term management direction for the Northern Sierra area. The proposed action is needed because existing guidance is more than a decade old. That guidance does not reflect the substantial additions to the National Forest System in the area, the rapidly growing and diversifying population, or the advances in science that have occurred over the last decade. Four alternatives have been prepared to address the topics outlined below. A preferred alternative will be selected during the preparation of the drafts EIS based on public comments from this scoping process and the analysis of environmental impacts of the alternatives.

## **Amendment Topics**

Based on the analysis of the existing direction, monitoring and evaluation of resource conditions, and public comments, the following topics have been identified as having a need for change in management direction. Heritage Resources, American Indian Religious and Cultural Use, Watershed Protection, Species and Ecosystem Viability, Roadless/Wilderness Area Management, Wild and Scenic River Suitability, Access, Transportation, Recreation, Visual Resources, Fire and Smoke Management, Forest Products Management, Livestock Grazing Management, Mining, and Land Adjustment.

#### **Potential Alternatives**

These alternatives are preliminary only and will be refined through the public scoping process. While alternatives may vary in emphases, management activities would occur within the framework of the Forest Service Natural Resources Agenda. The agenda emphasizes watershed protection, ecosystem management, recreation and road management.

Alternative A emphasizes public recreational access to Forest System lands. It protects scenic quality as a backdrop that enhances the quality of life for residents and visitors. Alternative B emphasizes public access, commercial services, and high diversity of multiple uses. It provides for local economic diversity through forest product development, forage utilization, outfitter guides, recreational facilities, and motorized recreational opportunities. Alternative C emphasizes ecological restoration including the protection, maintenance, and restoration of watershed, riparian areas, and ecosystem viability. Alternative D emphasizes sustainable multiple use to meet current and future needs and expectations of local communities and

the American public. It encourages cooperative partnerships and collaborative stewardship of the National Forest.

#### **Public Comments on the Draft EIS**

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement is expected to be available for public review and comment in November, 1999. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be at least 90 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under the FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets. The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. Reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978) Environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments are made available to the Forest Service

when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be specific and refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: November 4, 1998.

#### Gloria E. Flora,

Forest Supervisor, Humboldt-Toiyabe National Forest.

[FR Doc. 98–30062 Filed 11–9–98; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

Keystone Resort, Jones Gulch Development; White River National Forest, Summit County, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

**SUMMARY:** The USDA, Forest Service will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to disclose the environmental effects from the development of the Jones Gulch ski pod at Keystone Resort. Development will be confined to Keystone Resort's existing Special Use Permit boundary. The proposed actions to construct lifts, trails, snowmaking, and associated service roads are being considered together because they represent either connected or cumulative actions as defined by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1508.25).

The Forest Service is evaluating a proposal, submitted by Keystone Resort, which is consistent with forest management direction for ski areas. The purposes of the project are to address the following needs: (1) To improve skier/snowboarder distribution and reduce trail densities on the front side of Keystone Mountain by providing additional intermediate and advanced terrain on the front side of Keystone Mountain; (2) to improve ingress and egress and reduce out-of-base congestion by adding another portal at

Jones Gulch; (3) to meet existing and anticipated skier demand through development of infrastructure consistent with advances in equipment, lift technology, and consumer preference; (4) to address some existing environmental concerns associated with historic activities and existing development. The EIS will tier to the White River National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan) and Final EIS of September 20, 1984, which provides overall guidance for ski area management of the area. All activities associated with the proposal will be designed to maintain high quality resource objectives.

DATES: Written comments and suggestions should be postmarked or received by the Dillon Ranger District no later than 30 days after the publication of this notice. Send comments to Michael Liu, Attn: Keystone, Dillon Ranger District, P.O. Box 620, Silverthorne, Colorado, 80498, fax (970) 468–7735.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact Michael Liu, Special Projects Coordinator, Dillon Ranger District, phone (970) 262–3440.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed action includes construction of facilities entirely within Keystone Resort's Special Use Permit area. These facilities have been conceptually approved through previous National Environmental Policy Act analysis of the Resort's Master Development Plan (MDP). Specific elements of the proposed action include: Approximately 125 acres of trail construction, approximately 95 acres of additional snowmaking coverage, construction of three chair-lifts and one surface-lift, and construction of required service roads.

The project area is located on National Forest System lands within sections 19, 20, 29, 30, 31 and 32, Township 5 South, Range 76 West of the 6th P.M., all within Summit County, Colorado. Keystone Resort is located approximately 70 miles west of Denver, Colorado.

These improvements are consistent with Forest Plan and Regional Guide direction, and are considered necessary in light of current resort deficiencies, increased visitation experienced over the past decade, and projected future visitation increases. The proposed analysis will provide additional site-specific detail for portions of the existing MDP to accommodate changing socio-economic and environmental considerations, and may modify previous approvals shown in the MDP to avoid wetlands and other environmentally sensitive areas.

## **Preliminary Issues**

Preliminary issues identified forest fragmentation and effects to: Wildlife, wetlands, water quality, mountain hydrology, geological stability, and the relationship of the project to future development of adjacent real estate. Additional issues will be identified during the scoping process.

### **Public Involvement and Scoping**

Analysis of this proposal was originally planned under an Environmental Assessment (EA). After further study and additional information from resource specialists, the Forest Service has decided to prepare an EIS. Public scoping was conducted for the EA during October and November of 1997 through newspaper articles, letters to interested parties and two open houses. Comments previously submitted as part of the EA scoping process will be included in the documentation for the EIS and will be used to focus analysis and develop alternatives. Interested groups and individuals that have previously commented as part of the EA scoping process will be retained on the project mailing list.

The project proposal has been modified since originally submitted by Keystone Resort. The proposed Ski Tip Return Trail has been removed and one chairlift has been added to the proposal.

This environmental analysis and decision making process will enable additional interested and affected people to participate and contribute to the final decision. The Forest Service will seek information, comments, and assistance identifying issues from Federal, State, local agencies, federally recognized Native American tribes, and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposal.

Completion of the draft EIS is anticipated during the late winter or spring of 1999. The final EIS should be completed some time during the late summer or fall of 1999. The comment period on the draft EIS will be 90 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the "Notice of Availability" in the **Federal Register**.

# **Responsible Official**

The Responsible Official for this project is Martha Ketelle, Forest Supervisor for the White River National Forest. The Responsible Official will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision issued concurrently with the release of the final EIS. That decision will be subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215.

Dated: November 3, 1998.

#### Martha Ketelle,

Forest Supervisor, White River National Forest.

[FR Doc. 98–30066 Filed 11–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

#### **COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS**

## Amendment to Notice of Public Meeting of the West Virginia Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the West Virginia Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:30 p.m. on Tuesday, November 17, 1998, has a location change. The new location is the Logan Area Public Library, 1 Wildcat Way, Logan, West Virginia 25601. The notice originally published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, October 29, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 209, p. 58008. This notice is change of location only.

Persons desiring additional information, should contact Chairperson Gregory T. Hinton, 304–367–4244 or Ki-Taek Chun, Director of the Eastern Regional Office, 202–376–7533 (TDD 202–376–8116). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least ten (10) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, November 5, 1998.

## Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 98–30199 Filed 11–9–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

International Trade Administration [A-570-846]

Brake Rotors From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of the time limit for the final results of the new shipper administrative review of the