the above address and must include a check in the amount of \$2.75.

#### Letitia J. Grishaw.

Chief, Environmental Defense Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, United States Department of Justice. [FR Doc. 98–32214 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARMTENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 C.F.R. 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in United States v. Caterpillar, Inc., Civil Action No. 98-2544 (HHK), is being extended through January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the Federal Register on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg. 59330-59331. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, should refer to United States v. Caterpillar, Inc., Civil Action No. 98-2544 (ĤHK), D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-2255, and should be received by January 12, 1999.

# Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32217 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in United States v. Cummins Engine Co. Civil Action No. 98-2546 (HHK), is being extended through January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the Federal Register on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg., 59331. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the

Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, should refer to *United States* v. *Cummins Engine Co.*, Civil Action No. 98–2546 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–2136A, and should be received by January 12, 1999.

Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32216 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in United States v. Detroit Diesel Corporation, Civil Action No. 98-2548 (HHK), is being extended through January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the Federal Register on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg. 59331-59332. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, should refer to United States v. Detroit Diesel Corporation, Civil Action No. 98–2548 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-2253, and should be received by January 12, 1999. Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32219 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

# Notice of Lodging of Stipulated Dismissal Pursuant to the Clean Air Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed joint stipulation of dismissal in *United States* v. *Exxon Company, U.S.A.*, Civil Action No. H–98–0392, was lodged on November 17, 1998, with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. Exxon Company, U.S.A. operates a petroleum refinery at Baytown, Texas. On February 13, 1998, the United States commenced a civil action praying for

civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of the Clean Air Act. The injunctive relief prayed for was the testing of seven flares for compliance with the Act. Exxon has performed the injunctive relief and will pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$250,000.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed joint stipulation of dismissal. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Exxon Company, U.S.A.*, DOJ Ref. #90–5–1–1–2164.

The proposed stipulated dismissal may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, 910 Travis Street, #1500, Houston, Texas 77208 and at the office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202-347-2072. A copy of the proposed joint stipulation of dismissal may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW., 3rd floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the reference case and enclose a check in the amount of \$2.50 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

#### Joel Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32215 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR § 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in United States v. Mack Trucks, Inc., Civil Action No. 98-1495 (HHK), and United States v. Renault Vehicules Industriels, Civil Action No. 98-2543 (HHK), is being extended through January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the **Federal Register** on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg. 59332-59333. Comments should be addressed

to the Assistant Attorney General of the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, should refer to *United States* v. *Mack Trucks, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 98–1495 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–2251 and *United States* v. *Renault Vehicules Industriels*, Civil Action No. 98–2543 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–2251/1, and should be received by January 12, 1999.

## Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32220 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. *Navistar* International Corp., Civil Action No. 98-2545 (HHK), is being extended through January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the Federal Register on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg. 59333-59334. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, should refer to United States v. Navistar International Corp., Civil Action No. 98-2545 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-2252, and should be received by January 12, 1999.

#### Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32221 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# Notice of Extension of Comment Period on Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that the comment period for the proposed Consent Decree lodged on October 22, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States* v. *Volvo Truck Corporation*, Civil Action No. 98–2547 (HHK), is being extended through

January 12, 1999. The original notice of this proposed settlement, which summarizes the settlement and identifies where copies of the Consent Decree may be obtained, was published in the Federal Register on November 3, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 212, Pg. 59334. Comments shall be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, shall refer to United States v. Volvo Truck Corporation, Civil Action No. 98-2457 (HHK), D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-2256, and shall be received by January 12, 1999. Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–32218 Filed 12–2–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Antitrust Division**

Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement; United States of America v. Chancellor Media Corp. and Kunz & Co.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in United States of America v. Chancellor Media Corporation and Kunz & Company, Case No. 1:98CV0273. The proposed Final Judgment is subject to approval by the Court after the expiration of the statutory 60-day public comment period and compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act. 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h).

The United States filed a civil antitrust Complaint on November 12, 1998, alleging that the proposed acquisition of Kunz & Company ("Kunz") by Chancellor Media Corporation ("Chancellor") would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The Complaint alleges that Chancellor and Kunz compete head-tohead to sell outdoor advertising in four counties: (1) Kern County, California; (2) Kings County, California; (3) Inyo County, California; and (4) Mojave County, Arizona (collectively "the Four Counties"). Outdoor advertising companies sell advertising space, such as on billboards, to local and national customers. The outdoor advertising business in the Four Counties is highly concentrated. Chancellor and Kunz have a combined share of revenue ranging from about 60 percent to a virtual monopoly in the Four Counties. Unless the acquisition is blocked, competition would be substantially lessened in the Four Counties, and advertisers would pay higher prices.

The prayer for relief seeks: (a) an adjudication that the proposed transaction described in the Complaint would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act; (b) preliminary and permanent injunctive relief preventing the consummation of the transaction; (c) an award to the United States of the costs of his action; and (d) such other relief as is proper.

Shortly before this suit was filed, a proposed settlement was reached that permits Chancellor to complete its acquisition of Kunz, yet preserves competition in the Four Counties where the transaction raises significant competitive concerns. A Stipulation and proposed Final Judgment embodying the settlement were filed at the same time the Complaint was filed.

The proposed settlement requires Chancellor to divest all of the outdoor advertising assets of:

- (1) Kunz in Kern County and Inyo County, California; and in Mojave County, Arizona; and
  - (2) Chancellor in Kings County, California.

Unless the plaintiff grants a time extension, Chancellor must divest these outdoor advertising assets within four (4) months after the filing of the Complaint in this action. Finally, in the event that the Court does not, for any reason, enter the Final Judgment within that four-month period, the divestitures are to occur within five (5) business days after notice of entry of the Final Judgment.

If Chancellor does not divest the advertising assets in the specified counties within the divestiture period, the Court, upon plaintiff's application, is to appoint a trustee to sell the assets. The proposed Final Judgment also requires that, until the divestitures mandated by the Final Judgment have been accomplished, Chancellor shall take all steps necessary to maintain and operate the advertising assets as active competitors; maintain the management, staffing, sales and marketing of the advertising assets; and maintain the advertising assets in operable condition at current capacity configurations. Further, the proposed Final Judgment requires Chancellor to give the United States prior notice regarding certain future outdoor advertising acquisitions