The Advisory Commission was established by Pub. L. 92–589 to provide for the free exchange of ideas between the National Park Service and the public and to facilitate the solicitation of advice or other counsel from members of the public on problems pertinent to the National Park Service systems in Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. Members of the Commission are as follows:

Mr. Richard Bartke, Chairman

Ms. Amy Meyer, Vice Chair

Ms. Naomi T. Gray

Dr. Howard Cogswell

Mr. Michael Alexander

Mr. Jerry Friedman

Ms. Lennie Roberts

Ms. Yvonne Lee

Ms. Carlota del Portillo

Mr. Trent Orr

Mr. Redmond Kernan

Ms. Jacqueline Young

Mr. Merritt Robinson

Mr. R. H. Sciaroni

Mr. John J. Spring

Dr. Edgar Wayburn

Mr. Joseph Williams

Mr. Mel Lane

These meetings will also contain Superintendent's and Presidio General Manager's Reports.

Specific final agendas for these meetings will be made available to the public at least 15 days prior to each meeting and can be received by contacting the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123 or by calling (415) 561–4633.

These meetings are open to the public. They will be recorded for documentation and transcribed for dissemination. Minutes of the meetings will be available to the public after approval of the full Advisory Commission. A transcript will be available three weeks after each meeting. For copies of the minutes contact the Office of the Staff Assistant, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, California 94123.

Dated: December 11, 1998.

Len McKenzie,

General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

[FR Doc. 98-33507 Filed 12-17-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-416]

Certain Compact Multipurpose Tools; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Adding a Respondent

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has decided not to review the presiding administrative law judge's (ALJ's) initial determination (ID) granting a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to include Charles Amash Imports, Inc., d/b/a Grip On Tools (Grip On), as a respondent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: P.

N. Smithey, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202–205–3061. General information concerning the Commission also may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). Hearing-impaired individuals can obtain information concerning this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202–205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 28, 1998, Leatherman Tool Group, Inc., filed a complaint with the Commission alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain compact multipurpose tools that infringe claims of three U.S. design patents.

The Commission instituted the investigation on September 30, 1998. Five firms were named as respondents. *See* 63 FR 52287 (Sept. 30, 1998); 19 U.S.C. 1337(b)(1); and 19 CFR 210.10(b).

On November 2, 1998, complainant Leatherman moved to add Grip On as a respondent, owing to that firm's importation and sale of tools that are the subject of the investigation. (Motion No. 416–2.)

On November 10, 1998, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion. No other party responded to the motion.

On November 19, 1998, the ALJ issued the ID (Order No. 5) granting the motion pursuant to 19 CFR 210.14(b)(1). No party petitioned for review of the ID pursuant to 19 CFR 210.43(a), and the Commission found no basis for ordering a review on its own initiative pursuant to 19 CFR 210.44. The ID thus became

the determination of the Commission pursuant to 19 CFR 210.42(h)(3).

All nonconfidential documents filed in the investigation—including the ID, the motion to add Grip On, and the Commission investigative attorney's response—are or will be available for public inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Commission's Office of the Secretary, Dockets Branch, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–1802.

By order of the Commission. Issued: December 14, 1998.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–33583 Filed 12–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA1921-167 (Review)]

Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 4, 1998. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Clark (202–205–3195), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–

205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 4, 1998, the Commission determined to expedite the subject five-year review because respondent interested party responses to its notice of institution (63 FR 46475, September 1, 1998) were inadequate. One U.S. producer, Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co. (3M), responded to the notice of institution. 3M is also an importer of pressure sensitive tape from Italy and owns an Italian producer of such tape. In its response, 3M submitted some information regarding its U.S. importation and its foreign subsidiary's production of plastic tape. However, 3M submitted its response in its capacity as a domestic producer and the Commission considered that response only for purposes of its domestic group adequacy determination. Since no other respondent interested party submitted a response, the Commission concluded that respondent interested party responses were inadequate.

Vice Chairman Miller and Commissioners Hillman and Koplan, after considering relevant information about the domestic industry, including the share of domestic plastic tape production represented by 3M, concluded that 3M's response constituted an adequate domestic interested party group response. Chairman Bragg and Commissioners Crawford and Askey concluded that 3M's response does not constitute an adequate domestic interested party group response given the relevant information about this domestic industry. As will be explained in the Commission's opinion in this review, the domestic interested party response was not the basis for the Commission's determination to expedite the review.

The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act. A record of the Commissioners' votes are available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's web site.

Staff Report

A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on January 8, 1999, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission's rules.

Written Submissions

As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission's rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided adequate responses to the notice of institution,1 and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before January 13, 1999, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by January 13, 1999. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination

The Commission has determined to extend the period of time for making its expedited determination in this review by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued December 14, 1998.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–33584 Filed 12–17–98; 8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. AA1921-188 (Review)]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand From Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Scheduling of an expedited fiveyear review concerning the antidumping duty order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand from Japan.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on prestressed concrete steel wire strand from Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207). Recent amendments to the Rules of Practice and Procedure pertinent to five-year reviews, including the text of subpart F of part 207, are published at 63 FR 30599, June 5, 1998, and may be downloaded from the Commission's World Wide Web site at http:// www.usitc.gov/rules.htm.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 4, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Corkran (202–205–3177), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 4, 1998, the Commission determined that the

¹ The Commission has found the response submitted by Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co. to be adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).