### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

Correction of the October 1, 1998, Federal Register Notice Extending Pilot Programs

**AGENCY:** Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA). **ACTION:** Notice correction.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 1998, GIPSA published in the Federal Register, a Notice extending three pilot programs, timely service, open season, and barge until September 30, 2000. The Notice inadvertently omitted referencing an earlier Federal Register Notice that reduced from 6 months to 3 months the period for which grain facilities had to have been without official services to qualify for the "Open Season" pilot program. GIPSA is correcting the October 1, 1998, Federal Register Notice by adding reference to the omitted earlier Federal Register Notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 1, 1998.

ADDRESSES: USDA, GIPSA, Janet M. Hart, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, STOP 3604, Room 1647–S, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20250–3604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet M. Hart, at 202–720–8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The October 1, 1998, Federal Register (63 FR 52682) noted that the pilot program provisions would remain the same as announced in the September 27, 1995, and January 15, 1998, Federal Registers. A third Federal Register Notice that changed one of the "open season" pilot program's provisions was inadvertently omitted. That Notice, published on October 3, 1996 (61 FR 51674), reduced from 6 months to 3 months the period that facilities had to have been without official sample-lot or official weighing services to qualify.

GIPSA is publishing this notice to correct the omission.

## **Correction**

In FR Doc. 98–26091, beginning on page 52683 (63 FR 52683) in the issue of Thursday, October 1, 1998, make the following correction:

1. On page 52683, in the second column, insert the following as the new second paragraph. "The three pilot program provisions will remain the same as announced in the September 27, 1995, October 3, 1996, and January 15, 1998, **Federal Registers**."

**Authority:** Pub. L. 94–582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*).

Dated: November 19, 1998.

#### Neil E. Porter,

Director, Compliance Division.
[FR Doc. 98–31749 Filed 11–30–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–EN–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [A-570-808]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China; Amendment of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Amendment to Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts from the People's Republic of China.

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 7, 1998, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping order on chrome-plated lug nuts (lug nuts) from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Review, 63 FR 53872 (October 7, 1998) (final results). The review covered the period September 1, 1996 through August 31, 1997.

We gave Interested parties the opportunity to provide comments concerning ministerial errors. We received comments from Jiangsu Rudong Grease Gun Factory (Rudong) alleging a ministerial error. After considering this comment, we have amended the final results of the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 1, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Gilgunn or Maureen Flannery, Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0648 and (202) 482–3020, respectively.

## **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated,

all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 353 (April 1, 1997).

## **Scope of Review**

The products covered by this review are one-piece and two-piece chromeplated and nickel-plated lug nuts from the PRC. The subject merchandise includes chrome-plated and nickelplated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, which are more than 11/16 inches (17.45 millimeters) in height and which have a hexagonal (hx) size of at least 3/4 inches (19.05 millimeters) but not over one inch (25.4 millimeters), plus or minus 1/16 of an inch (1.59 millimeters). The term "unfinished" refers to unplated and/or unassembled chromeplated lug nuts. The subject merchandise is used for securing wheels to cars, vans, trucks, utility vehicles, and trailers. Excluded from the order are zinc-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, stainless steel capped lug nuts, and chrome-plated lock nuts.

The merchandise under review is currently classifiable under item 7318.16.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

# **Amendment of Final Results**

Rudong alleges that the Department made a clerical error in calculating the Indian inflation factor it applied to certain surrogate Indian data. In the preliminary results, Rudong states, for certain inputs the Department used surrogate Indian data obtained from the period September through December 1996. This surrogate Indian data was adjusted upwards by an average inflation index for the period of September through December 1996. In the final results, the Department extended its use of surrogate Indian data to include newly available data for the months of January through May 1997. Rudong contends that the Department should have therefore extended its inflation index to include inflation data for the months of January through May

We agree with Rudong. The Indian inflation index should cover the same period as the surrogate Indian data. Therefore, the Indian inflation index applied to steel wire rod data should be extended to include the months of January through May 1997. This affects the calculations of steel wire rod value as this is the only value for which the data period was expanded in the final results. As defined by section 751(h)

Act, the term "ministerial error" includes errors "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical errors resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other type of unintentional

error which the [Department] considers ministerial." The Department's error in not extending the inflation index period when it expanded the data period was clearly an oversight of this nature. Therefore, we are amending the final results.

### **Amended Final Results of Review**

We determine that the following dumping margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Jiangsu Rudong, Grease Gun Factory	9/1/96–8/31/97 9/1/96–8/31/97	1.23 44.99

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between export price and normal value (NV) may vary from the percentage stated above for Rudong. We have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for lug nuts by dividing the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between NV and export price) for each importer/customer by the total number of units sold to that importer/ customer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting per-unit dollar amount against each unit of merchandise in each of the importer's/ customer's entries during the review period. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit rates will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of lug nuts from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for Rudong, which was found to merit a separate rate for the final results of this review, the cash deposit rate will be 1.23 percent; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate; and (3) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to a PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent

assessment of double antidumping duties.

## **Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. See 63 FR 24391, 24403 (May 4, 1998). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: November 23, 1998.

#### Robert S. LaRussa.

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98-31981 Filed 11-30-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [A-533-502]

Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes From India; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, United States Department of Commerce. ACTION: Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On July 22, 1998, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** (63 FR 39269) the amended final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from India. The period of review is May 1, 1996 through April 30,

1997. Subsequent to the publication of the amended final results, we received a comment from the respondent alleging a ministerial error. After analyzing the comment submitted, we are amending our amended final results to correct the ministerial error. Based on the correction of the ministerial error, we have changed the margin for Rajinder Pipes Ltd. and Rajinder Steel, Ltd. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 1, 1998. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Hermes Pinilla or Robin Gray, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477 or 482-4023, respectively.

## **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 353 (April 1997).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

On July 22, 1998, the Department published in the Federal Register (63 FR 39269) the amended final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from India covering the period May 1, 1996 through April 30, 1997. After publication of the amended final results, we received a timely allegation from Rajinder Pipes Ltd. and Rajinder Steel, Ltd. (collectively called "RSL") that we had made a ministerial error. However, because RSL had filed a summons and complaint with the Court of International Trade (CIT), we did not issue amended final results of review reflecting the correction of the clerical error. In accordance with Zenith Elec. Corp. v. United States, 699 F. Supp. 296