Telnet, or FTP protocol is: fedbbs.access.gpo.gov. The document is also accessible for downloading in ASCII format without charge from Treasury's Electronic Library ("TEL") in the "Research Mall" of the FedWorld bulletin board. By modem, dial 703/ 321-3339, and select self-expanding file "T11FR00.EXE" in TEL. For Internet access, use one of the following protocols: Telnet = fedworld.gov (192.239.93.3); World Wide Web (Home Page) = http://www.fedworld.gov; FTP = ftp.fedworld.gov (192.239.92.205) Additional information concerning the programs of the Office of Foreign Assets Control is available for downloading from the Office's Internet Home Page: http://www.treas.gov/ofac, or in fax form through the Office's 24-hour faxon-demand service: call 202/622-0077 using a fax machine, fax modem, or (within the United States) a touch-tone telephone.

# Background

On April 18, 1997, the Office of Foreign Assets Control issued an amendment to the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia & Montenegro) and Bosnian Serb-Controlled Areas of the Republic of Bosnia and Herzegovina Sanctions Regulations, 31 CFR part 585 (the "Regulations"), providing for the unblocking of the following five vessels: the M/V MOSLAVINA, M/V ZETA, M/ V LOVCEN, M/V DURMITOR and M/V BAR (a.k.a. M/V INVIKEN) after 30 days (62 FR 19672, April 23, 1997). Two previously blocked vessels, the M/V KAPETAN MARTINOVIC and the M/V BOR, were sold pursuant to specific licenses and the proceeds of the sales placed in blocked interest-bearing accounts at U.S. financial institutions as substitute property for the blocked vessels.

The accounts representing the two vessels will also be unblocked after 30 days. During this period, U.S. persons may negotiate settlements of their outstanding claims with respect to the vessels with the vessels' owners or agents. If claims remain unresolved by November 27, 1998, U.S. persons are generally licensed to seek and obtain judicial writs of attachment against the funds during the ten–day period prior to the accounts' unblocking.

Since the Regulations involve a foreign affairs function, the provisions of Executive Order 12866 and the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date are inapplicable. Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this

rule, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) does not apply.

## List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 585

Administrative practice and procedure, Banks, banking, Blocking of assets, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Foreign investments in the United States, Foreign trade, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities, Specially designated nationals, Transportation, Vessels, Yugoslavia.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 31 CFR part 585 is amended as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for part 585 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 3 U.S.C. 301; 22 U.S.C. 287c; 31 U.S.C. 321(b); 49 U.S.C. 40106; 50 U.S.C. 1601–1651, 1701–1706; Pub.L. 101–410, 104 Stat 890 (28 U.S.C. 2461 note); E.O. 12808, 57 FR 23299, 3 CFR, 1992 Comp., p. 305; E.O. 12810, 57 FR 24347, 3 CFR, 1992 Comp., p. 307; E.O. 12831, 58 FR 5253, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 576; E.O. 12846, 58 FR 25771, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 599; E.O. 12934, 59 FR 54117, 3 CFR, 1994 Comp., p. 930.

## Subpart E to Part 585—Licenses, Authorizations, and Statements of Licensing Policy

2. Section 585.528 is amended by revising the section heading and adding paragraph (d) to read as follows:

# § 585.528 Unblocking of certain vessels and accounts.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) All transactions with respect to blocked accounts held at Whitney National Bank, New Orleans, Louisiana, containing the proceeds of the sales of the M/V KAPETAN MARTINOVIC and the M/V BOR are authorized as of December 7, 1998. All transactions by U.S. persons to seek and obtain judicial writs of attachment against the blocked accounts as substitute property for these vessels are authorized as of 10:00 a.m. Eastern Standard Time, November 27, 1998.

Dated: October 7, 1998.

# R. Richard Newcomb,

Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control. Approved: October 15, 1998.

#### Elisabeth A. Bresee,

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement), Department of the Treasury. [FR Doc. 98–29789 Filed 11–3–98; 1:59 pm] BILLING CODE 4810–25-F

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[PA-4081a; FRL-6184-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of VOC and  $NO_{\rm X}$  RACT Determinations for Individual Sources

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. This revision establishes and requires volatile organic compounds (VOC) and nitrogen oxides (NO $_{\rm X}$ ) reasonably available control technology (RACT) for 16 major sources located in Pennsylvania. The intended effect of this rule is to approve source-specific plan approvals and operating permits that establish the abovementioned RACT requirements in accordance with the Clean Air Act.

DATES: This direct final rule is effective without further notice on January 5, 1999, unless EPA receives adverse written comment by December 7, 1998. Should EPA receive such comments, it will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to David Campbell, Air Protection Division, Mailcode 3AP11, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; the Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Campbell, (215) 814–2196, at the EPA Region III office or via e-mail at campbell.daveepamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, any comments must be submitted in writing to the above Region III address.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On April 20, May 29, and July 24, 1998, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania submitted formal revisions to its State Implementation Plan (SIP). Each source subject to this rulemaking will be identified and discussed below. Any plan approvals and operating permits submitted coincidentally with those being approved in this document, and not identified below, will be addressed in a separate rulemaking action.

Pursuant to sections 182(b)(2) and 182(f) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), Pennsylvania is required to implement RACT for all major VOC and  $NO_X$  sources by no later than May 31, 1995. The major source size is determined by its location, the classification of that area and whether it is located in the ozone transport region (OTR), which is established by the CAA. The

Pennsylvania portion of the Philadelphia ozone nonattainment area consists of Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery, and Philadelphia Counties and is classified as severe. The remaining counties in Pennsylvania are classified as either moderate or marginal nonattainment areas or are designated attainment for ozone. However, under section 184 of the CAA, at a minimum, moderate ozone nonattainment area requirements (including RACT as specified in sections 182(b)(2) and 182(f)) apply throughout the OTR. Therefore, RACT is applicable statewide in Pennsylvania. The Pennsylvania submittals that are the subject of this document are meant to satisfy the RACT requirements for 16 sources in Pennsylvania.

# Summary of SIP Revision

The details of the RACT requirements for the source-specific plan approvals and operating permits can be found in the docket and accompanying technical support document (TSD) and will not be reiterated in this document. Briefly, EPA is approving a revision to the Pennsylvania SIP pertaining to the determination of RACT for 16 major sources. Several of the plan approvals and operating permits contain conditions irrelevant to the determination of VOC or  $NO_{\rm X}$  RACT. Consequently, these provisions are not being included in this approval for source-specific VOC or  $NO_{\rm X}$  RACT.

#### RACT Determinations

The following table identifies the individual plan approvals and operating permits EPA is approving. The specific emission limitations and other RACT requirements for these sources are summarized in the accompanying technical support document, which is available upon further request from the EPA Region III office listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document.

PENNSYLVANIA—VOC AND NOx. RACT DETERMINATIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL SOURCES

Source	County	Plan approval (PA #) operat- ing permit (OP #)	Source type	"Major source" pol- lutant
Eldorado Properties Corporation	Northumberland Bucks	OP 49-0016 OP 09-0028	Petroleum storage and distribution	VOC. NO <sub>X</sub> . , VOC.
Ford Electronics & Refrigeration Company	Montgomery	OP 46-0036	Electronics manufacturing	NO <sub>x.</sub> , VOC.
H&N Packaging, Inc.	Bucks	OP 09-0038	Graphic arts	VOC.
Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority.	Lancaster	PA 36–2013	Municipal waste combustion	NO <sub>x</sub> .
Monsey Products Company	Chester	OP 15-0031	Protective coatings manufacturing	VOC.
Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical	Montgomery	OP 46-0027	Pharmaceutical manufacturing	NO <sub>X</sub> ., VOC.
Piccari Press, Inc.	Bucks	OP 09-0040	Graphic arts	VOC.
Pierce and Stevens Corporation	Chester	OP 15-0011	Coatings and adhesives manufacturing	VOC.
PQ Corporation	Delaware	OP 23-0016	Flat glass manufacturing	$NO_X$ .
Reynolds Metals Company	Chester	OP 15-0004	Graphic arts	$NO_X$ ., VOC.
Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Montgomery	OP 46-0048B	Pharmaceutical manufacturing	$NO_X$ ., $VOC$ .
Superior Tube Company	Montgomery	OP 46-0020	Steel tubing manufacturing	NO <sub>x</sub> ., VOC.
Uniform Tubes Company	Montgomery	OP 46-0046A	Steel tubing manufacturing	VOC.
U.S. Air Force—Willow Grove Air Reserve Station.	Montgomery	OP 46-0072	Military installation	NO <sub>X</sub> ., VOC.
U.S. Navy—Willow Grove Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base.	Montgomery	OP 46-0079	Military installation	NO <sub>x</sub> ., VOC.

EPA is approving this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the rule should adverse comments be filed. This rule will be effective January 5, 1999 without further notice unless the Agency receives adverse comments by December 7, 1998.

If EPA receives such comments, then EPA will publish a document

withdrawing the final rule and informing the public that the rule will not take effect. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Parties interested in commenting should do so at this time. If no such comments are received, the public is advised that this rule will be effective on January 5, 1999 and no further action will be taken on the proposed rule. If adverse comments are received that do not pertain to all paragraphs subject to this rule, those paragraphs not affected by the adverse

comments will be finalized in the manner described here. Only those paragraphs that receive adverse comments will be withdrawn in the manner described here.

#### II. Final Action

EPA is approving 1 plan approval and 15 operating permits as  $NO_X$  and/or VOC RACT for 16 individual sources.

# III. Administrative Requirements

#### A. Executive Order 12866

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this regulatory action from review under E.O. 12866,

entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review."

#### B. Executive Order 12875

Under E.O. 12875, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute and that creates a mandate upon a state, local, or tribal government, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by those governments. If the mandate is unfunded, EPA must provide to the Office of Management and Budget a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected state, local, and tribal governments, the nature of their concerns, copies of written communications from the governments, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, E.O. 12875 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected officials and other representatives of state, local, and tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory proposals containing significant unfunded mandates." Today's rule does not create a mandate on state, local or tribal governments. The rule does not impose any enforceable duties on these entities. Accordingly, the requirements of section 1(a) of E.O. 12875 do not apply to this rule.

## C. Executive Order 13045

Executive Order 13045, entitled "Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that the EPA determines (1) is "economically significant," as defined under Executive Order 12866, and (2) the environmental health or safety risk addressed by the rule has a disproportionate effect on children. If the regulatory action meets both criteria, the Agency must evaluate the environmental health or safety effects of the planned rule on children and explain why the planned regulation is preferable to other potentially effective and reasonably feasible alternatives considered by the Agency.

This final rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is not an economically significant regulatory action as defined by Executive Order 12866, and it does not address an environmental health or safety risk that would have a disproportionate effect on children.

# D. Executive Order 13084

Under E.O. 13084, EPA may not issue a regulation that is not required by statute, that significantly affects or

uniquely affects the communities of Indian tribal governments, and that imposes substantial direct compliance costs on those communities, unless the Federal government provides the funds necessary to pay the direct compliance costs incurred by the tribal governments. If the mandate is unfunded, EPA must provide to the Office of Management and Budget, in a separately identified section of the preamble to the rule, a description of the extent of EPA's prior consultation with representatives of affected tribal governments, a summary of the nature of their concerns, and a statement supporting the need to issue the regulation. In addition, Executive Order 13084 requires EPA to develop an effective process permitting elected and other representatives of Indian tribal governments "to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies on matters that significantly or uniquely affect their communities." Today's rule does not significantly or uniquely affect the communities of Indian tribal governments. This action does not involve or impose any requirements that affect Indian Tribes. Accordingly, the requirements of section 3(b) of E.O. 13084 do not apply to this rule.

#### E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and small governmental jurisdictions. This final rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities because SIP approvals under section 110 and subchapter I, part D of the Clean Air Act do not create any new requirements but simply approve requirements that the State is already imposing. Therefore, because the Federal SIP approval does not create any new requirements, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Clean Air Act, preparation of a flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of state action. The Clean Air Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. Union Electric Co. v. U.S. EPA, 427 U.S. 246, 255-66 (1976); 42 U.S.C. 7410(a)(2).

#### F. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs to State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most cost-effective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

EPA has determined that the approval action promulgated does not include a Federal mandate that may result in estimated annual costs of \$100 million or more to either State, local, or tribal governments in the aggregate, or to the private sector. This Federal action approves pre-existing requirements under State or local law, and imposes no new requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to State, local, or tribal governments, or to the private sector, result from this action.

# G. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 804, however, exempts from section 801 the following types of rules: rules of particular applicability; rules relating to agency management or personnel; and rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of nonagency parties. 5 U.S.C. 804(3). EPA is not required to submit a rule report regarding today's action under section 801 because this is a rule of particular applicability.

#### H. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by January 5, 1999. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action to approve VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> RACT determinations for a number of individual sources in Pennsylvania as a revision to the Commonwealth's SIP may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: October 27, 1998.

#### Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

## Subpart NN—Pennsylvania

2. Section 52.2020 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(136) to read as follows:

#### § 52.2020 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \* \* \*

- (136) Revisions to the Pennsylvania Regulations, Chapter 129.91 pertaining to VOC and  ${\rm NO_X}$  RACT, submitted on April 20, May 29, and July 24, 1998, by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection.
  - (i) Incorporation by reference.
- (A) Three letters submitted by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection transmitting source-specific VOC and/or NO<sub>X</sub> RACT determinations in the form of plan approvals or operating permits on the following dates: April 20, May 29, and July 24, 1998.
- (B) Plan approvals (PA), Operating permits (OP):
- (1) Eldorado Properties Corporation, Northumberland County, OP 49–0016, effective May 1, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (2) Endura Products, Inc., Bucks County, OP 09–0028, effective May 13, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions

thereof) Nos. 11A and 15 through 21 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(3) Ford Electronics & Refrigeration Company, Montgomery County, OP 46–0036, effective April 30, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 11 through 18, 20, and 22 through 26 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(4) H & N Packaging, Inc., Bucks County, OP 09–0038, effective June 8, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 4, 7, 8, and 11 through 20 relating to non-RACT provisions.

- (5) Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority, Lancaster County, PA 36–2013, effective June 3, 1998; except for the plan approval expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 3 through 9, 11 through 24, 27 through 37, and 39 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (6) Monsey Products Company, Chester County, OP 15–0031, effective June 4, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 9 through 24 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (7) Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceutical, Montgomery County, OP 46–0027, effective June 4, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 4, 9, and 13 through 20 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (8) Piccari Press, Inc, Bucks County, OP 09–0040, effective April 29, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 14, 15, 17, and 19 through 22 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (9) Pierce and Stevens Corporation, Chester County, OP 15–0011, effective March 27, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 11 through 15 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (10) PQ Corporation, Delaware County, OP 23–0016, effective June 16, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 8, 13, and 15 through 19 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (11) Reynolds Metals Company, Chester County, OP 15–0004, effective May 8, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 4, 5, 14, 15, 17 through 42, and 44 through 48 relating to non-RACT provisions.
- (12) Rhone-Poulenc Rorer Pharmaceutical, Inc, Montgomery County, OP 46–0048B, effective April 2, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 11 through 42 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(13) Superior Tube Company, Montgomery County, OP 46–0020, effective April 17, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 17 through 25 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(14) Uniform Tubes Inc., Montgomery County, OP 46–0046A, effective March 26, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 16, 17, and 19 through 24 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(15) U.S. Air Force—Willow Grove Air Reserve Station, Montgomery County, OP 46–0072, effective May 1, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 11 through 15 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(16) U.S. Navy—Willow Grove Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base, Montgomery County, OP 46–0079, effective May 4, 1998; except for the operating permit expiration date and item (or portions thereof) Nos. 11, 12, 15 through 26, and 28 through 33 relating to non-RACT provisions.

(ii) Additional Material.

(A) Remainder of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's April 20, May 29, and July 24, 1998 submittals VOC and  $NO_X$  RACT SIP submittals.

[FR Doc. 98–29656 Filed 11–5–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 62

[OK-15-1-7399a: FRL-6183-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants: Oklahoma

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is approving the State Plan submitted by the State of Oklahoma on July 10, 1998. The plan was developed in accordance with sections 111 and 129 of the Clean Air Act, and provides for implementation and enforcement of the Emissions Guidelines (EG) applicable to existing Municipal Waste Combustors (MWCs) with capacity to combust more than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste (MSW) (see 40 CFR part 60, subpart Cb). **DATES:** This direct final rule is effective January 5, 1999 without further notice, unless EPA receives adverse comment by December 7, 1998. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the