this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent electromagnetic interference (EMI) on the engine electronic module, which could cause the airplane engine to stop due to the interruption of the airplane's ignition system and result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Replace the engine electronic module, part number (P/N) 965 356 or an FAA-approved equivalent part number, with a new engine electronic module, P/N 965 358, in accordance with the Instructions section of the Bombardier-ROTAX Technical Bulletin No. 912–08, dated August 16, 1995.
- (b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

- (d) Questions or technical information related to Bombardier-ROTAX Technical Bulletin No. 912–08, dated August 16, 1995, should be directed to HOAC-Austria, N.A. Otto-StraBe 5, A–2700 Wiener. Neustadt, Austria. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri.
- (e) The replacement required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Bombardier-ROTAX Technical Bulletin No. 912–08, dated August 16, 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from HOAC-Austria, N.A. Otto-StraBe 5, A–2700 Wiener. Neustadt, Austria. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Austrian AD No. 84, dated October 4, 1995.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on January 4, 1999.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 10, 1998.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-30894 Filed 11-20-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-53-AD; Amendment 39-10893; AD 98-24-07]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; EXTRA Flugzeugbau GmbH Models EA-300, EA-300S, and EA-300L Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain EXTRA Flugzeugbau GmbH (EXTRA) Models EA-300, EA-300S, and EA-300L airplanes. This AD requires repetitively inspecting the rudder pedal for proper alignment, the safety control stop for wear and proper clearance, the rudder cables for elongation, and the rudder pedal footrest for cracks. This AD also requires correcting or replacing any discrepant part, as applicable. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the rudder

DATES: Effective December 28, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 28, 1998.

pedal footrest caused by overloading the

rudder pedal safety control stop, which

could result in loss of directional

control of the airplane.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from EXTRA Flugzeugbau GmbH, Flugplatz Dinslaken, D–46569 Hünxe, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone: (01 49 28 58) 91 37–30. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98–CE–53–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North

Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Karl Schletzbaum, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut Street, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain EXTRA Models EA-300, EA-300S, and EA-300L airplanes was published in the Federal Register as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on September 17, 1998 (63 FR 49675). The NPRM proposed to require repetitively inspecting the rudder pedal for proper alignment, the safety control stop for wear and proper clearance, the rudder cable for proper alignment, and the rudder pedal footrest for cracks. The NPRM also proposed to require correcting or replacing any discrepant part, as applicable. Accomplishment of the proposed actions as specified in the NPRM would be required in accordance with EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300-3-95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998.

The NPRM was the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 15 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 4 workhours per airplane to accomplish the inspections, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$3,600, or \$240 per airplane. These figures do not take into

account any corrective action that will be necessary after accomplishing the inspections.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action: (1) Is not a 'significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a ''significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration, amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

98-24-07 Extra Flugzeugbau GMBH:

Amendment 39–10893; Docket No. 98– CE–53–AD.

Applicability: The following models and serial numbers, certificated in any category:

Model and Serial Number

EA-300 All serial numbers, if factory equipped or retrofitted with the electric actuated rudder pedal adjustment that was produced prior to November 1995.

EA-300S 001 through 028 EA-300L 001 through 015

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (f) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as follows:

1. Inspections specified in this AD are required within the next 50 hours time-inservice (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 50 hours TIS

2. Replacements or other follow-on corrective actions specified in this AD are required prior to further flight after the inspection when the discrepancy was found.

To prevent failure of the rudder pedal footrest caused by overloading the rudder pedal safety control stop, which could result in loss of directional control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

- (a) Inspect the rudder pedal alignment in accordance with Figure 1 and Figure 2 and the Instructions Part I.1 section of EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300–3–95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998. If not aligned, prior to further flight, accomplish one of the following, as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin:
- (1) Re-rig the rudder cables to attain proper alignment; or
- (2) Replace the rudder cables if alignment cannot be attained.
- (b) For all airplanes equipped at manufacture with a safety control stop (See Note 2 of this AD), inspect the safety control stop for wear (rubbing, scrapes, etc.) in accordance with the Instructions Part I.2 section of EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300–3–95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998. If the safety control stop is worn, prior to further flight, replace the safety control stop and accomplish one of the following, as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin:
- (1) Re-rig the rudder cable if elongation of the cable is not evident; or
- (2) Replace the rudder cable if elongation of the cable is evident.

Note 2: The Model EA–300/S airplanes, serial numbers 001 through 011, were not factory equipped with a safety control stop.

(c) Inspect the footrest flange in the area of the safety wire hole for cracks in accordance with the Instructions Part I.3 section of EXTRA Service Bulletin

No. 300–3–95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998. If cracks are found, prior to further flight, replace the rudder pedal in accordance with the applicable maintenance manual or instructions obtained from the Small

Airplane Directorate at the address specified in paragraph (f) of this AD.

- (d) For all airplanes equipped at manufacture with a safety control stop (See Note 2 of this AD), inspect the safety control stop clearance in accordance with the Instructions Part I.4 and Instructions Part II section of EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300–3–95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998. If the clearance does not meet the minimum specified clearance, prior to further flight, accomplish one of the following, as applicable, in accordance with the service bulletin:
- (1) Adjust the foot rest to meet the required clearance if elongation of the cable is not evident; or
- (2) Replace the rudder cable if elongation of the cable is evident.
- (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (f) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

- (g) Questions or technical information related to EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300–3–95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998, should be directed to EXTRA Flugzeugbau GmbH, Flugplatz Dinslaken, D–46569 Hünxe, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone: (0 28 58) 91 37–00; facsimile: (0 28 58) 91 37–30. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
- (h) The inspections, modifications, or replacements required by this AD shall be done in accordance with EXTRA Service Bulletin No. 300-3-95, Issue: B, dated May 12, 1998. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from EXTRA Flugzeugbau GmbH, Flugplatz Dinslaken, D-46569 Hünxe, Federal Republic of Germany. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street. Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 4: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD No. 95–443 EXTRA, dated November 29, 1995.

(i) This amendment becomes effective on December 28, 1998.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 10, 1998.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98–31013 Filed 11–20–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-35-AD; Amendment 39-10898; AD 98-24-12]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Ursula Hanle Model H101 "Salto" Sailplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to all Ursula Hanle (Hanle) Model H101 "Salto" sailplanes. This AD requires replacing the airbrake lever with one of improved design. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent the airbrake from deploying during high g maneuvers, which could result in an overstressing effect on the airframe with consequent reduced sailplane control. DATES: Effective December 24, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 24, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Ursula Hanle, Haus Schwalbenwerder, D–14728 Strodehne, Federal Republic of Germany; telephone and facsimile: +49 (0) 33875–30389. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 98-CE-35-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to all Hanle Model H101 "Salto" sailplanes was published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on September 25, 1998 (63 FR 49307). The NPRM proposed to require replacing the airbrake lever made of sheet metal with one made of steel. Accomplishment of the proposed action as specified in the NPRM would be required in accordance with Ursula Hanle Technical Bulletin 101-25/2, dated January 21, 1998.

The NPRM was the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Compliance Time of This AD

Although the airbrake lever will only come out during flight in high g maneuvers, the unsafe condition specified in this AD is not a result of the number of times the sailplane is operated. The chance of this situation occurring is the same for a sailplane with 10 hours time-in-service (TIS) as it would be for a sailplane with 500 hours TIS. For this reason, the FAA has determined that a compliance based on calendar time should be utilized in this AD in order to assure that the unsafe condition is addressed on all sailplanes in a reasonable time period.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 8 sailplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 6 workhours per sailplane to accomplish this action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$295 per sailplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S.

operators is estimated to be \$5,240, or \$655 per sailplane.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES".

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

98–24–12 Ursula Hanle: Amendment 39–10898; Docket No. 98–CE–35–AD.

Applicability: Model H101 "Salto" sailplanes, all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the