management & Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION— CONTACT: Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, Budget & Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623.