public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are requested.

Comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility:

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected: and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the COPS Office, PPSE Division, 1100 Vermont Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001. Comments also may be submitted to the COPS Office via facsimile to 202–633-1386. In addition, comments may be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC, 20503. Comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: New collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Regional Community Policing Institute Surveys: Pre-Test and Post-test.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Form: COPS 30/01. Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: A sample of local law enforcement officers and community members receiving training on community policing from COPS funded RCPI will be surveyed regarding their attitudes toward the RCPI training experience and the impact of training on the delivery of police services and

police-citizen relations. The surveys will also capture information on the respondents' training histories, including training taken prior to RCPI participation and a description of the RCPI training program in which they

To uphold its mandate to enhance and advance community policing and to foster training and education on community policing, the COPS Office has provided continued funding to 30 Regional Community Policing Institutes (RCPI). The RCPIs are a mechanism to provide training and technical assistance on community policing to law enforcement agencies and the communities they serve. RCPIs are charged with providing comprehensive and innovative education, training, and technical assistance to COPS grantees and other departments throughout a designated region. The geographic distribution of RCPIs has resulted in the availability of training to law enforcement agencies and communities throughout the nation.

Innovations in traditional training methods are necessary to continue the advancement of community policing in law enforcement agencies throughout the United States. In turn, it is necessary to understand and document the impact of these innovative training programs. The evaluation of the RCPI program will provide vital information on the impact of these training endeavors by closely examining the outcomes of training programs and by assessing police officer and community members' attitudes and behaviors related to the training opportunities. The Regional Community Policing Institute Surveys: Pre-test and Post-test will provide essential information on the impact of training on the behavior and attitudes of police officers and sample of citizen trainees. The pre-test survey will be administered to officers and community members prior to receiving training from RCPI and the post-test will be administered to the same group of trainees three months after they receive training.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: This collection includes pre and post-test surveys. Approximately 3,000 respondents will be surveyed pre and post. Estimated time to complete each survey is 45 minutes with no preparation time.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Approximately 4,500 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and

Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G street, NW, Washington, DC 20530

Dated: November 9, 1998.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 98-30408 Filed 11-12-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA")

Notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree ("Decree") in United States versus ANRFS Holdings, Inc., et al, Civil Action No. 98-0400-E-BLW, was lodged on October 9, 1998, with the United States District Court for the District of Idaho.

The complaint and amended complaints filed in the above-referenced matter allege that defendants ANRFS Holdings, Inc.; FMC Corporation; J.R. Simplot Company; Lucent Technologies, Inc.; Monsanto Company; and Terteling Company, Inc. (together "Settling Defendants") are jointly and severally liable for the United States' response costs at the McCarty's/Pacific Hide and Fur Superfund Site ("Site") in Pocatello, Idaho, pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a).

The Site is comprised of 17 acres located in northwest Pocatello, Idaho that as used as part of a gravel mining operation as early as 1949, and as a metal salvaging yard beginning in the late 1950s and continuing until approximately 1979. Metal was salvaged at the Site from many sources, including transformers, which were stored in and around a gravel pit ("Pit") in the southwest corner of the Site. Lead from lead-acid batteries was also salvaged at the Site. As a result of these activities, the Site was contaminated with lead and polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs"), which are hazardous substances within the meaning of CERCLA, and the United States incurred response costs responding to the release or threat of release of these hazardous substances at the Site.

Under the proposed Decree, Settling Defendants shall pay the United States approximately \$1.25 million towards the United States' approximately \$3.2 million in unreimbursed response costs at the Site. In exchange, the Decree provides Settling Defendants a covenant not to sue under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606–9607.

Sampling shows that the entire Site is now cleaned to residential levels.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and should refer to *United States* versus *ANRFS Holdings, Inc., et al,* DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–47B.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, District of Idaho, P.O. Box 32, Boise, Idaho, 83707, (208) 334-1211; the Region X Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101, (206) 553-1796; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. In requesting a copy of the Decree, with all attachments, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$41.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. In requesting a copy of the Decree without the attachments, please enclose a check in the amount of \$6.75.

Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–30422 Filed 11–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On October 29, 1998, the United States lodged a proposed consent decree in the case of *United States v. Campbell Soup Co. and Silgan Can Co.*, Civil Action No. S–95–1854 (E.D. Cal.), with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.

The proposed consent decree resolves claims that the United States asserted against Campbell Soup Company and Silgan Can Company in a civil lawsuit first filed on October 6, 1995. The complaint in this case alleges that Campbell constructed or modified and then operated can manufacturing equipment at its facility located at 6200 Franklin Blvd. in Sacramento,

California, without complying with the Clean Air Act, the state implementation plan, or with permits issued by the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District. Coatings and other compounds used in the can manufacturing process emit Volatile Organic Compounds ("VOCs") into the atmosphere, which creates ground level ozone and smog. Among other things, the United States' lawsuit alleges that Campbell operated without permits, failed to limit VOC emissions with Best Available Control Technology ("BACT"), and failed to provide offsets for the VOC emissions from its modified machinery.

On June 2, 1998, Silgan took over operation of the can manufacturing facility from Campbell, and our complaint alleges that Silgan operated and is operating the facility with many of the same violations committed by Campbell. Campbell and Silgan have informed the United States that they intend to replace the equipment at issue in our complaint with a new can manufacturing line that incorporates BACT, resulting in the permanent shutdown of the machinery at issue in our lawsuit by August 1, 2000.

The proposed Consent Decree requires Campbell to pay a civil penalty of \$1,215,000, requires Defendants to cease operating all sources of VOC emissions at the three-piece can facility by August 1, 2000, requires Defendants to limit VOC emissions from the facility prior to August 1, 2000, and requires Defendants to transfer Emission Reduction Credits to Environmental Resources Trust, a non-profit organization.

The Department of Justice will accept comments relating to this Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. See 28 CFR 50.7. Address your comments to the Assistant Attorney General for the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20530, and send a copy to Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105. Your comments should refer to U.S. v. Campbell Soup Co. and Silgan Can Co., Civil No. S-95-1854 (E.D. Cal.), and DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-1971.

You may examine the proposed consent decree at the office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of California, 555 Capitol Mall, Suite 1550, Sacramento, California 95814; or at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, NW, 3rd Floor, Washington, DC 20005. You may also obtain a copy of the consent decree in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library. Your

request for a copy of the consent decree in *U.S.* v. *Campbell Soup Co. and Silgan Can Co.* should refer to that case title, Civil No. S–95–1854 (E.D. Cal.), and DOJ No. 90–5–2–1–1971, and must include a check for \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the "Consent Decree Library."

Joel Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 98–30420 Filed 11–12–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On October 23, 1998, the United States lodged a proposed consent decree in the case of *United States* v. *Guam Power Authority*, Civil Action No. 97–00030 (D. Guam), with the United States District Court for the Territory of Guam.

The proposed consent decree resolves claims that the United States asserted against Guam Power Authority ("GPA") in a civil complaint filed on April 29, 1997. The filed complaint alleges that GPA failed to burn low-sulfur fuel-oil at its Cabras-Piti area electricity generating plants when required, submitted late reports to EPA, and violated other monitoring and reporting requirements contained in a waiver that EPA issued to GPA under section 325 of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7425–1.

Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7425–1.

The proposed Consent Decree requires GPA to comply with the waiver requirements, to pay a civil penalty of \$170,000, and to spend at least \$800,000 on a Supplemental Environmental Project that will automate GPA's fuelswitching operations and reduce sulfur emissions from GPA's Cabrias-Piti plants.

The Department of Justice will accept comments relating to this Consent Decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. See 28 C.F.R. 50.7. Address your comments to the Assistant Attorney General for the **Environment and Natural Resources** Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and send a copy to Environmental Enforcement Section, U.S. Department of Justice, 301 Howard Street, Suite 870, San Francisco, CA 94105. Your comments should refer to U.S. v. Guam Power Authority, Civil No. 97-00030 (D. Guam), and DOJ No. 90-5-2-1-2060.

You may examine the proposed consent decree at the office of the United States Attorney, Territory of Guam, Suite 502–A, Pacific News Bldg., 238 Archbishop Flores Street, Agana,