any P/N 9910299–25 or P/N 9910299–26 engine exhaust system WYE tube.

(c) The owner/operator holding at least a private pilot certificate as authorized by section 43.7 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.7) may check the maintenance records to determine whether any WYE tube, P/N 9910299-25 or P/N 9910299-26, has been installed in the engine exhaust system between May 8, 1998, and December 21, 1998 (the effective date of this AD). If one of these WYE tubes is not installed, the AD does not apply and the owner/operator must make an entry into the aircraft records showing compliance with this AD in accordance with section 43.9 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 43.9).

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1801 Airport Road, Rm. 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas, 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 4: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from Wichita ACO.

- (e) Information related to this AD may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
- (f) This amendment becomes effective on December 21, 1998, except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by priority letter AD 98–24–14, issued November 13, 1998, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 24, 1998.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–32045 Filed 12–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-NM-334-AD; Amendment 39-10929; AD 98-24-51]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 Series Airplanes Equipped with Certain Collins LRA–900 Radio Altimeters

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for

comments.

SUMMARY: This document publishes in the **Federal Register** an amendment

adopting airworthiness directive (AD) T98-24-51 that was sent previously to all known U.S. owners and operators of certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-11 series airplanes by individual telegrams. This AD requires a revision to the Airplane Flight Manual to prohibit autopilot coupled autoland operations in certain conditions; or, for certain airplanes, replacement of certain Collins LRA-900 radio altimeters with Collins LRA-700 radio altimeters. This action is prompted by a report that a fault in certain Collins LRA-900 radio altimeters could result in an incorrect and unbounded output of radio altitude to other airplane systems. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent an undetected anomalous radio altitude signal that is passed along to the flare control law of the flight control computer, which could cause the airplane to flare too high or too low during landing, and consequently result in a hard landing.

DATES: Effective December 7, 1998, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by telegraphic AD T98–24–51, issued November 19, 1998, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before February 1, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-NM-334-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Information pertaining to this amendment may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brett Portwood, Aerospace Engineer, ANM–130L, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (562) 627–5347; fax (562) 627–5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 19, 1998, the FAA issued telegraphic AD T98–24–51, which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 series airplanes equipped with certain Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters. That action was prompted by a report from Rockwell Collins that a fault in certain Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters has been

identified, which could result in an incorrect and unbounded output of radio altitude to other airplane systems.

The fail-operational autoland installation on McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 series airplanes utilizes a dual-dual architecture that relies on the self-monitoring capability of the Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters. Any undetected anomalous radio altitude signal that is passed along to the flare control law of the flight control computer (FCC) could cause the initiation of the flare mode at an altitude that is either too high or too low for safe landing during autoland operations.

This fault does not affect airplanes equipped with either an autoland system architecture that utilizes triplex radio altimeter sensors or a dual failpassive autoland architecture. The triplex radio altimeter sensors are able to "vote out" the undetected radio altimeter anomaly. The dual fail-passive autoland architecture compares both radio altimeters and passively disconnects when the signals do not match (i.e., radio altimeter miscompare).

In light of these findings, the FAÂ has determined that the reported anomaly is limited to airplanes with fail-operational autoland systems with a dual-dual fail-operational radio altimeter architecture.

An undetected anomalous radio altitude signal that is passed along to the flare control law of the FCC, if not corrected, could cause the airplane to flare too high or too low during landing, and consequently result in a hard landing.

Explanation of Requirements of the Rule

Since the unsafe condition described is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design, the FAA issued telegraphic AD T98–24–51 to require a revision to the Limitations Section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual (AFM) to prohibit autopilot coupled autoland operations in certain conditions; or, for certain airplanes, replacement of certain Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters with Collins LRA–700 radio altimeters.

This is considered to be interim action until final action is identified, at which time the FAA may consider further rulemaking.

Since it was found that immediate corrective action was required, notice and opportunity for prior public comment thereon were impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and good cause existed to make the AD effective immediately by individual telegrams issued on November 19, 1998, to all known U.S. owners and operators

of certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD–11 series airplanes equipped with certain Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters. These conditions still exist, and the AD is hereby published in the **Federal Register** as an amendment to section 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) to make it effective to all persons.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety and, thus, was not preceded by notice and an opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be needed.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 98–NM–334–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism

implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation that must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft, and that it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866. It has been determined further that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

98–24–51 McDonnell Douglas: Amendment 39–10929. Docket 98–NM–334–AD.

Applicability: Model MD–11 series airplanes, equipped with certain Collins LRA–900 radio altimeters, having part number 822–0334–002, 822–0334–020, or 822–0334–220; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously. To detect and correct an undetected anomalous radio altitude signal that is passed along to the flare control law of the flight control computer, which could cause the airplane to flare too high or too low during landing, and consequently result in a hard landing, accomplish the following:

- (a) Within 24 hours after the effective date of this AD, accomplish either paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this AD:
- (1) Revise the Limitations Section of the FAA-approved Airplane Flight Manual to include the following statement:
- "Autopilot coupled autoland operations below 100 feet above ground level (AGL) are prohibited."

- (2) For airplanes on which the LRA-700 radio altimeter installation has been approved in accordance with Type Certificate or Supplemental Type Certificate procedures: Replace both Collins LRA-900 radio altimeters having part number 822-0334-002, 822-0334-020, or 822-0334-220, with Collins LRA-700 radio altimeters having part number 622-4542-221.
- (b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane a Collins LRA-900 radio altimeter, having part number 822-0334-002, 822-0334-020, or 822-0334-220.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

- (d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (e) This amendment becomes effective on December 7, 1998, to all persons except those persons to whom it was made immediately effective by telegraphic AD T98–24–51, issued on November 19, 1998, which contained the requirements of this amendment.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 25, 1998.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 98–32100 Filed 12–1–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 98-AWP-12]

Revocation of Class D and Class E Airspace, Crows Landing, CA; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date and correction.

SUMMARY: This document confirms the effective date of a direct final rule which revokes the Class D and Class E airspace areas below 1200 feet above ground level (AGL) associated with Crows Landing, CA and changes the name from Crows Landing NALF to NASA Crows