Implementation the 1997 Tongass Land Management Plan, Stikine Area, Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary: EPA is concerned with the lack of specificity in how the existing Thomas Bay LTF would be reconstructed and operated with the implementation of any of the proposed action alternatives.

ERP No. D–BLM–J70019–WY Rating EU2, Jonah Field II Natural Gas Development Project, Exploration, Development and Production, Applications for Permit to Drill, Right-of-Way Grant, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Pinedale Resource Area and Green River Resource Area, Rock Spring District, Sublette County, WY.

Summary: EPA identified the potential adverse impacts to air to be environmentally unsatisfactory. EPA believed that there are reasonably available alternatives to the proposed action which could reduce the predicted environmental impact.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61215-OR Rating LO, rater Lake National Park, New Concession Contract for Visitor Services Plan, Implementation, OR.

Summary: EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of the Visitor Services Plan for Crater Lake National Park. Based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61217-OR Rating LO, Oregon Caves National Monument, General Management Plan, Development Concept Plan, Josephine County, OR.

Summary: EPA Region 10 used a screening tool to conduct a limited review of the Oregon Caves national Monument General Management Plan. Based upon the screen, EPA does not foresee having any environmental objections to the proposed project. Therefore, EPA will not be conducting a detailed review.

### **Final EISs**

ERP No. F-AFS-L65281-ID, White Pine Creek Timber Sale, Implementation, Clearwater National Forest, Palouse Ranger District, Benewah and Latah Counties, ID.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65283-WA, Long Draw Salvage Sale, Implementation, Okanogan National Forest, Tonasket Ranger District, Okanogan County, WA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal

comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-BLM-J31025-WY, Greybull Valley Irrigation District Dam and Reservoir Project, Issuance of Rightof-Way Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Park County, WY.

Summary: EPA continued to express concerns with the lack of of the mitigation plan and suggested water quality monitoring be conducted and documented.

ERP No. F-USN-K11079-CA, Fleet and Industrial Supply Center/Vision 2000 Maritime Development, Disposal and Reuse, Funding, NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, City of Oakland, Alameda County, CA.

Summary: EPA continues to have concerns regarding possible contaminated dredge material and the proposed region of influence. Clarification of the issues was requested in the Record of Decision.

ERP No. FS-NOA-E86002-00, Snapper Grouper Fishery, Amendment 8 to the Fishery Management Plan, Regulatory Impact Review, South Atlantic Region.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the action as proposed.

Dated: February 10, 1998.

## William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 98–3768 Filed 2–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[PF-786A; FRL-5768-9]

## Notice of Filing; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register of January 9, 1998 (63 FR 1457) (FRL–5762–6) EPA issued pesticide petition filing (PP) 7F4881. The petition was submitted by BASF Corporation and proposed that EPA establish a tolerances for residues of certain pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities. EPA is correcting PP 7F4881 to add information that was inadvertently omitted from the original publication. EPA is also establishing a new comment period for this petition to allow the required 30 days.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by the docket control number PF–786A, must be received on or before March 16, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Marion Johnson (PM 10),

Registration Division (7505C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location, telephone number, and e-mail address: Rm. 217, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA, (703) 305-6788, e-mail:

johnson.marion@epamail.epa.gov. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. 98-557, in the issue for Friday, January 9, 1998, at page 1457, in the third column, item "1. BASF Corporation," the first full paragraph is corrected to read as follows:

EPA has received a pesticide petition (PP 7F4881) from BASF Corporation, Agricultural Products, P.O. Box 13528, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, proposing pursuant to section 408(d) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. 346a(d), to amend 40 CFR part 180 by establishing a tolerance for residues of Pridaben, [2 tert-butyl-5(4tert-butylbenzylthio)-4chloropyridazin-3(2*H*)-one] in or on the raw agricultural commodities: peaches and nectarine at 2.4 parts per million (ppm), plum and prune (fresh) at 0.7 ppm; prune dried at 2.2 ppm; cherry and apricot at 0.05 ppm; grape at 1.4 ppm and tree nut crops at 0.05 ppm. EPA has determined that the petition contains data or information regarding the elements set forth in section 408(d)(2) of the FFDCA; however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data supports granting of the petition. Additional data may be needed before EPA rules on the petition.

### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Agricultural commodities, Food additives, Feed additives, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 2, 1998.

#### James Jones,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 98-3586 Filed 2-12-98; 8:45 a.m.] BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### **FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION**

### Farm Credit Administration Board; Amendment to Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), the Farm Credit Administration gave notice on February 9, 1998 (63 FR 6568) of the regular

meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board) scheduled for February 12, 1998. This notice is to amend the agenda by revising the open session items of that meeting.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Floyd Fithian, Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883– 4025, TDD (703) 883–4444.

Addresses: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102–5090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Parts of this meeting of the Board were open to the public (limited space available), and parts of this meeting were closed to the public. The agenda for February 12, 1998, is amended by revising the open session to read as follows:

Open Session

A. Approval of Minutes

- B. New Business
  - 1. Regulation
  - —Organization; Balloting and Stockholder Reconsideration Issues [12 CFR Part 611] (Proposed)
  - 2. Other
  - -FCA Year 2000 Compliance

Dated: February 11, 1998.

#### Floyd Fithian,

Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board. [FR Doc. 98–3880 Field 2–11–98; 12:24 p.m.] BILLING CODE 6705–01–P

## FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 10:20 a.m. on Tuesday, February 10, 1998, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation met in closed session to consider matters relating to the Corporation's corporate and supervisory activities.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Acting Chairman Andrew C. Hove, Jr., seconded by Director Ellen S. Seidman (Director, Office of Thrift Supervision), concurred in by Director Eugene A. Ludwig (Comptroller of the Currency), and Director Joseph H. Neely (Appointive), that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by

authority of subsections (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), and (c)(9)(A)(ii) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), and (c)(9)(A)(ii)).

The meeting was held in the Board Room of the FDIC Building located at 550—17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Dated: February 10, 1998.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

#### James D. LaPierre,

Deputy Executive Secretary. [FR Doc. 98–3851 Filed 2–11–98; 12:23 pm] BILLING CODE 6714–01–M

# FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Emergency Management Agency has submitted the following proposed information collection to the Office of Management and Budget for review and clearance in accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507).

Title: Consultation with local officials to assure compliance with sections 110 and 206 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Revisions to National Flood Insurance Program Maps).

*Type of Information Collection:* Reinstatement.

OMB Number: 3067–0148. Abstract: These following certification forms (referred to as MT–2 series forms) will provide FEMA with assurances that all pertinent data relating to revisions to effective Flood Insurance Studies (FIS) are included in the submittal of requests for revisions. FEMA uses the information to review the assumptions made, parameters used, and results on technical accuracy, and to ensure that the FIS, Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), and Flood Boundaries and Floodway Maps (FBFM) are included with the initial submittal.

FEMA Form 81–89, Revision Requester and Community Official Form—This form describes the location of the revision request, what is being requested, and which forms are required for the request.

Certification by Registered Professional Engineer and/or Land Surveyor—NFIP regulations require that scientific or technical data that is submitted in support of a revision request be certified by a registered professional engineer or land surveyor depending on the type of data being submitted. This allows a registered professional engineer or land surveyor to certify that the submitted data is correct to the best of his/her knowledge.

FEMA Form 81.89A, Credit Card Information—This form outlines the information required to process a request when the requester is paying by credit card.

FEMA Form 81–89B, Hydrologic Analysis Form—If a revision request is based on revised flood discharges, the requester must submit a revised hydrologic analysis. This form will allow FEMA to efficiently review the assumptions made, parameters used, and results on technical accuracy.

FEMA Form 81–89C, Riverine *Hydraulic Analysis Form*—If a revision request is based on improved hydrologic data/analysis, improved hydraulic analysis, or physical changes to the hydraulics of the flooding source, NFIP regulations require the revision requester to submit a hydraulic analysis. This form will allow FEMA to efficiently review the assumptions made, parameters used, and results for technical accuracy. In addition, the form ensures that the required hydraulic models to revise the FIS, FIRM, and FBFM are included with the initial submittal

FEMA Form 81–89D, Riverine/Coastal Mapping Form—This form ensures that everything required to be shown on the requester's topographic work map in order to revise the FIRM and FBFM is included with the initial submittal. In addition, the NFIP regulations section 44 CFR 65.6(a)(6) requires that fill placed in a SFHA meet certain criteria. This form ensures that the fill was placed in accordance with the aforementioned NFIP regulations.

FEMA Form 81–89E, Channelization Form—If a submitted revision request includes a channelization project, this form must be completed. This form describes the channelization project and its impacts on the 100-year watersurface elevation.

FEMA Form 81–81–89F, Bridge/ Culvert Form—If a submitted revision request includes a bridge or culvert, this form must be completed. This form describes the bridge or culvert and its impacts on the 100-year water-surface elevation.

FEMA Form 81–89G, Levee/Floodwall System Analyses Form—If a submitted revision request includes a levee or floodwall, this form must be completed. NFIP regulations section 44 CFR 65.10 requires that levees being credited with providing protection from a 100-year flood event meet certain criteria. This