and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities."

Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This action does not involve or impose any requirements that affect Indian Tribes. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

#### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co., v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

#### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that

may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 19, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Municipal waste combustors, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: November 4, 1998.

#### A. Stanley Meiburg,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

40 CFR part 62 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 62—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7642.

#### Subpart B—Alabama

2. Part 62.100 is amended by adding paragraphs (b)(4) and (c)(4) to read as follows:

#### § 62.100 Identification of plan.

\* \* \*

- (b) \* \* \*
- (4) State of Alabama Plan for Implementation of 40 CFR part 60, Subpart Cb, For Existing Municipal Waste Combustors, submitted on September 11, 1998, by the Alabama Department of Environmental Management.
  - (c) \* \* \*
- (4) Existing municipal waste combustors.
- 3. Subpart B is amended by adding a new § 62.104 and a new undesignated center heading to read as follows:

Metals, Acid Gases, Organic Compounds and Nitrogen Oxide Emissions From Existing Municipal Waste Combustors With the Capacity To Combust Greater Than 250 Tons Per Day of Municipal Solid Waste

#### §62.104 Identification of sources.

The plan applies to existing facilities with a municipal waste combustor (MWC) unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste (MSW) at the following MWC sites:

- (a) Solid Waste Disposal Authority of the City of Huntsville MWC, Huntsville, Alabama.
  - (b) [Reserved]

[FR Doc. 98–30602 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 63

[FRL-6175-2]

Delegation of National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories; State of Arizona; Pinal County Air Quality Control District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to delegate the authority to implement and enforce specific national

emission standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) to the Pinal County Air Quality Control District (PCAQCD) in Arizona. The preamble outlines the process that PCAQCD will use to receive delegation of any future NESHAP, and identifies the NESHAP categories to be delegated by today's action. EPA has reviewed PCAQCD's request for delegation and has found that this request satisfies all of the requirements necessary to qualify for approval. Thus, EPA is hereby granting PCAQCD the authority to implement and enforce the unchanged NESHAP categories listed in this rule.

DATES: This rule is effective on January 19, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comments by December 18, 1998. If EPA receives such comment, it will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that this rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Written comments must be submitted to Andrew Steckel at the Region IX office listed below. Copies of the request for delegation and other supporting documentation are available for public inspection (docket number A–96–25) at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), Air Division, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mae Wang, Rulemaking Office (AIR-4), Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901, (415) 744–1200.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

Section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA), authorizes EPA to delegate to state or local air pollution control agencies the authority to implement and enforce the standards set out in 40 CFR Part 63, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. On November 26, 1993, EPA promulgated regulations, codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart E (hereinafter referred to as "Subpart E"), establishing procedures for EPA's approval of state rules or programs under section 112(l) (see 58 FR 62262).

Any request for approval under CAA section 112(l) must meet the approval criteria in 112(l)(5) and 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart E. To streamline the approval process for future applications, a state or local agency may submit a one-time demonstration that it has adequate authorities and resources to implement and enforce any CAA section 112

standards. If such demonstration is approved, then the state or local agency would no longer need to resubmit a demonstration of these same authorities and resources for every subsequent request for delegation of CAA section 112 standards. However, EPA maintains the authority to withdraw its approval if the State does not adequately implement or enforce an approved rule or program.

On October 30, 1996, EPA approved the Pinal County Air Quality Control District's (PCAQCD's) program for accepting delegation of section 112 standards that are unchanged from Federal standards as promulgated (see 61 FR 55910). The approved program reflects an adequate demonstration by PCAQCD of general resources and authorities to implement and enforce section 112 standards. However, formal delegation for an individual standard does not occur until PCAQCD obtains the necessary regulatory authority to implement and enforce that particular standard, and EPA approves PCAQCD's formal delegation request for that standard.

PCAQCD informed EPA that it intends to obtain the regulatory authority necessary to accept delegation of section 112 standards by incorporating section 112 standards into the Pinal County Air Quality Control District Code of Regulations. The details of this delegation mechanism are set forth in a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between PCAQCD and EPA, and are available for public inspection at the U.S. EPA Region IX office (docket No. A–96–25).

On August 18, 1998, PCAQCD requested delegation for several individual section 112 standards that have been incorporated by reference into the Pinal County Air Quality Control District Code of Regulations. The standards that are being delegated by today's action are listed in a table at the end of this rule.

## II. EPA Action

### A. Delegation for Specific Standards

After reviewing PCAQCD's request for delegation of various national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs), EPA has determined that this request meets all the requirements necessary to qualify for approval under CAA section 112(l) and 40 CFR 63.91. Accordingly, PCAQCD is granted the authority to implement and enforce the requested NESHAPs. These delegations will be effective on January 19, 1999. A table of the NESHAP categories that will be delegated to PCAQCD is shown at the end of this rule. Although PCAQCD will

have primary implementation and enforcement responsibility, EPA retains the right, pursuant to CAA section 112(l)(7), to enforce any applicable emission standard or requirement under CAA section 112. In addition, EPA does not delegate any authorities that require implementation through rulemaking in the **Federal Register**, or where Federal overview is the only way to ensure national consistency in the application of the standards or requirements of CAA section 112.

After a state or local agency has been delegated the authority to implement and enforce a NESHAP, the delegated agency becomes the primary point of contact with respect to that NESHAP. Pursuant to 40 CFR sections 63.9(a)(4)(ii) and 63.10(a)(4)(ii), EPA Region IX waives the requirement that notifications and reports for delegated standards be submitted to EPA as well as to PCAQCD.

In its August 18, 1998 request, PCAQCD included a request for delegation of the regulations implementing CAA section 112(i)(5), codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart D. These requirements apply to state or local agencies that have a permit program approved under title V of the Act (see 40 CFR 63.70). PCAQCD received final interim approval of its title V operating permits program on October 30, 1996 (see 61 FR 55910). State or local agencies implementing the requirements under Subpart D do not need approval under section 112(l). Therefore, EPA is not taking action to delegate 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart D to PCAQCD.

PCAQCD also included a request for delegation of the regulations implementing CAA sections 112(g) and 112(j), codified at 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart B. These requirements apply to major sources only, and need not be delegated under the section 112(l) approval process. When promulgating the regulations implementing section 112(g), EPA stated its view that "the Act directly confers on the permitting authority the obligation to implement section 112(g) and to adopt a program which conforms to the requirements of this rule. Therefore, the permitting authority need not apply for approval under section 112(l) in order to use its own program to implement section 112(g)" (see 61 FR 68397). Similarly, when promulgating the regulations implementing section 112(j), EPA stated its belief that "section 112(l) approvals do not have a great deal of overlap with the section 112(j) provision, because section 112(j) is designed to use the title V permit process as the primary vehicle for establishing requirements" (see 59

FR 26447). Therefore, state or local agencies implementing the requirements under sections 112(g) and 112(j) do not need approval under section 112(l). As a result, EPA is not taking action to delegate 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart B to PCAQCD.

# B. Delegation Mechanism for Future Standards

Today's document serves to notify the public of the details of PCAQCD's procedure for receiving delegation of future NESHAPs. As set forth in the MOA, PCAQCD intends to incorporate by reference, into the Pinal County Air Quality Control District Code of Regulations, each newly promulgated NESHAP for which it intends to seek delegation. PCAQCD will then submit a letter to EPA Region IX, along with proof of regulatory authority, requesting delegation for each individual NESHAP. Region IX will respond in writing that delegation is either granted or denied. If a request is approved, the delegation of authorities will be considered effective upon the date of the response letter from Region IX. Periodically, EPA will publish in the Federal Register a listing of the standards that have been delegated. Although EPA reserves its right, pursuant to 40 CFR section 63.96, to review the appropriateness of any future delegation request, EPA will not institute any additional comment periods on these future delegation actions. Any parties interested in commenting on this procedure for delegating future unchanged NESHAPs should do so at this time.

#### C. Opportunity for Public Comment

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the Proposed Rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal for this action should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective January 19, 1999 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by December 18, 1998.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the **Federal Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this rule. Any parties interested in commenting on this rule should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on January 19,

1999 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule.

#### III. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Orders 12866 and 13045

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

This final rule is not subject to E.O. 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks," because it is not an "economically significant" action under E.O. 12866.

#### B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions.

This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because delegations of authority to implement and enforce unchanged Federal standards under section 112(l) of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply transfer primary implementation authorities to the State. Therefore, because this action does not impose any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### C. Unfunded Mandates

Under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to a private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the delegation action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either state, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under state or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# D. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major" rule as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

### E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 19, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

**Authority:** This action is issued under the authority of Section 112 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 7412.

Date Signed: September 28, 1998.

#### David P. Howekamp,

Director, Air Division, Region IX.

Title 40, chapter I, part 63 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 63—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

#### Subpart E—Approval of State Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities

2. Section 63.99 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(3) to read as follows:

### § 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

(a) \* \* \*

(3) Arizona. The following table lists the specific Part 63 standards that have been delegated unchanged to the air pollution control agencies in the State of Arizona. The (X) symbol is used to indicate each category that has been delegated.

### DELEGATION STATUS FOR PART 63 STANDARDS—ARIZONA

Subpart	Description	ADEQ 1	MCESD <sup>2</sup>	PDEQ3	PCAQCD4
Α	General Provisions	Х			X
F	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry	X			X
G	Synthetic Organic Chemical Manufacturing Industry: Process Vents,	X			X
	Storage Vessels, Transfer Operations, and Wastewater.				
Н	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Equipment Leaks	X			X
1	Organic Hazardous Air Pollutants: Certain Processes Subject to the	X			X
	Negotiated Regulation for Equipment Leaks.				
L	Coke Oven Batteries	X			X
M	Perchloroethylene Dry Cleaning	X			X
N	Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anod-	X			X
	izing Tanks.				
O	Ethylene Oxide Sterilization Facilities	X			X
Q	Industrial Process Cooling Towers	X			X
R	Gasoline Distribution Facilities	X			X
T	Halogenated Solvent Cleaning	X			X
U	Group I Polymers and Resins	X			X
W	Epoxy Resins Production and Non-Nylon Polyamides Production	X			X
Χ	Secondary Lead Smelting	X			X
CC	Petroleum Refineries	X			X
DD	Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations	X			X
EE	Magnetic Tape Manufacturing Operations	X			X
GG	Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities	X			X
JJ	Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations	X			X
KK	Printing and Publishing Industry	X			X
00	Tanks—Level 1	X			X
PP	Containers	X			X
QQ	Surface Impoundments	X			X
RR	Individual Drain Systems	X			X
VV	Oil-Water Separators and Organic-Water Separators				X
JJJ	Group IV Polymers and Resins	X			X

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arizona Department of Environmental Quality.

<sup>4</sup> Pinal County Air Quality Control District.

[FR Doc. 98–30722 Filed 11–17–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 36, 54 and 69

[CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-160; FCC 98-279]

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Forward-Looking Mechanism for High Cost Support for Non-Rural Local Exchange Carriers

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In this Order, we select a platform for the federal mechanism to

estimate non-rural carriers' forwardlooking cost to provide the supported services. The model platform we adopt combines the best elements from each of the three models currently in the record. The model platform we adopt will allow the Commission to estimate the cost of building a telephone network to serve subscribers in their actual geographic locations, to the extent known. To the extent that telephone companies cannot supply the actual geographic location of the customer, the model platform assumes that those customers are located near roads. The model also allows the Commission to adjust engineering assumptions to reflect any evolution in the definition of supported services, and to assure that the model assumes a network architecture that will not impede rural Americans' ability to use the internet and other advanced

telecommunications and information services. As such, we believe the federal model platform we adopt will serve as a solid foundation for further decisions that will determine the amount of universal service support to be provided to non-rural eligible telecommunications carriers.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 18, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chuck Keller, Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 418–7400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Fifth Report and Order in CC Docket Nos. 96–45 and 97–160, adopted October 22, 1998 and released October 28, 1998. The full text is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Maricopa County Environmental Services Départment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Pima County Department of Environmental Quality.