

Tract 39, lots 6, and 8;  
 Tract 40, lots 7, 9 to 11, inclusive, 14, and 15;  
 Sec. 19, lots 7, 8, 13, and 14;  
 Sec. 20, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 30, lots 7, 8, 13, and 14;  
 Sec. 31, lots 7, 8, 15, and 16.  
 T. 5 N., R. 89 W., 6th P.M.  
 Sec. 3, lots 19 to 24, inclusive;  
 Tract 42, lots 17, 18, 25, and 27;  
 Tract 45, lot 26;  
 Sec. 4, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 9, lots 1, 2, and 7 to 10, inclusive;  
 Sec. 10, lots 2 to 15, inclusive;  
 Tract 45, lot 1;  
 Sec. 11, lots 6, 8, 10, and 14 to 19, inclusive;  
 Tract 37, lots 3 to 5, inclusive, 7, 9, 11, 12, and 20;  
 Tract 47, lots 13, and 21;  
 Sec. 12, lots 4 to 6, inclusive, and 9 to 11, inclusive;  
 Tract 47, lots 7, and 8;  
 Sec. 13, lots 1 to 3, inclusive, and 5 to 17, inclusive;  
 Tract 47, lot 4;  
 Sec. 14, lots 2, 3, and 5 to 17, inclusive;  
 Tract 47, lot 1;  
 Tract 52, lots 4, and 10;  
 Sec. 15, lots 1 to 16, inclusive;  
 Sec. 16, lots 3, 4, 9, and 10, inclusive;  
 Sec. 21, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 22, all;  
 Sec. 23, all;  
 Sec. 24, all;  
 Sec. 25, all;  
 Sec. 26, all;  
 Sec. 27, all;  
 Sec. 28, all;  
 Sec. 33, N $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 34, N $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 35, N $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 36, all.  
 T. 6 N., R. 88 W., 6th P.M.  
 Sec. 32, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 33, N $\frac{1}{2}$ , and N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 Sec. 34, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ;  
 Sec. 35, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ .  
 Containing approximately 14, 785.64 acres, more or less.

The coal resource to be offered is limited to coal recoverable by underground mining methods.

The purpose of the hearing is to obtain public comments on the environmental assessment and on the following items:

- (1) The method of mining to be employed to obtain maximum economic recovery of the coal,
- (2) The impact that mining the coal in the proposed leasehold may have on the area, and
- (3) The methods of determining the fair market value of the coal to be offered.

Written requests to testify orally at the December 9, 1998, public hearing should be received at the Little Snake Field Office prior to the close of business December 9, 1998. Those who

indicate they wish to testify when they register at the hearing may have an opportunity if time is available.

In addition, the public is invited to submit written comments concerning the fair market value and maximum economic recovery of the coal resource. Public comments will be utilized in establishing fair market value for the coal resource in the described lands. Comments should address specific factors related to fair market value including, but not limited to:

1. The quality and quantity of the coal resource.
2. The price that the mined coal would bring in the market place.
3. The coast of producing the coal.
4. The interest rate at which anticipated income streams would be discounted.
5. Depreciation and other accounting factors.
6. The mining method or methods which would achieve maximum economic recovery of the coal.
7. Documented information on the terms and conditions of recent and similar coal land transactions in the lease area, and
8. Any comparable sales data of similar coal lands.

Should any information submitted as comments be considered to be proprietary by the commenter, the information should be labeled as such and stated in the first page of the submission. Written comments on the environmental assessment, maximum economic recovery, and fair market value should be sent to the Little Snake Field Office at the above address prior to close of business on December 23, 1998.

Substantive comments, whether written or oral, will receive equal consideration prior to any lease offering.

The Draft Environmental Assessment and Maximum Economic Recovery Report are available from the Little Snake Field Office upon request. A copy of the draft Environmental Assessment, the Maximum Economic Recovery Report, the case file, and the comments submitted by the public, except those portions identified as proprietary by the commenter and meeting exemptions stated in the Freedom of Information Act, will be available for public inspection at the Colorado State Office, 2850 Youngfield, Lakewood, Colorado, 80215.

Dated: November 10, 1998.

**Karen A. Purvis,**  
*Solid Minerals Team, Resource Services.*  
 [FR Doc. 98-30971 Filed 11-18-98; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 4310-JB-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### General Management Plan, Environmental Impact Statement, Fort Davis National Historic, Texas

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan, Fort Davis National Historic Site.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Park Service is preparing an environmental impact statement for the General Management Plan for Fort Davis National Historic Site. This statement will be approved by the Regional Director, Intermountain Region.

The plan is needed to guide the protection and preservation of the natural and cultural environments considering a variety of interpretive and recreational visitor experiences that enhance the enjoyment and understanding of the park resources.

The effort will result in a comprehensive general management plan that encompasses preservation of natural and cultural resources, visitor use and interpretation, roads, and facilities. In cooperation with local and national interests, attention will also be given to resources outside the boundaries that affect the integrity of park resources. Alternatives to be considered include no-action, the preferred alternative, and other alternatives addressing the following questions:

How can we best protect what is important for preserving the park and providing for visitor use for present and future generations?

What level and type of use is appropriate to meet the purpose and significance of the park?

What facilities are needed to meet the mission goals of the park regarding park operations, visitor use and interpretation, natural resource management, and partnerships?

What boundary adjustments have been made that are feasible to the National Park Service and enhance and support the purpose and significance of the park?

A scoping brochure has been prepared outlining the issues identified to date. After, December 15, 1998, copies of that information can be obtained at the general management plan website: <http://www.nps.gov/planning/foda> or from, Superintendent, Fort Davis National Historic Site, P.O. Box 1456, Fort Davis,

Texas 79734. The comment period for issue identification will close on February 15, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Contact Superintendent, Jerry Yarbrough, Fort Davis National Historic Site, (915) 426-3224, ext. 11.

Dated: October 28, 1998.

**John A. King,**

*Regional Director, Intermountain Region.*

[FR Doc. 98-30959 Filed 11-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### General Management Plan; Pinnacles National Monument, California; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service will prepare a General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for Pinnacles National Monument, California and initiate the scoping process for this document. This notice is in accordance with 40 CFR 1501.7 and 40 CFR 1508.22, of the regulations of the President's Council on Environmental Quality for the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91-190.

**BACKGROUND:** The purpose of the GMP/EIS will be to state the management philosophy for the park and provide strategies for addressing major issues facing the area. Two types of strategies will be presented in the GMP: (1) Those required to manage and preserve cultural and natural resources; and (2) those required to provide for safe, accessible and appropriate use of those resources by visitors. Based on these strategies, the GMP will identify the programs, actions and support facilities needed for their implementation.

Persons wishing to comment or express concerns on the management issues and future management direction of Pinnacles National Monument should address these to the Superintendent, Pinnacles National Monument, 5000 Highway 146, Paicines, California 95043-9770. Questions regarding the plan should be addressed to the superintendent either by mail to the above address, or by telephone at (831) 389-4485. Comments on the scoping of the proposed GMP/EIS should be received no later than December 15, 1998.

Public scoping meetings to receive comments and suggestions on the plan will be held in November and December in communities in the vicinity of the park. The time and location of these

meetings will be announced in the local and regional media.

The responsible official is John J. Reynolds, Regional Director, Pacific West Region, National Park Service. The draft GMP/EIS is expected to be available for public review in January, 2000, and the final GMP/EIS and Record of Decision completed in mid-summer 2000.

Dated: November 4, 1998.

**John Reynolds,**

*Regional Director, Pacific West Region.*

[FR Doc. 98-30960 Filed 11-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Death Valley National Park; Advisory Commission; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Commission Act that a meeting of the Death Valley National Park Advisory Commission will be held December 1 and 2, 1998; assemble at 8:30 a.m. at the Quality Inn, 1520 East Main Street, Barstow, California on December 1; assemble at 8:30 a.m. at the Board Room, Barstow College, 2700 Barstow Road, Barstow, California on December 2.

The main agenda will include:

- Overview of the General Management Plan (GMP).
- Discussion of GMP alternatives.
- Items for Discussion at Upcoming Meetings.
- Election of Commission Chair and Vice-Chair.

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 03-433 to provide for the advice on development and implementation of the General Management Plan.

Members of the Commission are Janice Allen, Kathy Davis, Michael Dorame, Mark Ellis, Pauline Esteves, Stanley Haye, Sue Hickman, Cal Jepson, Joan Lolmaugh, Gary O'Connor, Alan Peckham, Michael Prather, Robert Revert, Wayne Schulz, and Gilbert Zimmerman.

This meeting is open to the public.

**Marian O'Dea,**

*Acting Superintendent, Death Valley National Park.*

[FR Doc. 98-30961 Filed 11-18-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-399]

#### General Agreement on Trade in Services: Examination of the Schedules of Commitments Submitted by African Trading Partners

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and scheduling of public hearing.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 6, 1998.

**SUMMARY:** Following receipt on October 15, 1998, of a request from the Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR), the Commission instituted investigation No. 332-399, General Agreement on Trade in Services: Examination of the Schedules of Commitments Submitted by African Trading Partners, under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1332(g)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:** Information on service industries may be obtained from Mr. Richard Brown, Office of Industries (202-205-3438) and Mr. William Chadwick, Office of Industries (202-205-3390); economic aspects, from Mr. Hugh Arce, Office of Economics (202-205-3234); and legal aspects, from Mr. William Gearhart, Office of the General Counsel (202-205-3091). The media should contact Ms. Margaret O'Laughlin, Office of External Relations (202-205-1819). Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on (202-205-1810).

#### Background

As requested by the USTR in a letter dated October 9, 1998, the Commission, pursuant to section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, has instituted an investigation and will prepare a report that (1) examines the content of schedules of commitments under the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) for the countries specified below, explaining the commitments in non-technical language; and (2) seeks to identify the potential benefits and limitations of foreign commitments. The Commission will examine sector-specific commitments scheduled by Côte D'Ivoire, Egypt, Ghana, Kenya, Malawi, Mauritius, Morocco, Nigeria, Senegal, South Africa, Tunisia, Zambia, and Zimbabwe, with respect to the following industries:

- Distribution services (defined as wholesaling, retailing, and franchising services);