within the meaning of 19 CFR 353.28 (d), *i.e.*, an error in arithmetic functions of the calculation program. We have corrected the program so that the result of the unit duty calculation program is no longer multiplied by a factor of 100. This correction affects only the importer-specific assessment rates, not the margin calculated in the final results.

We also note one additional ministerial error not raised by the parties in this review. In the final results Federal Register notice, the Department stated that "[f]or assessment purposes, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total entered value of sales examined during the POR." 63 FR at 55590. This statement is incorrect, and does not reflect the margin calculation program disclosed to the parties with the final results of this review. As stated above, the record of this review does not contain data on the entered value of the sales examined during the POR. Therefore, for the final results of this review we calculated the duty amount to be collected from each importer on a unit basis, i.e., a ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR, not a ratio of antidumping duties to the entered value of these sales.

### **Amended Final Results of Review**

Upon correction of the ministerial errors described above, the margin remains unchanged from the final results published in the **Federal Register** on October 16, 1998. However, as discussed above, the importer-specific assessment rates will change from those disclosed to the parties with the final results. We will instruct the Customs Service accordingly.

Manufacturer/ Exporter	Period	Margin
Saha Thai	3/1/96–2/28/97	1.92%

The Department shall determine, and the U.S. Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department shall issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service. As a result of this review, we have determined that the importer-specific duty assessments rates are necessary. For assessment purposes, therefore, we have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates for the merchandise based on the ratio of the

total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements shall be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of review for all shipments of certain welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate stated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in these reviews, or the original LTFV investigations, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in these reviews, the cash deposit rate for this case will continue to be 15.67 percent, the "All Others" rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amended administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and sections 353.22 and 353.28(c) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: November 18, 1998.

### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–31555 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

## University of California at Los Angeles; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98–004R. Applicant: University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095–1547. Instrument: YAG Pumped Dye Laser. Manufacturer: Spectron Laser Systems, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 63 FR 8164, February 18, 1998.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) an internal modular three bar resonator design, (2) operation in "tophat" mode to minimize beam divergence and (3) an internal cavity telescope that compensates for the thermal loading on the laser rod. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–31552 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether an instrument of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instrument shown below is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Application may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 98-058. Applicant: University of Hawaii, 1000 Pope Road, MSB 317, Honolulu, HI 96822 Instrument: Directional Wave Buoy. Manufacturer: Datawell by, The Netherlands. Intended Use: The instrument will be used in support of ongoing research regarding the refraction, diffraction and reflection of sea and swell around the Hawaiian Islands. Two ongoing projects include: examination of wave-driven sediment transport at Kailua Bay and evaluation of various wave modeling strategies to predict nearshore waves around island coasts. Both require directional wave information in the open ocean. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: November 3, 1998.

### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 98–31553 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration

# **Export Trade Certificate of Review**

**ACTION:** Notice of application to amend certificate.

SUMMARY: The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA"), International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Morton Schnabel, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131. This is not a toll-free number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of

1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the Federal Register identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

### **Request for Public Comments**

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential. An original and five copies, plus two copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1800H, Washington, D.C. 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 88-2A015.

Ferrous Scrap Export Association's ("FSEA") original Certificate was issued on December 12, 1988 (53 FR 51294, December 21, 1988) and previously amended on February 28, 1989 (54 FR 9542, March 7, 1989). A summary of the application for an amendment follows.

# **Summary of the Application**

Applicant: Ferrous Scrap Export Association, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19809. Contact: Cara E. Maggioni, Attorney, Telephone: (202) 662–5162. Application No.: 88–2A015. Date Deemed Submitted: November 13, 1998.

*Proposed Amendment:* FSEA seeks to amend its Certificate to:

- 1. Add Metal Management, Inc., Chicago, IL as a new "Member" of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)); and
- 2. Delete Michael Schiavone & Sons, Inc., North Haven, CT; and Schiavone-Bonomo Corporation, Jersey City, NJ as "Members" of the Certificate.

Dated: November 19, 1998.

#### Morton Schnabel,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 98–31444 Filed 11–24–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-I

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Institute of Standards and Technology

### 1999 Survey of Reference Materials

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment of proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 25, 1999. ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to Alim A. Fatah, Ph.D., National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Building 225, Room A323, Gaithersburg, MD 20899.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### 1. Abstract

In 1999, the Department of Justicesupported by NIST's Office of Law Enforcement Standards (OLES) will undertake a scientific study to determine the status, current need for, and use of standard reference materials (SRM) and standard reference collections (SRC) within the Nation's crime laboratories. The new study will build upon a 1977 study entitled "Standard Reference Collections of Forensic Science Materials: Status and