be considered a basis for worker group certification.

The company also contends that some work performed at the Weatherly plant was shifted to the parent company's Sparta, Tennessee facility, which in turn has shifted some of their production to Mexico. The Department's records show that a NAFTA–TAA petition was never filed on behalf of the Cooper Industries workers in Sparta, Tennessee.

Consequently, the shift in production from Weatherly, Pennsylvania to Sparta, Tennessee does not merit a NAFTA–TAA certification.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decisions. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of January 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–2916 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of January, 1998.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

- (1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and
- (3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or

appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-33,888; Crown Pacific Remanufacturing, Redmond, OR TA-W-33,932; Racal Datacom, Inc., Sunrise, FL

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-33,962; Fonda Group, Three Rivers, MI

Production of paper plates and bowles at the subject plant was transferred domestically during the relevant period.

- TA-W-33,992; Claridge Products & Equipment, Inc., Harrison, AR
- TA-W-33,965; Tri Americas, Inc., El Paso, TX
- TA-W-34,001; Warren Petroleum Div., of NGC Corp., Santana, KS (Jayhawk Plant)
- TA-W-33,972; Bemis Co., Inc., Banner packaging Div. Shelbyville & Murfreesboro, TN

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-33,989; Allegheny Ludlum Corp.; Leechburg, PA

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-33,865; Dlubak Corp., Glass Div., Freeport, PA

A corporate decision was made to cease the Glass Division production and transfer it to another domestic facility.

TA-W-33,878; Cabot Oil and Gas Corp., The Carlton District, Carlton, PA

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and criteria (3) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certification have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-34,082 & A; RMP, Pennsauken, NJ and Cinnaminson, NJ: December 2. 1996.

All workers engaged in employment related to the production of remanufactured auto components who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 2, 1996 are eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance.

- TA-W-34,059; Alcoa Fujikura, LTD, Campbellsburg, KY: November 18, 1996.
- TA-W-33,875; Visy Paper Co (Formerly Menominee Paper), Menominee, MI: September 21, 1996.
- TA-W-34,026 & A, B; Lukins Steel Co., Stainless Steel Group, Washington, PA, Houston, PA and Massillon, OH: November 6, 1996.
- TA-W-33,967; Fedco Automotive Components Co., Inc., Buffalo, NY: October 23, 1996.
- TA-W-34,003; Umbro North America, Fairbluff, North Carolina: October 28, 1996.
- TA-W-34,119; American Trouser, Inc., Houston, MS: December 15, 1996.
- TA-W-34,024; Columbia Footwear Corp., Hazleton, PA: January 24, 1998.
- TA-W-34,094; W.R. Grace and Company-Conn., Grace Construction Products, Beltsville, MD: December 2, 1996.
- TA-W-33,955; Koh-I-Noor, Inc., Bloomsburg, NJ: August 31, 1997.
- TA-W-33,922; Anitec Image Corp., Binghamton, NY: December 14, 1997.
- TA-W-33,983; Standard-Keil/Tap-Rite L.L.C., Allenwood, NJ: October 31, 1996.
- TA-W-34,081; Kemet Electronics Corp., Shelby, NC

All workers of Kemet Electronics Corp., Shelby, NC including leased workers of Personnel Services Unlimited Manpower Temporary Services, Shelby, NC who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after November 24, 1996 are eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance.

- TA-W-34,117; Shape Video Division, Kennebunk, ME: December 11, 1996
- TA-W-34,117A; Shape Midwest Division, Northbrook, IL: December 16, 1996.

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA–TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), Subchapter D, Chapter 2, Title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA–TAA issued during the month of January, 1998.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

- (1) That a significant number of proporation or the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—
- (2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely.
- (3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases in ports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or
- (4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFT-TAA-02038; Racal Datacom, Inc., Sunrise, FL

NAFTA-TAA-02002; Warren Petroleum, Div. of NGC Corp., Santana, KS (Jayhawk Plant)

NAFTA-TAA-01993 & A; Bemis Co., Inc., Banner Packaging Div., Shelbyville and Murfreesboro, TN NAFTA-TAA-02045; Standard-Keil/

Tap-Rite, L.L.C., Allenwood, NJ NAFTA-TAA-02024; Tri Americas, Inc., El Paso, TX NAFTA-TAA-01783; K & K Farms,, Florida City, FL

NAFTA-TAA-01975; Lehigh Furniture Co., Marianna, FL

NAFTA-TAA-02000; Jetricks Corp., Selmer, TN

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

NAFTA-TAA-01939; Cabot Oil & Gas Corp., The Carlton District, Carlton, PA

The investigation revealed that criteria (1) and (4) have not been met. A significant number of proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision (including workers in any agricultural form or appropriate subdivision thereof) have not become totally or partially separated from employment. There has not been a shift in production by workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-02027; Kemet Electronics corp., Shelby, NC Including Leased Workers of Personnel Services Unlimited and Manpower Temporary Services, Shelby, NC: November 13, 1996.

NAFTA-TAA-02080; Visy Paper, Formerly Menominee Paper Co., Menominee, MI: December 16, 1996.

NAFTA-TAA-02123; W.R. Grace and Company-Conn., Grace Construction Products, Beltsville, MD: December 2, 1996.

NAFTA-TAA-02026; Jam Enterprises, El Paso, TX: November 4, 1996.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of January 1998. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C–4318, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: January 21, 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98-2917 Filed 2-5-98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-34,058]

Aquarius Furniture Manufacturing, El Paso, TX; Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 1, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Aquarius Furniture Manufacturing, El Paso, Texas.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 21st day of January 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–2919 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-34,050]

Bazflex USA, Gainesville, TX; Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on December 1, 1997 in response to a worker petition which was filed on behalf of workers at Bazflex USA, Gainesville, Texas.

This case is being terminated because the petitioner who filed the petition on behalf of the workers is not a company representative or workers' representative. Section 221(a) of the Act specifies that the petition be filed by a group of workers or by the certified or recognized union or other duly authorized representative.

Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose; and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of January 1998.

Grant D. Beale,

Acting Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 98–2913 Filed 2–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M