Burkhart Grob Luft-und Raumfahrt, D–8939 Mattsies, Federal Republic of Germany. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(f) The inspection required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Grob Service Bulletin 1078-75, dated May 15, 1998. The repair required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Grob Installation Instructions No. 1078-75, dated May 15, 1998. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Burkhart Grob Luft-und Raumfahrt, D-8939 Mattsies, Federal Republic of Germany. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD 1998–299, dated June 4, 1998.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on December 28, 1998.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 10, 1998.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-30896 Filed 11-20-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 98-CE-103-AD; Amendment 39-10896; AD 98-24-10]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Stemme GmbH & Co. KG Model S10 Sailplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for

comments.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Stemme GmbH & Co. KG (Stemme) Model S10 sailplanes. This AD requires replacing the flap drive rocker, part number (P/N) 10SW-RMW, with a modified flap drive rocker. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Germany. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent failure of the flap drive rocker caused by the design of the original part, which could result in loss of lateral control and wing flap control with

consequent reduced and/or loss of sailplane control.

DATES: Effective December 9, 1998.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 9, 1998.

Comments for inclusion in the Rules Docket must be received on or before December 16, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 98–CE–103–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Stemme GmbH & Co. KG, Gustav-Meyer-Allee 25, D–13355 Berlin, Germany; telephone: 49.33.41.31.11.70; facsimile: 49.33.41.31.11.73. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 98–CE–103–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Mike Kiesov, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Stemme Model S10 sailplanes. The LBA reports that the flap drive rocker, P/N 10SW–RMW, is likely to fail due to fatigue. This was revealed following failure of a different part in the flight control system. The manufacturer then performed an analysis on other critical points, which revealed the flap drive rocker condition.

This condition, if not corrected, could result in failure of the flap drive rocker and loss of lateral control and wing flap control with consequent reduced and/or loss of sailplane control.

Relevant Service Information

Stemme has issued Service Bulletin No. A31–10–017, Amendment-Index 02.a, dated May 20, 1998, which specifies procedures for replacing the flap drive rocker, P/N 10SW–RMW, with a modified P/N 10SW–RMW flap

drive rocker. This service bulletin also specifies obtaining this modified part from the manufacturer.

The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German AD 1998–324, dated July 30, 1998, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these sailplanes in Germany.

The FAA's Determination

This sailplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above.

The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA; reviewed all available information, including the service information referenced above; and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of the Provisions of This

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop in other Stemme Model S10 sailplanes of the same type design, the FAA is issuing an AD. This AD requires replacing the flap drive rocker, P/N 10SW–RMW, with a modified P/N 10SW–RMW flap drive rocker. The actions are to be done in accordance with Stemme Service Bulletin No. A31–10–017, Amendment-Index 02.a, dated May 20, 1998.

Cost Impact

None of the Stemme Model S10 sailplanes affected by this action are on the U.S. Register. All sailplanes included in the applicability of this rule currently are operated by non-U.S. operators under foreign registry; therefore, they are not directly affected by this AD action. However, the FAA considers this rule necessary to ensure that the unsafe condition is addressed in the event that any of these subject sailplanes are imported and placed on the U.S. Register.

Should an affected sailplane be imported and placed on the U.S. Register, accomplishment of the required action would take approximately 5 workhours at an average labor rate of \$60 per workhour. Parts cost approximately \$200 per sailplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD would be

\$500 per sailplane that would become registered in the United States.

The Effective Date of This AD

Since this AD action does not affect any sailplane that is currently on the U.S. register, it has no adverse economic impact and imposes no additional burden on any person. Therefore, notice and public procedures hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

Comments Invited

Although this action is in the form of a final rule and was not preceded by notice and opportunity for public comment, comments are invited on this rule. Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified under the caption ADDRESSES. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered, and this rule may be amended in light of the comments received. Factual information that supports the commenter's ideas and suggestions is extremely helpful in evaluating the effectiveness of the AD action and determining whether additional rulemaking action would be

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the rule that might suggest a need to modify the rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report that summarizes each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this AD will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this rule must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 98–CE–103–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does

not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

98-24-10 STEMME GMBH & CO. KG:

Amendment 39–10896; Docket No. 98–CE–103–AD.

Applicability: Model S10 sailplanes, serial numbers 10–03 through 10–26, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each sailplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For sailplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent failure of the flap drive rocker caused by the design of the original part, which could result in loss of lateral control and wing flap control with consequent reduced and/or loss of sailplane control, accomplish the following:

- (a) Prior to further flight after the effective date of this AD, replace the flap drive rocker, part number (P/N) 10SW-RMW, with a modified flap drive rocker, in accordance with Stemme Installation Instruction No. A34–10–017-E, Amendment-Index 01.a, dated August 10, 1998, as referenced in Stemme Service Bulletin No. A31–10–017, Amendment-Index 02.a, dated May 20, 1998.
- (b) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the sailplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Small Airplane Directorate.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Small Airplane Directorate.

- (d) Questions or technical information related to Stemme Service Bulletin No. A31–10–017, Amendment-Index 02.a, dated May 20, 1998, should be directed to Stemme GmbH & Co. KG, Gustav-Meyer-Allee 25, D–13355 Berlin, Germany; telephone: 49.33.41.31.11.70; facsimile: 49.33.41.31.11.73. This service information may be examined at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.
- (e) The replacement required by this AD shall be done in accordance with Stemme Installation Instruction No. A34-10-017-E, Amendment-Index 01.a, dated August 10, 1998, as referenced in Stemme Service Bulletin No. A31-10-017, Amendment-Index 02.a, dated May 20, 1998. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Stemme GmbH & Co. KG, Gustav-Meyer-Allee 25, D-13355 Berlin, Germany. Čopies may be inspected at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri, or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in German AD 1998–324, dated July 30, 1998.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on December 9, 1998.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on November 10, 1998.

Michael Gallagher,

Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 98-30895 Filed 11-20-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-CE-83-AD; Amendment 39-10891; AD 98-24-05]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; HOAC-Austria Model DV-20 Katana Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain HOAC-Austria (HOAC) Model DV-20 airplanes equipped with ROTAX 912 A3 engines. This AD requires replacing the engine electronic modules. This AD is the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Austria. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent electromagnetic interference (EMI) on the engine electronic module, which could cause the airplane engine to stop due to the interruption of the airplane's ignition system and result in loss of control of the airplane.

DATES: Effective January 4, 1999.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of January 4, 1000

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from HOAC-Austria, N.A. Otto-StraBe 5, A-2700 Wiener, Neustadt, Austria. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97–CE–83–AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Roger Chudy, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 1201 Walnut, suite 900, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 426–6934; facsimile: (816) 426–2169.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Events Leading to the Issuance of This AD

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an AD that would apply to certain HOAC Model DV-20 airplanes equipped with ROTAX 912 A3 engines was published in the Federal **Register** as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) on August 25, 1998 (63 FR 45189). The NPRM proposed to require replacing the electronic ignition module with one of improved design. Accomplishment of the proposed action as specified in the NPRM would be in accordance with Bombardier-ROTAX Technical Bulletin No. 912–08, dated August 16, 1995.

The NPRM was the result of mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by the airworthiness authority for Austria.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were received on the proposed rule or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

The FAA's Determination

After careful review of all available information related to the subject presented above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed except for minor editorial corrections. The FAA has determined that these minor corrections will not change the meaning of the AD and will not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed.

Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 20 airplanes in the U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 workhour per airplane to accomplish this action, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts cost approximately \$5,600 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$113,200 or \$5,660 per airplane.

The manufacturer has informed the FAA that all of the affected airplanes registered in the U.S. have accomplished this action, therefore, the estimated cost impact of this AD on U.S. operators is eliminated.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the final evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD) to read as follows:

98–24–05 HOAC-Austria: Amendment 39–10891; Docket No. 97–CE–83–AD.

Applicability: Model DV–20 Katana airplanes, certificated in any category, equipped with ROTAX 912–A3 series engines having serial numbers 4,076.064 through 4,380.753.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by