As of May 31, 1998, EPA and DOJ had incurred costs in excess of \$1.3 million exclusive of interest. Each of the proposed settlors arranged with Trinity Environmental Technologies, Inc. for disposal of capacitors contaminated with PCBs. Trinity Environmental Technologies, Inc. in turn arranged for disposal of these capacitors with PCB Treatment, Inc. In addition to this arrangement, each settlor arranged for disposal of capacitors contaminated with PCBs directly with PCB Treatment, Inc. PCB Treatment, Inc. then arranged for disposal at the Site of scrap metal from the capacitors.

EPA has determined that any party who arranged for disposal of between 206 and 89,387 pounds of capacitors contributed a *de minimis* volume of waste to the Site and that such wastes are not more toxic than any other hazardous substance at the Site.

Each settler will pay a share of costs based on its volumetric share of capacitor weight compared to all capacitor weight with an additional premium of 15%.

Through this settlement EPA will recover over \$10,000. EPA has recovered over \$80,000 through a consent decree with the former owner/operator and will seek the remaining costs from other potentially responsible parties at the Site. EPA will be recovering over \$180,000 through Administrative Order on Consent EPA Docket No. VII–98–F0012, which became effective on October 23, 1998.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

Dennis Grams, P.E.,

Regional Administrator, Region VII.
[FR Doc. 98–31539 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6193]

Notice of Proposed De Minimis Administrative Order on Consent Pursuant to Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), Osage Metals Superfund Site, Kansas City, Kansas, Docket No. VII–98–F–0019

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Proposed De Minimis Administrative Order on Consent, Osage Metals Superfund Site, Kansas City, Kansas.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a proposed administrative order on

consent regarding the Osage Metals Superfund Site, was signed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on September 30, 1998 and approved by the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) on October 30, 1998.

DATES: EPA will receive comments on or before December 28, 1998 related to the proposed agreement and covenant not to sue.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Audrey Asher, Senior Assistant Regional Counsel, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101 and should refer to the Osage Metals Superfund Site Administrative Order on Consent, EPA Docket No. VII-98-F-0019.

The proposed agreement may be examined or obtained in person or by mail at the office of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66101 (913) 551–7255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed agreement concerns the 1.7acre Osage Metals Superfund Site ("Site"), located at 120 Osage Avenue in Kansas City, Kansas. The Site was the location of metals salvage and reclamation facilities between 1948 and 1993. Samples taken at the Site in 1994 found polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs") in surface soils at levels as high as 334 mg/kg, and lead contamination in levels as high as 56,600 mg/kg. The EPA approved a removal action at the Site on February 13, 1995, and began cleanup in March of 1995. EPA completed its work in October 1995. No further response action is anticipated.

As of May 31, 1998, EPA and DOJ had incurred costs in excess of \$1.3 million exclusive of interest. Each of the proposed settlors arranged with Trinity Environmental Technologies, Inc. for disposal of capacitors contaminated with PCBs. Trinity Environmental Technologies, Inc. in turn arranged for disposal of the capacitors with PCB Treatment, Inc. PCB Treatment, Inc. then arranged for disposal at the Site of scrap metal from the capacitors.

EPA has determined that any party who arranged for disposal of between 206 and 89,387 pounds of capacitors contributed a *de minimis* volume of waste to the Site and that such wastes are not more toxic than any other hazardous substance at the Site.

Each settlor will pay a share of costs based on its volumetric share of capacitor weight compared to all capacitor weight with an additional premium of 15%.

Through this settlement EPA will recover over \$10,000. EPA has recovered \$80,000 through a consent decree with the former owner/operator and will seek the remaining costs from other potentially responsible parties at the Site. EPA will be recovering over \$180,000 through Administrative Order on Consent EPA Docket No. VII–98–F0012, which became effective on October 27, 1998.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

Dennis Grams, P.E.,

Regional Administrator, Region VII. [FR Doc. 98–31538 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Public Information Collections Approved by Office of Management and Budget

November 16, 1998.

The Federal Communications
Commission (FCC) has received Office
of Management and Budget (OMB)
approval for the following public
information collections pursuant to the
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,
Public Law 104–13. An agency may not
conduct or sponsor and a person is not
required to respond to a collection of
information unless it displays a
currently valid control number. For
further information contact Shoko B.
Hair, Federal Communications
Commission, (202) 418–1379.

Federal Communications Commission

OMB Control No.: 3060–0859. Expiration Date: 05/31/99.

Title: Suggested Guidelines for Petitions for Ruling Under Section 253 of the Communications Act.

Form No.: N/A.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit; State, local or tribal government.

Estimated Annual Burden: 80 respondents; 78.5 hours per response (avg.); 6280 total annual burden hours for all collections.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Cost Burden: \$0.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Description: Section 253 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended requires the Commission, with certain important exceptions, to preempt the enforcement of any state or local statute or regulation, or other state or local legal requirement (to the extent necessary) that prohibits or has the effect prohibiting the ability of any

entity to provide any interstate or intrastate telecommunications service. The Commission's consideration of preemption begins with the filing of a petition by an aggrieved party. In order to render a timely and informed decision, petitioners and commenters should submit relevant information sufficient to describe the legal regime involved in the controversy and to establish the factual basis necessary for decision. Factual assertions should be supported by credible evidence, including affidavits, and, where appropriate, studies or other descriptions of the economic effects of the legal requirement that is the subject to the petition. In preparing their submissions, parties should address as appropriate all parts of section 253. In particular, parties should first describe whether the challenged requirement falls within the proscription of section 253(a); if it does, parties should describe whether the requirement nevertheless if permissible under other sections of the statute, specifically sections 253(b) and (c). Lastly, parties should submit information on whether and how the Commission could tailor a decision to preempt the enforcement of an offending legal requirement only "to the extent necessary to correct such violation or inconsistency" as required by section 253(d). (Number of respondents filing petitions: 20; annual hour burden per respondent: 125 hours; total annual burden = 2500 hours. Number of respondents filing comments on petitions: 60; annual hour burden per respondent: 63 hours; total annual burden = 3780). The petition is placed on public notice and commented on by others. The Commission issued a Public Notice that establishes guidelines relating to its consideration of preemption petitions. The Commission will use the information to discharge its statutory mandate relating to the preemption of state or local statutes or other state or local legal requirements. Obligation to respond: Voluntary.

Public reporting burden for the collections of information is as noted above. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of the collections of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to Performance Evaluation and Records Management, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–31492 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2305]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

November 18, 1998.

Petitions for reconsideration and clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of these documents are available for viewing and copying in Room 239, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857-3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by December 10, 1998. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47) CFR 1.4(b)(1). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Regarding Installment Payment Financing for Personal Communications Services (PCS) Licenses (WT Docket No. 97–82).

Number of Petitions Filed: 6. Subject: Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information and Other Customer Information (CC Docket No. 96–115).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98–31493 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting; Announcing an Open Meeting of the Board

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, December 2, 1998

PLACE: Board Room, Second Floor, Federal Housing Finance Board, 1777 F Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. STATUS: The entire meeting will be open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED DURING PORTIONS OPEN TO THE PUBLIC:

- Office of Finance 1999 Debt Authorization.
- Approval of 1999 Operating and Capital Expenditure Budgets—Office of Finance.
 - Finance Board 1999 Strategic Plan.
- Proposed Rule—Advances Collateral Changes.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Elaine L. Baker, Secretary to the Board, (202) 408–2837.

William W. Ginsberg,

Managing Director.

[FR Doc. 98–31629 Filed 11–23–98; 12:57 pm]

BILLING CODE 6725-01-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than December 9, 1998.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (D. Michael Manies, Assistant Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

I. The David J. Duey Trust, and David V. Duey, as Trustee, both of Plattsmouth, Nebraska; to acquire voting shares of Cass County State Company, Plattsmouth, Nebraska, and thereby indirectly acquire voting shares of Cass County Bank, Plattsmouth, Nebraska.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, November 19, 1998.

Robert deV. Frierson.

Associate Secretary of the Board.
[FR Doc. 98–31425 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210–01–F

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR Part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or