Name	Title
Michael Guhin	Chief, Strategic Negotiations and Implementation Division, Strategic and Eurasian Affairs Bureau. Chief, Theater and Strategic Defenses Division, Strategic and Eurasian Affairs Bureau. Director of Administration, Office of Administration. General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel. Principal Deputy of the On-Site Inspection Agency.

Cathleen Lawrence,

Director of Administration.
[FR Doc. 98-29267 Filed 10-30-98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820-32-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

Survey of Program Dynamics—1999

ACTION: Proposed collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before January 4, 1999. ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Linda Engelmeier, Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Michael McMahon, Bureau of the Census, FOB 3, Room 3375, Washington, DC 20233–8400, (301) 457–3819.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Survey of Program Dynamics (SPD) is a household-based survey designed as a data collection vehicle that can provide the basis for an overall evaluation of how well welfare reforms are achieving the aims of the Administration and the Congress and meeting the needs of the American people.

The SPD is a large, longitudinal, nationally-representative study that

measures participation in welfare programs, including both programs that are being reformed and those that remain unchanged. The SPD measures other important social, economic, demographic, and family changes that will allow analysis of the effectiveness of the welfare reforms.

With the August 22, 1996 signing of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (Pub L. 104–193), the Census Bureau is required to conduct the SPD, using as the sample the households from the 1992 and 1993 Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP). The information obtained will be used to evaluate the impact of this law on a sample of previous welfare recipients and future recipients of assistance under new state programs funded under this law as well as assess the impact on other low-income families. Issues of particular attention include welfare dependency, the length of welfare spells, the causes of repeat welfare spells, educational enrollment and work training, health care utilization, out-ofwedlock births, and the status of children

The previous wave of SPD was conducted in the spring of 1998 using a new questionnaire. A bridge survey using the CPS March questionnaire was conducted in the spring of 1997 to provide a link to baseline data for the period prior to the implementation of the welfare reform activities.

II. Method of Data Collection

The SPD is a longitudinal study of welfare-related activities with the sample respondents originally selected from 1992 and 1993 SIPP panels. Interviews were conducted in 1997 and 1998. Subsequent data collection will be conducted from 1999 to 2002.

Data will be collected using a computer-assisted personal interview (CAPI) automated questionnaire instrument from a nationally representative sample of the noninstitutionalized resident population living in the U.S. for all persons, families, and households.

Persons who are at least 15 years of age at the time of the interview will be eligible to be in the survey. The 1999 SPD will ask the basic 1998 questions, plus some additional questions about the status of children will be asked of parents. The 1999 SPD will not include an adolescent self-administered questionnaire that was conducted in 1998.

A small sample of households will be selected for reinterview. The reinterview process assures that all households were properly contacted, and that the data are valid.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0607–0838. Form Number: CAPI Automated Instrument.

Type of Review: Regular. Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Estimated Number of Household Respondents: 42,000.

Estimated Number of Children of Respondents: 19,000.

Estimated Number of Reinterview Respondents: 1,500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 32 minutes per respondent, 8 minutes per child, 10 minutes per reinterview.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 25,150.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: No costs to the respondents other than their time.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary. Legal Authority: Title 13, United States Code, Section 182, and Public Law 104–193, Section 414 (signed 8/22/ 96), Title 42, United States Code, Section 614.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and or included in the request of OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 27, 1998.

Linda Engelmeier,

Departmental Forms Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 98–29192 Filed 10–30–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Export Administration

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Export Materials, Inc Tic, Ltd

In the Matters of: Export Materials, Inc. 3727 Greenbrier Drive, No. 108 Stafford, Texas 77477, and Tic Ltd. Suite C, Regent Centre Explorers Way P.O. Box F–40775 Freeport, The Bahamas, Respondents

Decision and Order on Renewal of Temporary Denial Order

On April 29, 1998, I issued a Decision and Order on Renewal of Temporary Denial Order (hereinafter "Order" or "TDO"), renewing for 180 days a May 5, 1997 Order naming, *inter alia*, Export Materials, Inc. and Thane-Coat International, Ltd. (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Respondents"), as persons temporarily denied all U.S. export privileges. 63 FR 25199–25200 (May 7, 1998). The Order will expire on October 26, 1998.

On October 6, 1998, pursuant to Section 766.24 of the Export Administration Regulations (15 C.F.R. Parts 730–774 (1998)) (hereinafter the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991 & Supp. 1998)) 1997 Order naming, inter alia, Thane-Coat, Inc.; Jerry Vernon Ford, president, Thane-Coat, Inc.; and Preston John Engebretson, vice-president, Thane-Coat, Inc. (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Respondents"), as persons temporarily denied all U.S. export privileges. 63 FR 25817–25819

(May 11, 1998). The Order will expire on October 26, 1998.

On October 6, 1998, pursuant to Section 766.24 of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 C.F.R. Parts 730-774 (1998)) (hereinafter the "Regulations"), issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. app. §§ 2401-2420 (1991 & Supp. 1998)) (hereinafter the "Act"),² the Office of Export Enforcement, Bureau of Export Administration, United States Department of Commerce (hereinafter "BXA"), requested that I renew the Order against Thane-Coat, Inc., Jerry Vernon Ford, and Preston John Engebretson for 180 days in a nonstandard format, consistent with the terms agreed to by and between the parties in April 1998.

In its request, BXA stated that, as a result of an ongoing investigation, it had reason to believe that, during the period from approximately June 1994 through approximately July 1996, Thane-Coat, Inc., through Ford and Engebretson, and using its affiliated companies, TIC Ltd. and Export Materials, Inc., made approximately 100 shipments of U.S.origin pipe coating materials, machines, and parts to the Dong Ah Consortium in Benghazi, Libya. These items were for use in coating the internal surface of prestressed concrete cylinder pipe for the Government of Libya's Great Man-Made River Project.3 Moreover, BXA's investigation gave it reason to believe that the Respondents and the affiliated companies employed a scheme to export U.S.-origin products from the United States, through the United Kingdom, to Libya, a country subject to a comprehensive economic sanctions program, without the authorizations required under U.S. law, including the Regulations. The approximate value of

the 100 shipments at issue was \$35 million. In addition, the Respondents and the affiliated companies undertook several significant and affirmative actions in connection with the solicitation of business on another phase of the Great Man-Made River Project.

BXA has stated that it believes that the matters under investigation and the information obtained to date in that investigation support renewal of the TDO issued against the Respondents.4 In that regard, in April, 1998 BXA and the Respondents reached an agreement, whereby BXA sought a renewal of the TDO in a "non-standard" format, denying all of the Respondents' U.S. export privileges to the United Kingdom, The Bahamas, Libya, Cuba, Iraq, North Korea, Iran, and any other country or countries that may be made subject in the future to a general trade embargo by proper legal authority. In return, the Respondents agreed that, among other conditions, at least 14 days in advance of any export that any of the Respondents intends to make of any item from the United States to any destination world-wide, the Respondents will provide to BXA's Dallas Field Office (i) notice of the intended export, (ii) copies of all documents reasonably related to the subject transaction, including, but not limited to, the commercial invoice and bill of lading, and (iii) the opportunity, during the 14-day notice period, to inspect physically the item at issue to ensure that the intended shipment is in compliance with the Export Administration Act, the Export Administration Regulations, or any order issued thereunder. BXA has sought renewal of the TDO in a "nonstandard" format; respondents have advised me that they do not object to renewal of the TDO in the "nonstandard" format.

Based on BXA's showing, I find that it is appropriate to renew the order temporarily denying the export privileges of Thane-Coat, Inc., Jerry Vernon Ford, and Preston John Engebretson in a "non-standard" format, incorporating the terms agreed to by and between the parties in April 1998. I find that such renewal is necessary in the public interest to prevent an imminent violation of the Regulations and to give notice to companies in the United States and abroad to cease dealing with these persons in any commodity, software, or technology subject to the Regulations and exported or to be exported to the

¹The May 5, 1997 Order also named Thane-Coat, Inc.; Jerry Vernon Ford, president, Thane-Coat, Inc.; and Preston John Engebretson, vice-president, Thane-Coat, Inc., as persons temporarily denied all U.S. export privileges. I am issuing a separate Decision and Order today renewing the TDO against Thane-Coat, Ford, and Engebretson in a "non-standard" format.

¹The May 5, 1997 Order also named Thane-Coat International, Ltd. and Export Materials, Inc. as persons temporarily denied all U.S. export privileges. I am issuing a separate Decision and Order today renewing the TDO against Thane-Coat International, Ltd. (under its legal name of TIC Ltd.) and Export Materials in a "standard" format.

² The Act expired on August 20, 1994. Executive Order 12924 (3 C.F.R., 1994 Comp. 917 (1995)), extended by Presidential Notices of August 15, 1995 (3 C.F.R., 1995 Comp. 501 (1996)), August 14, 1996 (3 C.F.R., 1996 Comp. 298 (1997)), August 13, 1997 (3 C.F.R., 1997 Comp. 306 (1998)), and August 13, 1998 (63 Fed. Reg. 44121, August 17, 1998), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (currently codified at 50 U.S.C.A. §§ 1701–1706 (1991 & Supp. 1998)).

³BXA understands that the ultimate goal of this project is to bring fresh water from wells drilled in southeast and southwest Libya through prestressed concrete cylinder pipe to the coastal cities of Libya. This multibillion dollar, multiphase engineering endeavor is being performed by the Dong Ah Construction Company of Seoul, South Korea.

⁴On October 6, 1998, BXA requested that I renew the April 29, 1998 TDO against TIC Ltd. and Export Materials